

# DMPQ Filing Memo - XYNTHA

DATE of  
MEMORANDUM: June 5, 2007

FROM: Daniel Kearns, HFM-675

TO: STN 125264/0

THROUGH: Carolyn Renshaw, Branch Chief, HFM-675

SUBJECT: Wyeth ----- at ----- BLA 125264/0 for the modified manufacturing process of ReFacto® to produce Antihemophilic Factor (Recombinant), Plasma/Albumin-free (also referred to as Moroctocog Alfa (AF-CC) and B-Domain Deleted Recombinant Factor VII (BDDrFVIII, ReFacto AF).

CONCLUSION: The submission appears to contain sufficient information in accord with CBER guidance on the filing of applications (Manual of Standard Operating Procedures and Policies Regulatory - License Applications Refusal to File Procedures for Biologic License Applications SOPP 8404 version #2, Oct. 2, 2002) to enable a substantive review of this application. The methods, procedures, and validation documentation provided in this submission, under DMPQ review purview, appear satisfactory to conclude that the submission meets filing criteria. Therefore, I find the submission acceptable for filing as submitted.

**PDUFA SUMMARY:** This BLA (biologic license application) was received at CBER on April 25, 2007 and was assigned to myself on June 4, 2007. It had been initially assigned to Robert Stevenson, but to due to workload constraints was reassigned to me on June 4, 2007. The PDUFA Action Due date is February 23, 2008. The filing action is due June 24, 2007. A pre-approval inspection will not be necessary for this application, as all manufacturing sites have been inspected within the last 12 months.

## **NOTEWORTHY ASPECTS:**

On 09 October 2006, Wyeth submitted the moroctocog alfa (AF-CC) Pre-BLA Briefing Book that contained a list of questions for discussion (BB IND -----, SN 0119). FDA provided a written response to the questions and additional comments (FDA Letter CRMTS# 5925, dated 09 November 2006). In lieu of having a face-to-face meeting, Wyeth and FDA agreed to a teleconference on 14 November 2006, to discuss questions 1, 3a, 8 and 12.

Below are the pertinent portions of the meeting minutes and discussions as they relate to manufacturing (DMPQ).

Question 12  
Drug Product Master Validation Plan

a. Does the Agency concur with the number of lots, batch sizes, and lyophilizer ----- strategy planned to support the manufacture of moroctocog alfa drug product (AF-CC) at the Wyeth -----?

*FDA Response:*

*The ----- approach may be acceptable; however this cannot be fully assessed given the information in this pre-read. You have provided no information regarding the lyophilizer cycle itself ----- . You have also provided no information regarding temperature mapping, moisture mapping, sampling plan, -----, etc. for us to evaluate your proposed plan regarding the validation lots. Validation of the ---- lyophilizers should demonstrate ----- -- performance ----- . Product produced and lyophilized in the ----- facility, should be ----- . Temperature mapping should show consistency within 1°C.*

*Please provide the sampling plan for the seeded lots and the process validation lots. Please provide additional information on the container closure size and fill volume for all dosage strengths.*

*Will the same lyophilizer cycle be used for all dosage strength? Please explain how the thermal characteristics of the placebo are similar to product.*

*Wyeth Response:*

Wyeth appreciates the Agency's comments on the drug process validation plan, and would like to provide additional clarification. See Attachment 1 for additional information needed to answer the original question from Wyeth.

b. Does the Agency concur with Wyeth's proposal to ----- the BLA with the remaining ----- post-approval?

- File with ---- seeded stability evaluations and - process qualifications.
- Follow-up with ----- as a post-approval commitment.

*FDA Response:*

*Please see comments above.*

*Wyeth Response:*

Wyeth intends to provide data in the BLA to demonstrate that the --- lyophilizers (-----) operate -----, as discussed in Attachment 1. Additionally, Wyeth will provide process data in the BLA demonstrating that the ReFacto and morcotocog alfa (AF-CC) drug product processes are ----- . Is the number of lots proposed for the submission acceptable to gain approval of the morcotocog alfa drug product (AF-CC) process run in --- lyophilizers at the Wyeth ---- facility? The final report documenting the morcotocog alfa drug product (AF-CC) process validation lots will be available -----.

