#### Bronchitol<sup>®</sup> Inhaled Dry Powder Mannitol (DPM) for Adult Patients with Cystic Fibrosis

#### Chiesi USA, Inc.

Pulmonary-Allergy Drug Advisory Committee May 8, 2019

## Introduction

#### Mark Parry-Billings, PhD

Head of Corporate Drug Development Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A.



## **Bronchitol Introduction**

- Clinical profile
  - Unique mechanism to improve lung function
  - Naturally-occurring osmotic agent, GRAS\*
  - FEV<sub>1</sub> is prognostic indicator for morbidity and mortality in CF
  - Generally well-tolerated safety profile
- Evidence base includes
  - Consistent FEV<sub>1</sub> improvement across three Phase 3 trials
  - 8 years of worldwide post-approval clinical experience
- Easy-to-use inhaled dry powder form of mannitol
- Treatment option for adult patients with CF

\*Generally recognized as safe

#### **Bronchitol Clinically-Impactful MoA: Airway Clearance**



#### **Airway Clearance-Targeted Mechanism of Action Confirmed in CF Patients**



\*p < 0.01 for mannitol vs. control on both post intervention clearance and cough clearance Adapted from Robinson, 1999.

#### **Bronchitol Administered Using Easy-to-Use Dry Powder Inhaler**



## **Global Bronchitol Experience**

- First approved in Australia in 2011
- Approved in 35 countries for treatment of adult patients with CF
  - Markets include UK, Germany, Italy, and Spain
  - Approximately 8,000 patients treated
  - No notable safety concerns

#### **Bronchitol Proposed Indication**

 ...for the management of cystic fibrosis to improve pulmonary function in patients 18 years and older in conjunction with standard therapies.

## US Regulatory History (2012 - 2013)

- NDA submission 2012 by Pharmaxis
  - Patients aged 6 years and older
  - Two phase 3 studies (301 and 302) in both pediatric and adult patients
- PADAC, CRL and End-of-Review Conference 2013
  - Two phase 3 studies not adequate
    - Study 302 missed primary endpoint
    - Study 301 statistical analysis did not account for frequent early dropouts
    - Hemoptysis concerns in pediatric patients
  - Third phase 3 study in adults requested

## **US Regulatory History (2018)**

- December 2018: NDA resubmission
  - In line with pre-submission meeting
  - Focused on new Phase 3 Study 303
  - Adults only
  - Drop outs minimized and accounted for in analysis
  - Earlier studies (301 and 302) reassessed using prespecified statistical plan for Study 303
  - Integrated analysis for safety and efficacy

#### Benefit-Risk Supported By Three Randomized, Double-Blind, Controlled Phase 3 Studies

789 adult patients with CF randomized in Phase 3 studies



#### Agenda

## Unmet Need & Disease Background

**Efficacy of Bronchitol** 

#### **Safety of Bronchitol**

#### **Clinical Perspective**

#### Scott H. Donaldson, MD

Professor of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Director, Adult Cystic Fibrosis Center

#### **Carmen Dell'Anna, MD**

Vice President, Medical Affairs Chiesi USA, Inc.

#### **W. James Alexander, MD, MPH**

Senior Medical Affairs Consultant Chiesi USA, Inc.

#### Patrick A. Flume, MD

Professor of Medicine and Pediatrics Medical University of South Carolina Powers-Huggins Endowed Chair for Cystic Fibrosis

#### **Additional Experts**

#### Simon Day, PhD

Biostatistician Director at Clinical Trials Consulting & Training North Marston, Buckinghamshire

#### **Alexandra Quittner, PhD**

Senior Scientist Miami Children's Research Institute

#### **Carsten Schwarz, MD**

Head Adult Cystic Fibrosis Centre, Lung-Transplantation Program Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin

## Unmet Need and Disease Background

#### Scott H. Donaldson, MD

Professor of Medicine University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

#### CO-15

## **CF** is a Life Shortening Genetic Disease<sup>1</sup>

- > 30,000 patients in the US
  - Adults represent 54% of CF population
- Single gene, autosomal recessive disorder
- > 90% Caucasians
- Median predicted survival increased 11 years since 2002
- 2017 average age at death ~ 30 years

1. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry, Annual Data Report 2017

#### **CF Disease Focuses on Airways<sup>1</sup>**

- Multi-organ disease
- Lung is primary organ impacted
  - Bronchiectasis with chronic, polymicrobial infection
  - Structure and function of lung progressively declines
- Respiratory failure accounts for > 80% of mortality<sup>2</sup>



