Adequate and Well-Controlled Trials in Neonates: Lessons Learned from Neonatal Candidiasis Program

FDA Workshop

Studies in Neonatal and Young Infants

September 15, 2016

Laura Kovanda

Director, Global Development

Astellas Pharma Global Development, Inc.



Agenda

- Mycamine[®] (micafungin sodium)
- Design and conduct of a Phase 3 study of invasive candidiasis in neonates and young infants
- Lessons learned



Astellas Antifungal Agents and Pediatric Experience

- Three systemic antifungals
 - AmBisome[®] (amphotericin B liposomal formulation)
 - Approved for pediatric patients, aged 1 month to 16 years
 - Mycamine[®] (micafungin sodium)
 - Approved for pediatric patients, aged 4 months to 16 years
 - Cresemba[®] (Isavuconazonium sulfate)
 - Recently approved for adults, no pediatric studies to date



Mycamine[®] (micafungin sodium)

- Member of the echinocandin class of antifungals
- Approved in the U.S. for adults and pediatric patients > 4 months of age for:
 - Treatment of candidemia, acute disseminated candidiasis, *Candida* peritonitis, and abscesses;
 - Treatment of esophageal candidiasis;
 - Prophylaxis of *Candida* infections in patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplant



Neonatal and Young Infant Development Program

- Pediatric patients were included in the Mycamine development program prior to initial approval in 2005
- However, emerging data about invasive candidiasis in neonates necessitated further evaluation in this population



Key Question to Answer Before Proceeding with Pediatric Studies

 Is there something unique about the disease state or pathogenesis of the condition in neonates and young infants as compared to adult patients?

If the answer is yes, simply matching drug exposures to the efficacious and safe exposures in the adult population may not be adequate



Evolutions in the Field and Challenges to Face

- Pathogenesis of invasive candidiasis in neonates
 - CNS involvement is a prominent feature^{1,2,3}
 - Requires a unique strategy for appropriate dose finding



Higher Exposures Required to Penetrate and Treat CNS Infection

- Rabbit model of hematogenous *Candida* meningoencephalitis mimics pathogenesis of neonatal candidiasis¹
- The target Mycamine AUC to achieve efficacy in CNS infections is ≥ 170 mg•h/L
- The average exposure achieved by the recommended clinical dosage regimen used to treat invasive candidiasis in adults and older children is 100 mg·h/L



Mycamine: Increased Weight-normalized Clearance in Infants < 4 Months of Age





Population PK Bridging Study Demonstrates Dose of 10 mg/kg is Most Appropriate



 Monte Carlo simulations demonstrated that a Mycamine dose of 10 mg/kg achieves the target exposure in >85% of the population with <10% of the population at risk of reaching the range where non-clinical toxicities were seen, notably liver enzyme changes



10

Further Investigation in Neonates and Young Infants May Be Necessary

- Unique drug disposition compared to older children and adults
- Prominent CNS disease in this population
 - CNS involvement requires higher target exposures for treatment
- There was limited safety and efficacy of this dose and exposure



Designing a Phase 3 Study in Neonates and Young Infants

- Close collaboration with FDA
 - FDA Special Protocol Assessment and Type C meetings
 - Aligned on the protocol and the dosage regimen for the Phase 3 study
- Also worked closely with experts in ID with specific interest in neonatal infections
- Our goal was to create a study design that followed standard of care as closely as possible so that there is minimal impact and risk to the infant while still gathering an informative dataset for analysis



Phase 3 Study Overview

Study Design: Phase 3, randomized (2:1), multi-center, doubleblind, non-inferiority study comparing micafungin to conventional amphotericin B

Primary Endpoint: Fungal free survival (FFS) at one week following the last dose of study drug

Patient Population: 225 Infants: > 48 hours of life up to day of life (DOL) 120 with culture proven candidiasis

Randomization stratification: Estimated gestational age and Region

Independent Monitoring Committees: Independent Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB); Safety and Data Review Panel (DRP); Diagnosis and adjudicate outcome 13