Wyeth appreciates the Agency's comments on the drug process validation plan, and would like to provide additional clarification. Regarding the Agency's request for additional information regarding the lyophilizer cycle itself and its -----

[illegible]

For lyophilization cycle -----lyophilizers, -----  
-----  
-----  
the cycle acceptance limits are ---°C -----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
Regarding proposed validation  
for temperature mapping, moisture mapping, sampling, -----, etc.  
Wyeth's project plan includes the availability of all of the information that was mentioned  
in the Agency response. Table Q12-2 summarizes the availability of the information.

Regarding the Agency's comments of validation of the --- lyophilizers should demonstrate ----- performance ----- and temperature mapping should show consistency within 1°C. Wyeth's strategy for qualifying ReFacto manufacture (from AF-CC drug substance) in ----- is based on the -----of the -----

TO BE

NOT RELEASABLE

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

#### **BACKGROUND**

The product for which this application is submitted is designated as an Orphan Drug. ReFacto® Antihemophilic Factor, Recombinant is a B-Domain Deleted recombinant antihemophilic FVIII [BDDrFVIII] (STN 103779) and was submitted to the FDA on February 2, 1998 and received approval on March 6, 2000. The International Nonproprietary Name (INN) of the active pharmaceutical ingredient is moroctocog alfa. Wyeth states that it has developed an improved manufacturing process for the drug substance that eliminates the need for all human- or animal-derived proteins from the manufacturing process and in addition incorporates virus-retaining filtration. BDDrFVIII manufactured using this albumin free cell culture process is referred to as moroctocog alfa (AF-CC).

Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc. has submitted a BLA (125264/0) for Antihemophilic Factor (Recombinant), Plasma/Albumin-free. This Antihemophilic Factor (Recombinant), Plasma/Albumin-free represents a modified drug substance manufacturing process from the currently approved product ReFacto® Antihemophilic Factor (Recombinant) STN 103779 (formerly BLA 98-0137).

To distinguish references to the current licensed ReFacto (STN 103779), from BDomain Deleted Recombinant Factor VIII (BDDrFVIII, ReFacto AF) conducted under BB-IND ----, Wyeth will refer to the former as ReFacto or ReFacto (current) and the later as moroctocog alfa (AF-CC). In some instances, the term ReFacto AF has been used, however this is synonymous with moroctocog alfa (AF-CC).

As for the approved product ReFacto, the proposed indications for this Antihemophilic Factor (Recombinant), Plasma/Albumin-free include:

- Control and prevention of hemorrhagic episodes in patients with hemophilia A (congenital factor VIII deficiency or classic hemophilia)
- Surgical Prophylaxis in Patients with Hemophilia A

According to Wyeth, moroctocog alfa (AF-CC) BB IND ----- was submitted to the Office of Blood Research and Review on 21 September 2001, effective 21 November 2001, to support the development program for moroctocog alfa (AF-CC). Wyeth states that communications with the Agency were conducted to address key aspects of the clinical development program to meet FDA requirements for approval of moroctocog alfa (AF-CC). A summary of these communications are included in module 1.6.3 Correspondence regarding Meetings.

Wyeth states Moroctocog alfa (AF-CC) is formulated as a sterile, non-pyrogenic, lyophilized powder preparation for intravenous (IV) injection. It is provided in single-use vials containing the labeled amount of FVIII activity (International Units [IU]). Each vial contains nominally 250, 500, 1000, or 2000 IU per vial. Upon reconstitution with the supplied diluent (0.9% Sodium Chloride solution), the product is a clear to slightly opalescent, colorless solution that contains sodium chloride, sucrose, L-histidine, calcium chloride and polysorbate 80.

-----, The module also includes all other chemistry and manufacturing information required per CTD guidance to demonstrate consistent processing of the drug substance and drug product.

Wyeth states that comparability has been demonstrated between moroctocog alfa produced by the current process to that produced by albumin-free cell culture process. The components of the comparability program include an -----

Wyeth states that changes from the current manufacturing process for ReFacto with respect to the ----- are delineated in module 2.2 Introduction to Summaries, section 2.1.1 Changes From the Current Process. Wyeth claims that there were *no **major** changes to the manufacturing process used to make ReFacto drug product* (i.e., between ReFacto and AF-CC). The manufacturing process for drug product manufactured using drug substance from the AF-CC process -----.

Wyeth states that to minimize potential product impact, -----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----.