## **CF** Pathophysiology



#### **Treating Airway Clearance Improves Clinical Outcome**



## **FEV<sub>1</sub> Accepted Measure of Lung Function, Predictor of Morbidity and Mortality**

- FEV<sub>1</sub> is primary spirometric parameter of interest
  - Strong relationship between FEV<sub>1</sub> and structural lung damage<sup>1</sup>
  - Strongest clinical predictor of exercise capacity and survival<sup>2</sup>

## **FEV<sub>1</sub> Key Predictor of Morbidity and Mortality Well Established in Literature**



Figured recreated from Kerem, NEJM 1992.

#### **Mucociliary Clearance in CF**





#### **Mucus Plugging of CF Airways**

**Resected lung with mucus** 



Photo micrograph of CF airway



#### **Therapies Recommended in CF Guidelines**



#### **CFTR Modulators to Treat People with Cystic Fibrosis**

- CFTR modulators effective in proportion of CF population
- Some not eligible for CFTR modulator therapies
- Slows, but does not stop progression of lung disease
- Ongoing need for downstream treatments focused on airway clearance

## **US Adult CF Population Expected to Increase Dramatically**



Adapted from 2018 Revised Model for July 2018 CFF Medical Strategy Retreat

#### **Treatment Burden**



2017 CF Patient Registry

Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry, Annual Data Report, 2017.

## **Treatment Burden Reduces Adherence and is Associated with Worse Clinical Outcomes**

- Nebulized medication adherence: ~ 36%<sup>1</sup>
- Adherence to inhaled medications varies with difficulty
  - 36% with HS; 62% with rhDNase<sup>2</sup>
- Clinical outcomes correlate with adherence<sup>2</sup>

## What do People with CF Tell Us?

- Surveys of CF community to establish research priorities
- Treatment burden is research priority for patients and providers
  - James Lind Alliance: 1<sup>st</sup> out of 10 (N=677)<sup>1</sup>
  - Cystic Fibrosis Foundation: 3<sup>rd</sup> out of 12 (N=135)<sup>2</sup>
- Patients want effective therapies that take less time

#### **A Patient Story – Meet Kim**

- 30-year old female
- Married, 2 children, employed
- Chronic airway infection and pancreatic insufficiency
- Bronchiectasis with FEV<sub>1</sub> 50% predicted

## A Typical Day for Kim – Juggling Every Day Activities While Managing CF

#### Morning

- Wakeup 5am
- Begins therapy
  - Inhaled bronchodilator
  - Hypertonic saline
  - Airway clearance
  - rhDNase
  - Inhaled tobramycin
- Cleans devices
- Gets ready for work
- Gets kids ready for school
- Eats breakfast
- Goes to work

#### Evening

- Leaves work
- Arrives home
- Dinner preparation
- Homework review
- Children's bedtime
- Begins therapy
  - Inhaled bronchodilator
  - Hypertonic saline
  - Airway clearance
  - Inhaled tobramycin
- Cleans devices
- Bedtime 10pm

# Adults with CF Need Effective, Efficient Treatment Options

- Lung disease progression persists in adults despite intensive treatment regimens
- Feasible treatments more likely to be used, and achieve real world efficacy
- Ongoing goal: improve airway clearance and lung function
- Options that reduce treatment burden and increase portability demanded by people with CF and caregivers

CO-32

## **Efficacy in Adult Patients** with Cystic Fibrosis

#### Carmen Dell'Anna, MD

Vice President, Medical Affairs Chiesi USA, Inc.

## **Efficacy Agenda**

- Overview of Phase 3 clinical studies
- Primary study results
- Sensitivity / responder analyses
- Other pulmonary function endpoint results
- Other clinical endpoint results (PDPE and CFQ-R)

#### **Bronchitol Efficacy Supported by 3 Randomized, Double-Blind Controlled Phase 3 Studies**

789 adult patients with CF randomized in Phase 3 studies



#### **Similar Design Across Phase 3 Studies**

Randomized, Double-Blinded Studies 301, 302, 303 Open-label Extension for Studies 301 and 302 only

	Screening		Bronch	nitol 400 mg BID			
	and MTT*		Control (mannitol 50 mg BID)			Bronchitol 400 mg BID	
Assessi	ments: Ba	seline	Week 6	Week 14	We 2	Veek Additional 26 26 weeks (Study 302 52 weeks (Study 301	))