Phase 3 Study: Key Study Assessments

			Treatment Period				
	Assessments	Baseline	Every 48 Hours	7 Days After 1 st Dose of Study Drug	Every 4-7 Days	Day 1 - Last Dose of Study Drug	Post- Treatment Period (+/- 3 days)
End Organ Assessment	Retinal Exam	х		х			х
	Lumbar Puncture (LP)	х			Х		
	Abdominal U ltrasound	Х		х			х
	Echocardiogram	Х		х			Х
	Head Ultrasound, Computerized Tomography (CT), or Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)	Х		х			х
Urine & Blood Fungal Culture		Х	Х				
Plasma Pharmacokinetic Sampling						х	
CSF Pharmacokinetic Sampling						х	



Identified Optimal Plasma Sampling Times from Population PK Modeling



- Utilized D-optimal design to define the most informative sampling times
- Allowing for flexibility in plasma sample acquisition and minimizing the number of samples (3) required



Study Conduct: Getting Started

- Contacted pediatric clinical trial networks globally experienced with disease state, including:
 - Pediatric Trials Network, Pediatric Fungal Network, PediatriX, Children's Research Network (UK), International Conference of Clinical Neonatology (ICCN), Treat Infections in Neonates (TINN) (EU)
- Established a Scientific Committee to advise on study
 - Daniel Benjamin, MD, PhD (Protocol Chair); Duke University Medical Center Durham, North Carolina, USA
 - William Hope, MD University of Liverpool Liverpool, UK
 - P. Brian Smith, MD Duke University Medical Center Durham, North Carolina, USA
 - David Kaufman, MD University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia, USA
 - Thomas J. Walsh, MD Weill Cornell Medical Center New York, New York, USA
 - Antonio Arrieta, MD Children's Hospital of Orange County, Orange, California, USA

16



Site Selection Challenges



astellas

Reasons for Not Participating





Global Study 71 sites participating from 23 countries

Longer than Average Start-up Timelines



- Non-U.S. average HA/EC review time = 6.25 months (range 1-17.5 months)
- U.S. average IRB review time = 2.6 months (range 0.2-17.1 months)



Low Yields from Monthly Screening





Enrollment and Screening by Site



Enrollment Challenges





Main Reasons for Screen Failures



MICA: Mycamine; CAB: conventional amphotericin B; PI: principal investigator

Declining Incidence of IC in the NICU (1997-2010)





Paradigm Shifts in Treatment Practices



Increased use of prophylactic treatment of high risk neonates



Challenges During Study Conduct



Compliance with Protocol Procedures is Challenging

	Assessments	Baseline	Treatment Period	Post- Treatment
Blood	l Fungal Culture	100%	67%	n/a
CSF C	ulture	57%	10%	17%
Urine	Fungal Culture	87%	27%	n/a
lent	Retinal Exam	80%	37%	47%
sessm	Lumbar Puncture (LP) for CSF Analysis	83%	17%	13%
As	Abdominal Ultrasound	100%	47%	60%
gan	Echocardiogram	0	3%	0
End Or	Head Ultrasound	97%	50%	60%



CSF Cultures Performed on Half of the Patients and None were Positive

- 57% (17) infants had a baseline CSF culture
 - 30% (9) infants had only a baseline CSF culture
 - 23.3% (7) infants had a baseline CSF culture plus at least one follow-up
 - Only 3 were done while on therapy
- All CSF cultures collected were negative
 - Consistent with the reported low yield of CSF cultures in this population.
 - Of the 3 cases with CNS involvement in the study, all 3 were diagnosed based on head ultrasounds.



Pharmacokinetic Sampling

- Plasma PK
 - 17 patients (57%)
- CSF PK
 - 2 patients (7%)



Lessons Learned



Lessons Learned

- Evolving epidemiology was not fully defined at the onset of the neonatal program
 - Finding eligible patients was difficult due to low incidence
- Well-established PK-PD models with data rich PK bridging studies provide valuable information to establish dosage regimens
- Regulatory acceptance of study design globally was a huge hurdle
 - Standard of care differs globally
- Neonatal population is vulnerable and parental consent is difficult to obtain
- Eligibility criteria increased the challenges in enrollment and sites ability to participate
- Data requirements and efficacy definitions require careful consideration and the expectations need to balance practical and logistical issues with the need for level of proof for regulatory assessment (e.g. 2 negative cultures to define eradication)



Future Direction

• A combination of well-established *in vivo* PK-PD models with data rich PK bridging studies, and an open-label clinical trial / registry leveraging comparisons to contemporaneous historical controls may be an appropriate development path