Wyeth states that there are ----- to the drug product manufacturing process or formulation. In order to accommodate the ----- of the moroctocog alfa AF-CC process, drug product manufactured using the drug substance from the moroctocog alfa AF-CC process has been qualified in a ----- lyophilizer, will use ----- vials (----- vials) with a compatible stopper, and will incorporate a ----- instead of a ----- . Comparability of drug product made at the ----- (Wyeth -----) drug product ----- has been established through -----  
-----  
-----.

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Wyeth states that it meets the criteria of 21 CFR 25.31(c) for a categorical exclusion and that there are no extraordinary circumstances in the manufacture of this product. I agree that Wyeth meets the criteria for an exclusion from preparing an environmental assessment for this product.

## FILING REVIEW

The review is conducted in the same order as the "submission sequence". As clinical and other data is dispersed throughout the submission that is not a review responsibility of DMPQ, those sections are not reviewed by myself. Not every section under DMPQ





This section provides diagrams and schematics of the Wyeth, ----- facilities and includes information on process flows, water systems, and HVAC and associated contamination control procedures and methods. As I have been recently to the Wyeth --- facility in -----, and have been in the past to -----, this section appears satisfactory. Although I have never been to -----, it was recently inspected by a CBER inspector (i.e., Dr. T. Lee).

### 3.2.S.2 Manufacture

3.2.S.2.5 Process Validation and/or Evaluation This 139 page section is specifically evaluated because it contains a considerable amount of information with regard to process validation. The section appears to thoroughly and comprehensively address all aspects of the drug substance manufacturing process, including cell culture, bioreactor operations, purification processes, e.g., chromatography and nanofiltration.

### REFERENCES

The Food and Drug Administration's Development, Issuance, and Use of Guidance Documents. FEDERAL REGISTER, Vol. 62, No. 39. Thursday, February 27, 1997.

Guidance for Review Staff and Industry Good Review Management Principals and Practices for PDUFA Products. FDA, April 2005.

Guidance for Industry M4Q: The CTD -- Quality. Food and Drug Administration, CBER & CDER. ICH April 2003.

Guideline on General Principles of Process Validation. May 1987. FDA Center for Drugs and Biologics and Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

Guidance for Industry Sterile Drug Products Produced by Aseptic Processing -- Current Good Manufacturing Practice. FDA September 2004.

Guidance for Industry: Changes to an Approved Application: Biological Products. CBER, July 1997.

Guide to Inspections of Validation Documentation. FDA, October 1995.

Compliance Policy Guide 100.550 7150.16 (Sec. 100.550). Status and Responsibilities of Contract Sterilizers Engaged in the Sterilization of Drugs and Devices.

Federal Register, 11/25/92 (57 FR 55544), Notice: FDA's Policy Statement Concerning Cooperative Manufacturing Arrangements for Licensed Biologics.

FEDERAL REGISTER, Vol. 61, No. 133, Wednesday, July 10, 1996, International Conference on Harmonization; Final Guideline on Stability Testing of Biotechnological/Biological Products, page 35467.

FEDERAL REGISTER, Vol. 58, No 147, Tuesday, August 3, 1993, Current Good Manufacturing Practice in Manufacturing, Processing, Packing, or Holding of Drugs; Revision of Certain Labeling Controls, page 41348.

Guide to Inspection of Validation of Cleaning Processes, FDA, July 1993.

Guidance for Industry: Container Closure Systems for Packaging Human Drugs and Biologics, Chemistry, Manufacturing Documentation. FDA, CDER & CBER, May, 1999.

Guidance for Industry: Container Closure Systems for Packaging Human Drugs and Biologics - Questions and Answers. FDA, CDER & CBER, May, 2002.

GUIDELINE ON VALIDATION OF THE LIMULUS AMEBOCYTE LYSATE TEST AS AN END-PRODUCT ENDOTOXIN TEST FOR HUMAN AND ANIMAL PARENTERAL DRUGS, BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS, AND MEDICAL DEVICES Center for Drug Evaluation and Research; Center for Biologic Evaluation and Research; Center for Devices and Radiological Health; Center for Veterinary Medicine. December 1987.

Guideline for Submitting Documentation for Sterilization Process Validation in Applications for Human and Veterinary Drug Products. FEDERAL REGISTER, Vol. 58, No. 231, Friday, December 3, 1993.