\*Mannitol Tolerance Test administered under medical supervision (~ 92% of patients pass MTT)

# Low Dose Mannitol 50 mg Used as Control to Protect Blind

- Same taste and appearance
- Phase 2 supported a lack of response with low dose
- Selection discussed with FDA
# **Key Enrollment Criteria for Adults in Phase 3 Studies**

Key Inclusion Criteria	Study 303	Study 301	Study 302			
% predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> at screening	> 40% and < 90%	≥ 30% and < 90%	≥ 40% and < 90%			
Permitted maintenance therapies	Established antibiotics and / or rhDNase treatments					
Key Exclusion Criteria						
Prohibited therapies	Nebulized hype non-	ertonic saline for ma selective oral β-bloo	aintenance and ckers			
Mannitol Tolerance Test (MTT)	Failure to s	successfully comple	ete the MTT			

### Primary and Secondary Endpoints in Phase 3 Studies

- Primary endpoint
  - Change from baseline in FEV<sub>1</sub> over 26-weeks
- Other pulmonary function endpoints
  - Change from baseline in FVC over 26-weeks
  - Change from baseline in FEF<sub>25-75</sub> over 26-weeks
- Other clinical endpoints
  - Rate of PDPE over 26-weeks
  - Change from baseline in CFQ-R respiratory domain

FEV<sub>1</sub>=Forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC=Forced vital capacity; FEF<sub>25-75</sub>=Forced expiratory flow in middle half of an expiration PDPE=Protocol defined pulmonary exacerbation; CFQ-R=Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire Revised

# **Statistical Analysis Accounted for Missing Data**

- Analysis population ITT: all adult patients randomized
- Handling of missing data for patients who withdrew from study
  - Due to AE, death, lack of efficacy or physician decision; missing values imputed with BOCF\*
  - Due to other reasons; no formal imputation
- Primary analysis: Mixed Model Repeated Measures (MMRM)
- Analysis included all available data, regardless of discontinuation of study medication

# Multiple Sensitivity Analyses to Confirm Robustness of Primary Endpoint

- Pattern Mixture Modeling (PMM)
  - Multiple imputation based on reason for study withdrawal
  - Multiple imputation regardless of reason for study withdrawal
- MMRM without imputation of missing data
- Tipping point analysis
- Responder analysis

# Patient Disposition: Study 303 Withdrawal Lower and Balanced

	Study 303		Study	301	Study 302		Integrated	
	Bronchitol N=209	Control N=214	Bronchitol N=124	Control N=85	Bronchitol N=97	Control N=60	Bronchitol N=430	Control N=359
Treated with drug	99%	> 99%	92%	89%	96%	97%	96%	97%
Completed study	88%	89%	57%	61%	72%	83%	75%	81%
Study Withdrawal	12%	11%	43%	39%	28%	17%	25%	19%
AE	5%	3%	19%	14%	9%	3%	10%	6%
Withdraw consent	6%	6%	15%	20%	10%	10%	9%	10%
Physician decision	0	0	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	< 1%
Sponsor decision	0	0	4%	2%	0	0	1%	< 1%
Lack of efficacy	< 1%	< 1%	0	0	0	0	< 1%	< 1%
Other	< 1%	2%	< 1%	1%	6%	2%	2%	2%

## **Demographics in Adults Across Three Phase 3 Studies**

	Study	Study 303		301	Study 302		Integrated	
	Bronchitol N=209	Control N=214	Bronchitol N=124	Control N=85	Bronchitol N=97	Control N=60	Bronchitol N=430	Control N=359
Age and Gender								
Mean age, years	27	29	29	29	27	29	28	29
Male	56%	50%	59%	46%	61%	62%	58%	51%
Race								
White	97%	98%	98%	99%	99%	100%	97%	98%
Other	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0	3%	2%
BMI, kg/m²								
Mean	22	22	23	22	22	22	22	22
Region								
US	27%	28%	-	-	59%	60%	27%	26%
Non-US	73%	72%	100%	100%	41%	40%	73%	74%