Draft Guidance for Industry Container and Closure Integrity Testing in Lieu of Sterility Testing as a Component of the Stability Protocol for Sterile Products, FEDERAL REGISTER, January 28, 1998.

HUMAN DRUG CGMP NOTES, Volume 6, Number 4, December, 1998 "Is testing rinse solution alone enough to support residue determinations for cleaning validation?"

SOPP 8401. "Administrative Processing of Biologic License Application (BLA)" date May 1, 2007.

SOPP 8410 "Determining When Pre-License/Pre-Approval Inspections are Necessary" date Dec. 4, 2001

SOPP 8407 "Compliance Status Checks" date November 28, 2006.

International Conference on Harmonization; Guideline on Validation of Analytical Procedures: Definitions and Terminology. Federal Register, Wednesday, March 1, 1995.

International Conference on Harmonization; Guideline on the Validation of Analytical Procedures: Methodology. Federal Register, Monday, May 19, 1997.

ISO 14644-1 Cleanrooms and associated controlled environments-- Part 1: Classification of air cleanliness.

ISO 14644-2 Cleanrooms and associated controlled environments-- Part 2: Specifications for testing and monitoring to prove continued compliance with ISO 14644-1.

EUDRALEX (European Union) Volume 4 - Medicinal Products for Human and Veterinary Use: Good Manufacturing Practice, Annex 1. EU Directive 2003/94/EC, October 8, 2003

26 USP <1116> Microbiological Evaluation of Clean Rooms and Other Controlled Environments

26 USP <1231> Water for Pharmaceutical Purposes

26 USP <381> Elastomeric Closures for Injection

26 USP <1207> Sterile Product Packaging--Integrity Evaluation

26 USP <71> Sterility tests

26 USP <1225> Validation of Compendial Methods

26 USP <1211> Sterilization and Sterility Assurance of Compendial Articles

Noble, Norma Howell, "Validation of Environmental Monitoring Methods and Levels" Journal of Parenteral Science and Technology, January February, 1993.

Bader, Blum, Garfinkle, MacFarland, Massa, Copmann, "Multiuse Manufacturing Facilities for Biologicals" Biopharm, September, 1992.

Lhoest, Willy J., "Design and Selection of Pharmaceutical Production Equipment in the Scope of Modern Automated Plants PartI" Pharmaceutical Engineering, March/April 1991.

Agarwal, Shahidi, Del Ciello. "Design Concepts for Multipurpose Bulk Pharmaceutical Facilities" Pharmaceutical Engineering, January/February, 1990.

"Environmental Monitoring Consideration for Biological Manufacturing," Nancy A. Roscioli, et. al., BioPharm, September 1996.

Hill, Donald and Beatrice, Michael. "Facility Requirements for Biotech Plants"  
Pharmaceutical Engineering, July/August, 1990.

FDA Update on Aseptic Processing Guidelines, Journal of Parenteral Science & Technology, Edmund M. Fry, Center for Drugs and Biologics, FDA, Volume 41, number 2, March/April 1987.

Validation of Air Systems, Validation of Aseptic Pharmaceutical Processes, Carleton, Frederick J., Agalloco, James P., ed., 1986. page 128.

PDA's Technical Report No. 27, "Pharmaceutical Package Integrity," PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology Supplement, Volume 52, Number S2, 1998.

Technical Methods Bulletin No. 2, Elastomeric Closure: Evaluation of Significant Performance and Identity Characteristics. Parenteral Drug Association.

Nguyen, Lida; Walaisiri, Muangsiri; et. al, Pharmaceutical Container/Closure Integrity IV: Development of an Indirect Correlation Between Vacuum Decay Leak Measurement and Microbial Ingress, PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Vol.53, No. 4, July-August 1999, pages 211 - 216.

Kirsch, Lee; Nguyen, Lida; et. al., Pharmaceutical Container/Closure Integrity I, Mass Spectrometry-Based helium Leak Rate Detection for Rubber-Stoppered Glass Vials, PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Vol.51, No. 5, September-October 1997, pages 187 - 194.

Kirsch, Lee; Nguyen, Lida; et. al., Pharmaceutical Container/Closure Integrity II, The Relationship Between Microbial Ingress and Helium Leak Rates in Rubber-Stoppered Glass Vials, PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Vol.51, No. 5, September-October 1997, pages 195 - 202.