#### **Baseline Disease Characteristics**

	Study 303		Study	301	Study 302		Integrated	
	Bronchitol N=209	Control N=214	Bronchitol N=124	Control N=85	Bronchitol N=97	Control N=60	Bronchitol N=430	Control N=359
Lung Function at Baseline								
Mean FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	2.45	2.38	2.27	2.10	2.38	2.30	2.38	2.30
Mean % Predicted	63%	63%	58%	58%	61%	60%	61%	61%
% Predicted FEV <sub>1</sub>								
≤ 50%	24%	22%	31%	34%	24%	35%	32%	31%
> 50% to ≤ 70%	39%	48%	43%	34%	44%	35%	41%	42%
> 70%	36%	31%	26%	32%	32%	30%	26%	27%
Symptoms at Baselin	е							
Median CFQ-R score	66.7	66.7	66.7	72.2	72.2	61.1	66.7	66.7
Score > 50	78%	78%	80%	78%	79%	71%	79%	77%
Presence of Pseudon	nonas aerug	inosa						
% at screening	44%	43%	60%	67%	59%	57%	52%	51%

# **Exacerbation History Within 12 Months of Study**

	Study 303		Study	y 301* Stu		302	Integrated*	
	Bronchitol N=209	Control N=214	Bronchitol N=124	Control N=85	Bronchitol N=97	Control N=60	Bronchitol N=430	Control N=359
PE Hospitalization								
0	58%	63%	-	-	61%	65%	-	-
≥ 1	42%	37%	-	-	39%	35%	-	-
PE with IV antibiotics	5							
0	52%	56%	-	-	55%	62%	-	-
≥1	48%	44%	-	-	45%	38%	-	-

# **Efficacy Agenda**

- Overview of Phase 3 clinical studies
- Primary study results
- Sensitivity / responder analyses
- Other pulmonary function endpoint results
- Other clinical endpoints (PDPE and CFQ-R)

# **Primary Endpoint: Significant Change from Baseline FEV<sub>1</sub> Over 26-Weeks (ITT)**



MMRM with BOCF based on dropout reasons \*Analysis for study 301 and 302 performed post-hoc

# **Efficacy Agenda**

- Overview of Phase 3 clinical studies
- Primary study results
- Sensitivity / responder analyses
- Other pulmonary function results
- Other clinical endpoints (PDPE and CFQ-R)

# **Sensitivity Analyses Support Robust Results** (Study 303)

		Treatmen	t Difference	
Study 303				
Main Analysis – MMRM w/ BOCF imputation using drop out reason		·		
Sensitivity – PMM w/ multiple imputation using dropout reasons		F	<b>—</b>	
Sensitivity – PMM w/ multiple imputation w/o regard to dropout reason		·		
Sensitivity – MMRM w/o imputation			• <u> </u>	
	-0.1	Ó	0.1	0.2
	Favor	s Control Favo	ors Bronchitol	

# **Sensitivity Analyses Support Robust Results** (Studies 301, 302, and Integrated)

**CO-49** 

	Treatment Difference
Study 301	
Main Analysis – MMRM w/ BOCF imputation using drop out reason	
Sensitivity – PMM w/ multiple imputation using dropout reasons	
Sensitivity – PMM w/ multiple imputation w/o regard to dropout reason	
Sensitivity – MMRM w/o imputation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Study 302	
Main Analysis – MMRM w/ BOCF imputation using drop out reason	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sensitivity – PMM w/ multiple imputation using dropout reasons	
Sensitivity – PMM w/ multiple imputation w/o regard to dropout reason	
Sensitivity – MMRM w/o imputation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Integrated Efficacy	
Main Analysis – MMRM w/ BOCF imputation using drop out reason	
Sensitivity – PMM w/ multiple imputation using dropout reasons	·●1
Sensitivity – PMM w/ multiple imputation w/o regard to dropout reason	<b>⊢</b> −−1
Sensitivity – MMRM w/o imputation	
	_0.1 0 0.1 0
	Favors Control Favors Bronchitol

# **Bronchitol Provided Improved FEV**<sub>1</sub> **Responder Rate at Week 26 (Study 303)**



Logistic regression model: patients without week 26 data considered non-responders

CO-50

**Bronchitol** 

Control

#### Studies 302 and 301 Similar Results to Study 303: Improved FEV<sub>1</sub> Responder Rate at Week 26



CO-51

# **Treatment Effect By Severity Over 26-Weeks** (Integrated Analysis)



# **Efficacy Agenda**

- Overview of Phase 3 clinical studies
- Primary study results
- Sensitivity analyses
- Other pulmonary function results
- Other clinical endpoints (PDPE and CFQ-R)