Kirsch, Lee; Nguyen, Lida; et. al., Pharmaceutical Container/Closure Integrity III, Validation of the Helium Leak Rate Method for Rigid Pharmaceutical Containers, PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Vol.51, No. 5, September-October 1997, pages 203 - 207.

Burrell, Lana; Carver, Mary; et. al., Development of a Dye Ingress Method to Assess Container-Closure Integrity: Correlation to Microbial Ingress, PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Vol.54 No. 6, November-December 2000, pages 449 - 455.

Morton, Dana K., Container/Closure Integrity of Parenteral Vials, Journal of Parenteral Science and Technology, Vol. 41, No. 5, September-October 1987, pages 145 - 158.

Guazzo, Dana Morton, Current Approaches in Leak Testing Pharmaceutical Packages, Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Vol. 50, No. 6, November-December 1996, pages 378 - 385.

Siliconization of Parenteral Drug Packaging Components, Technical Report No. 12, Journal of Parenteral Science and Technology. Volume 42, number 4S, 1988.

Cloud, Phil. "Validation of Packaging Equipment." Pharmaceutical Equipment Validation. Interpharm Press, 1998.

Wang, Y. John, Chen, Heidi, Busch, Mark, and Baldwin, Patricia A., Headspace Analysis for Parenteral Products: Oxygen Permeation and Integrity Test, Pharmaceutical Technology, March 1997.

Townsend, Michael W., Bice, Conti, Hazrate, Azar, and Deluca, Patrick P., Cleanliness Assessment of Supplier-Cleaned Stoppers, Journal of Parenteral Science and Technology, March/April 1989.

Chrai, Suggy, Heffernan, Gayle, and Myers, Ted. Glass Vial Container-Closure Integrity Testing -- An Overview, Pharmaceutical Technology September 1994.

Ford, Annetter, W., and Dawson, Peter J., Effect of Type of Container, Storage Temperature and Humidity on the Biological Activity of Freeze-dried Alkaline Phosphatase, Biologicals, 1994, Pages 191-197.

ISPE Biopharmaceutical Baseline Guide Chapter 5, Process Support and Utilities December 4, 2002.

Colman, Laurie, B.; Heffernan, Gayle, D. Dry-Heat Sterilization and Depyrogenation. In: Carleton, Frederick, J.; Agalloco, James P. editors Validation of Pharmaceutical Processes Sterile Products, second edition. NY: Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1998:483-526.

Parenteral Drug Association, Inc. Validation of Dry Heat Processes Used for Sterilization and Depyrogenation. Technical Report No. 3.

Joslyn, Larry J. Sterilization by Heat. In: Brock, Seymour s. Disinfection, Sterilization, and Preservation, fifth edition. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2001: 695-728.

Anschel, Joachim. General Guidelines for the Processing of Glass Containers for Parenteral Products. Bulletin of the Parenteral Drug Association. Vol. 31, No. 1, January-February 1977.

LeBlanc, Destin A. "Visually Clean" as a Sole Acceptance Criterion for Cleaning Validation Protocols, PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical science and Technology, Volume 56. No. 1, January/February 2002.

Westman, Lotta. Methods for detecting residues of Cleaning Agents During Cleaning Validation, PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical science and Technology, Volume 54. No. 5, September/October 2000.

LeBlanc, Destin A. Establishing Scientifically justified Acceptance Criteria for Cleaning Validation of Finished Drug Products, Pharmaceutical Technology, October 1998.

LeBlanc, Destin A., Danforth, Douglas, D., Smith, James, M. Cleaning Technology for Pharmaceutical Manufacturing, Pharmaceutical Technology, July 1993.

Fourman, Gary L, Mullen, Michael V. Determining Cleaning Validation Acceptance Limits for Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Operations, Pharmaceutical Technology, April 1993.

Harder, S. W. The validation of cleaning procedures, Pharmaceutical Technology, May 1984.

Hwang, Ruey-ching, Kowalski, Donna L. and Truelove, James E. Process design and Data Analysis for Cleaning Validation, Pharmaceutical Technology, January 1997.

Cooper, Douglas W. Cleaning Aseptic Fill Areas, Pharmaceutical Technology, February 1996.