# **FVC Improvement Over 26 Weeks Supports Consistent Benefit of Bronchitol**



### **FEF<sub>25-75</sub> Improvement Over 26 Weeks Supports Benefit of Bronchitol**



# **Efficacy Agenda**

- Overview of Phase 3 clinical studies
- Primary study results
- Sensitivity / responder analyses
- Other pulmonary function results
- Other clinical endpoints (PDPE and CFQ-R)

### **Low Rate of PDPEs**

	Study	303	Study	301 Stud		302	Integr	ated
	Bronchitol N=209	Control N=214	Bronchitol N=124	Control N=85	Bronchitol N=97	Control N=60	Bronchitol N=430	Control N=359
Reported PDPEs								
% of patients ≥ 1 PDPE	13%	14%	21%	32%	15%	13%	16%	18%
# of PDPE events	34	29	35	37	18	8	87	74
PDPE Rate								
Adjusted PDPE rate per patient / year	0.257	0.215	0.719	0.995	0.350	0.221	0.393	0.388
Adjusted rate ratio (95% CI) p-value	1.19 (0.714, p = 0	94 1.997) .499	0.72 (0.425, p = 0	23 1.231) .232	1.5 (0.681, p = 0	82 3.672) .286	1.0 (0.725, p = 0.	14 1.420) .934

Negative binomial model without imputation

# **No Difference in Time to First PDPE** (Study 303)



# **Change in CFQ-R Respiratory Domain Over 26 Weeks**

	Study 303		Study	Study 301		Study 302		Integrated	
	Bronchitol N=209	Control N=214	Bronchitol N=124	Control N=85	Bronchitol N=97	Control N=60	Bronchitol N=430	Control N=359	
Change from Baselin	e over 26 Wo	eeks							
Adjusted Mean	-0.94	-1.86	-2.10	-4.25	0.02	-0.56	-0.19	-0.34	
Adjusted Mean Difference (95% CI) p-value	0.58 (-1.7 p = 0	′6, 2.92) .627	0.92 (-3.4 p = 0.	3, 5.28) .676	2.15 (-13. 6 p = 0.	66, 17.97) .748	0.16 (-1.7 p = 0.	7, 2.09) 871	

# **CFQ-R Change from Baseline Over 26 Weeks – More Symptomatic Patients (Baseline CFQ-R Score ≤ 50)**

	Study 303		Study	dy 301 Stud		302	Integrated	
	Bronchitol N=45	Control N=46	Bronchitol N=17	Control N=14	Bronchitol N=18	Control N=17	Bronchitol N=80	Control N=77
Baseline (mean)	42.0	36.7	37.6	34.9	38.9	37.6	40.3	36.6
Change from baselin	e							
Adjusted mean	16.4	11.9	10.4	7.6	19.6	15.6	15.7	11.3
Adjusted mean difference (95% CI)	4.5 (-1.13, <sup>-</sup>	0 10.14)	2.9 (-10.24,	)1 16.05)	4.0 (-7.01,	)0 15.00)	4.4 (-0.12,	-2 8.96)

# **Summary of Bronchitol Efficacy**

- Demonstrated clear, consistent, improvements in FEV<sub>1</sub>
  - Greater improvement seen in more severe patients
  - Results confirmed in multiple sensitivity and responder analyses
- Secondary lung function endpoints support primary results
- PDPE: similar between arms
  - Very few exacerbations during study
- CFQ-R: similar between arms
  - Improvement seen in more symptomatic patients

# **Safety of Bronchitol**

#### W. James Alexander, MD, MPH

Chiesi Medical Affairs Senior Medical Consultant

# Safety Profile of Bronchitol is Well Characterized in Adults with CF

- Three Phase 3 studies similar designs, pooled data
- Overall safety profile
- Safety profile in open-label extension
- Adverse events of special interest
  - Pulmonary exacerbations\* in US and non-US subpopulations

# **508 Adult Patients Treated with Bronchitol 400 mg BID in Phase 3 Studies**

	Patients (N)
Underwent mannitol tolerance test (MTT)	896
Passed mannitol tolerance test (MTT)	824
Randomized and treated with Bronchitol in double-blind phase (DBP)	414
Control patients treated with Bronchitol in open-label extension (OLE)	94
Total patients treated with Bronchitol 400 mg BID (study or extension)	508

## **Overall AE Profile was Similar Between Bronchitol and Control**

Patients with	Bronchitol N=414	Control N=347
≥ 1 AE	78%	74%
≥ 1 severe AE	13%	13%
≥1 SAE	19%	18%
≥ 1 AE leading to discontinuation of study drug	12%	9%
AE with fatal outcome	0	0.3%

# Similar Incidence of AEs (≥ 5% of Patients) with Bronchitol or Control

Patients with	Bronchitol N=414	Control N=347
≥1AE	78%	74%
Pulmonary exacerbation*	32%	33%
Cough	15%	11%
Headache	11%	14%
Hemoptysis	10%	10%
Nasopharyngitis	7%	7%
Pharyngolaryngeal pain**	7%	4%
Bacteria sputum identified	7%	5%
Upper respiratory tract infection	6%	6%
Lower respiratory tract infection	4%	5%

\*Coded as condition aggravated \*\*Coded as oropharyngeal pain

# Similar Occurrence of SAEs with Bronchitol or Control (≥ 1% of Patients)

Patients with	Bronchitol N=414	Control N=347
≥1 SAE	19%	18%
Pulmonary exacerbation*	13%	11%
Hemoptysis	1% 1%	
Lower respiratory tract infection	1%	0.9%
Pneumonia	0.2%	1%

# **AEs Leading to Study Drug Discontinuation**

Patients with	Bronchitol N=414	Control N=347
≥ 1 AE leading to study drug discontinuation	12%	9%
Cough	5%	3%
Pulmonary exacerbation*	3%	3%
Hemoptysis	2%	1%
Chest discomfort	1%	1%
Wheezing	0.2%	1%
Bronchospasm	0.5%	0
Pharyngolaryngeal pain**	0.5%	0

\*Coded as condition aggravated \*\*Coded as oropharyngeal pain

# **Overall AE Profile of Bronchitol Treatment During 6-12 Month Open Label Extension**

Patients with	Bronchitol OLE N=224
≥ 1 AE	87%
≥ 1 severe AE	15%
≥1 SAE	25%
≥ 1 AE leading to discontinuation of study drug	7%
AE with fatal outcome	0

# Safety Profile of Bronchitol is Well-Characterized in Adults with CF

- Three Phase 3 studies similar, pooled data
- Overall safety profile
- Safety profile in open-label extension
- Adverse events of special interest
  - Pulmonary exacerbations\* in US and non-US subpopulations

**CO-70** 

#### **Adverse Events of Special Interest**

Patients with ≥ 1 AE	Bronchitol N=414	Control N=347
Cough and/or productive cough	17%	12%
Pharyngolaryngeal pain*	7%	4%
Hemoptysis	10%	10%
Bronchospasm	1%***	0.6%
Pulmonary exacerbation**	32%	33%

\*Coded as oropharyngeal pain

\*\*Coded as condition aggravated

\*\*\*Preferred terms of bronchospasm and bronchial hyperreactivity

# FDA Briefing Book Table 33: Studies 301, 302, and 303 Pooled, Exacerbations, US and Non-US Subgroups, Patients ≥ 18 Years

	Studies 301, 302, 303 Pooled			
	US Population		Non-US Population	
CF Exacerbations	Bronchitol N=110	Control N=93	Bronchitol N=304	Control N=254
SAEs	23 (21%)	10 (11%)	32 (11%)	29 (11%)
Any exacerbation	42 (38%)*	33 (36%)	90 (30%)	81 (32%)
# More US Bronchitol Patients had Prior History of PE

	US Population		Non-US Population*	
Baseline Characteristics	Bronchitol N=110	Control N=93	Bronchitol N=190	Control N=178
≥ 1 PE hospitalization in 12 months prior to screening	45%	38%	38%	35%
≥ 2 PE hospitalization in 12 months prior to screening	20%	14%	13%	15%

 Similar imbalance seen in US patients with PE requiring IV antibiotics in 12 months prior to screening

\*Includes studies 303 and 302, no data on previous hospitalizations or administration of IV antibiotics available for study 301

# Most Exacerbation SAEs Occurred in Patients with Prior History of PE

	US Population		Non-US Population*	
Patients with CF Exacerbations	Bronchitol N=110	Control N=93	Bronchitol N=190	Control N=178
SAEs	23 (21%)	10 (11%)	10 (5%)	12 (7%)
History of ≥ 1 PE hospitalization in 12 months prior to screening	21	6	9	7

# Pulmonary Exacerbations: Not a Unique Risk Related to Bronchitol in US Patients

- US subpopulation data need to be interpreted with caution
- Imbalances at baseline in US patients for prior PEs further confound interpretation of this small subset
- Overall safety population shows no increase in risk of PE with Bronchitol treatment

#### **Summary of Bronchitol Safety in Adult CF Patients**

- Bronchitol was generally well-tolerated
- Cough and pharyngolaryngeal pain more frequent with Bronchitol
  - Cough expected due to mechanism of action
  - Pharyngolaryngeal pain expected due to local mucosal effects
- Other AESIs similar between arms
  - Hemoptysis
  - Bronchospasm
  - Pulmonary exacerbations
- Safety supported by 8 years of post-marketing data and 5-year registry study conducted by UK CF trust

# **Bronchitol: A Clinician's Perspective**

#### Patrick A. Flume, MD

Professor of Medicine and Pediatrics Medical University of South Carolina The Powers-Huggins Endowed Chair for Cystic Fibrosis

#### **Bronchitol Targets Airway Clearance Complementing Other Recommended Therapies**



# How the CF Clinician Looks at a New Therapeutic Option

- What is the evidence for efficacy?
- What is the safety and tolerability of the therapy?
- When and how will I introduce it into my patient's regimen?

### **Evidence to Support a Clinically Meaningful FEV**<sub>1</sub> **Improvement**



Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry, Annual Data Report, 2017.

# **Treatment Effect by Severity Over 26-Weeks** (Integrated Analysis)



# How the CF Clinician Looks at a New Therapeutic Option

- What is the evidence for efficacy?
- What is the safety and tolerability of the therapy?
- When and how will I introduce it into my patient's regimen?

# **Safety and Tolerability of New Therapy**

- Some patients cannot tolerate introduction of a medication, whether by nebulized solution or by powder
  - Can be mitigated with information and improvement in patient techniques
  - Mannitol tolerance test identifies patients who experience bronchospasm and those patients will not receive treatment

# No Differences in Risk of Pulmonary Exacerbations

Integrated Safety Dataset (% of Patients)	Bronchitol N=414	Control N=347
Pulmonary exacerbation* (any)	32%	33%
Pulmonary exacerbation* (SAEs)	13%	11%

- What is the evidence for efficacy?
- What is the safety and tolerability of the therapy?
- When and how will I introduce it into my patient's regimen?

#### **Physician / Patient Discussion Regarding Current Regimens**

- CFTR modulators shown great results
- Patients ask what therapies they may stop
- Patients most interested in stopping therapies that
  - Take the most time
  - Require most effort in setup and cleaning
- Need options to individualize therapy

## **Advantages of Bronchitol Therapy**

- Low treatment burden
  - Portable
  - ~ 5 minute treatment
  - Minimal set-up
  - Minimal cleaning
  - No refrigeration required
- Conveniently fits lifestyle of patients

#### **Why Would Patients Choose Bronchitol?**



- Reduced treatment time
- Portable option
- Discrete
- Does not require a nebulizer
- May increase adherence to therapy

## **Bronchitol: Viable Treatment Option with Positive Benefit-Risk Profile**



### **Bronchitol<sup>®</sup> Inhaled Dry Powder Mannitol (DPM) for Adult Patients with Cystic Fibrosis**

#### Chiesi USA, Inc.

Pulmonary-Allergy Drug Advisory Committee May 8, 2019

# **Back-up Slides**

# Baseline Characteristics of US Patients with SAEs of Pulmonary Exacerbation (PE)\*

	Bronchitol N=23	Control N=10
Percent predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> , mean	56%	56%
Number of hospitalizations for PE in 12 m	onths prior to screening:	
None	2	4
1	4	3
2	11	0
3	5	2
4	1	1
Prevalence of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> at screening	65%	50%

#### SAE of Pulmonary Exacerbation (PE)\* -- 3-Fold Lower In Bronchitol Patients Without Prior History of Hospitalization (Pooled – Study 303 and 302)

Patients in Studies 302 and 303 with:	Bronchitol	Control
NO hospitalization for PE in prior 12 months	178	173
One SAE of PE in DBP	3 (1.7%)	9 (5.2%)
Mild	1	1
Moderate	2	5
Severe	0	3
Day of Onset of PE (mean)	105	101
Percent predicted FEV1 (mean) at screening	55.2% [3 Pt]	51.3% [9 Pt]

## **Sample Size Assumptions (Study 303)**

- Treatment difference: 80ml
- Standard deviation: 230ml
- Power: 90%, 2-sided alpha: 0.05
- 350 randomized patients
- Assumptions based on 301, 302 effect and SD observed for completers
- Blinded sample-size reassessment pre-planned due to uncertainty about SD

## Change from Baseline in % Predicted FEV<sub>1</sub> Over 26 Weeks Favored Bronchitol



Mixed Model Repeated Measures (MMRM) with BOCF based on dropout reasons

# **Bronchitol Provided Improved % Predicted FEV** $_1 \ge 5\%$ **Responder Rate at Week 26**



≥ 5% Responder Threshold for Change in % Predicted FEV<sub>1</sub>

## **Study 303: Patients Discontinued Treatment But Continued in Study**



#### FEV<sub>1</sub> Trend in Patients Who Discontinued Treatment But Continued in Study – Bronchitol





# Change from Baseline in FEV<sub>1</sub> Over 26 Weeks (Study 303 and Integrated Analysis)



Week

\*Includes studies 303, 301 and 302

## **Bronchitol Provided Improved FEV<sub>1</sub> Responder (>100ml) Rate at Each Visit** (Study 303)



### **Treatment Completers Analysis Supports Sustained Benefit at Each Visit (Study 303)**



# Long-Term Effect in Open-label Phase for Bronchitol (Pooled Study 301 and 302)



\*Only subjects continuing the study in OLP are considered

# **Tipping Point Analysis Support Robustness of FEV**<sub>1</sub> **Results (Study 303)**

Penalties assigned for missing data to lose statistical significance

Penalty to control	Penalty required to Bronchitol for non-significance (p > 0.05)
0 L	- 0.100 L
- 0.020 L	- 0.100 L
- 0.040 L	- 0.120 L
- 0.060 L	- 0.140 L
- 0.080 L	- 0.140 L
- 0.100 L	- 0.160 L
	•••
- 0.240 L	- 0.240 L

# **Disease Characteristics - US and Non-US Patients (Study 303)**

	US Patients		Non-US Patients	
	Bronchitol	Control	Bronchitol	Control
	N=57	N=59	N=152	N=155
Mean time since diagnosis, years	24	24	18	19
Mean age at diagnosis, years	5	9	8	8
CFTR Mutation, (%)				
Both deltaF508	28%	29%	26%	20%
One deltaF508	58%	53%	38%	37%
% with PE treated with IV in last 12 months	51%	34%	47%	48%
% with PE requiring hospitalization in last 12 months	42%	30%	42%	39%
% with prior diagnosis of bronchiectasis	60%	59%	66%	68%
% with presence pseudomonas aeruginosa at screening	63%	60%	38%	37%

### Lung Function and Symptoms at Baseline -US and Non-US (Study 303)

	US Patients		Non-US Patients	
	Bronchitol N=57	Control N=59	Bronchitol N=152	Control N=155
Mean FEV <sub>1</sub> at Baseline (L)	2.31	2.41	2.51	2.37
Mean % Predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> at Baseline	62%	65%	64%	62%
% Predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> at Baseline				
≤ 50%	23%	17%	25%	23%
> 50% to ≤ 70%	44%	44%	38%	49%
> 70%	33%	39%	38%	28%
Median baseline CFQ-R score	72	72	67	61

# US and Non-US Patients: AE Profile (Pooled – All Phase 3 Studies)

	US		Non-US	
Pulmonary exacerbation	Bronchitol N=110	Control N=93	Bronchitol N=304	Control N=254
Any AE of Pulmonary exacerbation	38%	36%	30%	32%
SAE, n (%)	23 (21%)	10 (11%)	10 (5%)	12 (7%)
History of ≥ 1 PE hospitalization in 12 months prior to screening, n	21	6	9	7
Prevalence of P. aeruginosa	65%	50%	na	na

- Small number of events drove difference in SAE rate in US
- Pseudomonas rate higher in US IV antibiotic use drives hospitalization
- Hospitalization results in AE coding of "Serious"
- Majority of events assessed as mild or moderate

# **Rate of PDPE Sensitivity Analyses** (Study 303)

Statistical Method	Adjusted rate ratio
Single Imputation using history of exacerbation	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
No missing imputation	
Multiple imputation	· · · · •
Copy reference	▶ <b>•</b> •
Jump-to-reference	▶ <b>→</b>
	0 1 2
	← Favors Bronchitol Favors Control