
Opioid Analgesic Drugs: Considerations for Benefit-Risk Assessment Framework Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact Sharon Hertz at 301-796-1225.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**June 2019
Clinical/Medical**

Opioid Analgesic Drugs: Considerations for Benefit-Risk Assessment Framework Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353; Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov
<https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**June 2019
Clinical/Medical**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND	1
III.	BENEFIT-RISK ASSESSMENT	3
	A. Benefits to the Patient Using the Drug as Labeled	3
	B. Risks to the Patient Using the Drug as Labeled.....	3
	C. Effectiveness and Safety Relative to Approved Analgesic Drugs.....	4
	D. Broader Public Health Effects: Risks and Mitigation of Risks Related to Misuse, Abuse, Opioid Use Disorder, Accidental Exposures, and Overdose.....	5
	E. Risk Management.....	6

1 **Opioid Analgesic Drugs: Considerations**
2 **for Benefit-Risk Assessment Framework**
3 **Guidance for Industry¹**
4
5

6
7 This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug
8 Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not
9 binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the
10 applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible
11 for this guidance as listed on the title page.
12

13
14
15 **I. INTRODUCTION**
16

17 The purpose of this guidance is to describe the benefit-risk assessment framework that the
18 Agency uses in evaluating whether applications for opioid analgesic drugs meet the standard for
19 approval under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. This guidance
20 summarizes the information that should be included in a new drug application for an opioid
21 analgesic drug to facilitate the Agency’s benefit-risk assessment.
22

23 In general, FDA’s guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.
24 Instead, guidances describe the Agency’s current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only
25 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of
26 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but
27 not required.
28

29
30 **II. BACKGROUND**
31

32 Benefit-risk assessment is the foundation for FDA’s regulatory review of human drugs and
33 biologics. These assessments capture the evidence, uncertainties, and reasoning used by FDA to
34 arrive at its regulatory decisions. Additionally, these assessments serve as tools for
35 communicating that information to those interested in a better understanding of FDA’s thinking.
36

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

37 FDA has developed a benefit-risk assessment framework — a structured, qualitative approach to
38 FDA’s benefit-risk assessment² formatted as a table (see Figure 1 below).³ In Figure 1, the
39 factors affecting the benefit-risk assessment are listed on the left side. As reflected in the shaded
40 boxes, the top two factors (*Analysis of Condition* and *Current Treatment Options*) relate to the
41 specific therapeutic area — the current state of knowledge regarding the condition to be treated
42 and the available therapies. The bottom two factors (*Benefit* and *Risk and Risk Management*) are
43 specific to the drug at issue.

44
45 FDA assesses risks and benefits of all drugs in the context of the use indicated in the labeling.
46 However, because of the widespread misuse and abuse of prescription opioid analgesic drugs, for
47 this class of drugs, FDA also considers the broader public health effect of opioid analgesic drugs;
48 this involves consideration of the risks related to misuse, abuse, opioid use disorder, accidental
49 exposure, and overdose, for both patients and others. Likewise, FDA considers any properties of
50 a drug expected to mitigate these risks. This guidance describes the various factors that FDA
51 will consider in evaluating the benefits and risks of an opioid analgesic drug. FDA encourages
52 applicants to provide information relevant to these factors.

53
54 **Figure 1: FDA’s Benefit-Risk Assessment Framework**

55

<i>Benefit-Risk Integrated Assessment</i>		
<i>Benefit-Risk Dimensions</i>		
Dimension	Evidence and Uncertainties	Conclusions and Reasons
Analysis of Condition		
Current Treatment Options		
Benefit		
Risk and Risk* Management		

56
57 * For purposes of this figure, *Risk and Risk Management* includes not only risks to the patient when used as
58 indicated but also risks related to the broader public health sometimes described as second-order effects. And, in
59 assessing risks to the broader public health, the Agency is making an assessment relative to other currently available
60 analgesic drugs.

61
62

² See the Enhancing Benefit-Risk Assessment in Regulatory Decision-Making web page available at <https://www.fda.gov/ForIndustry/UserFees/PrescriptionDrugUserFee/ucm326192.htm>.

³ See the Benefit-Risk Assessment in Drug Regulatory Decision-Making implementation plan available at <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/UserFees/PrescriptionDrugUserFee/UCM602885.pdf>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

63 **III. BENEFIT-RISK ASSESSMENT**

64
65 The following sections describe the information that FDA will consider in assessing the benefits
66 and risks of an opioid analgesic drug. Consistent with the benefit-risk assessment framework,
67 FDA considers the benefits and risks to the patient when the drug is used as labeled, as well as
68 the benefits and risks relative to other available therapies for pain. Additionally, FDA considers
69 the public health risks of the drug related to misuse, abuse, opioid use disorder, accidental
70 exposure, and overdose in both patients and nonpatients, as well as any properties of the drug
71 that may mitigate such risks. Note that the risk of opioid use disorder can arise even when a
72 patient is taking an opioid analgesic drug as labeled.

73
74 The sections below provide recommendations for information the applicant should provide to
75 assist FDA in its assessment.

76
77 **A. Benefits to the Patient Using the Drug as Labeled**

78
79 The Agency will consider questions including the following about benefits to patients who are
80 prescribed the drug and take it as labeled and directed by their prescribers:

- 81
- 82 • Analgesic efficacy of the drug when used for its proposed indication
 - 83
 - 84 – What is the body of evidence supporting a finding of analgesic drug efficacy?
 - 85
 - 86 – In what patient population(s) was efficacy demonstrated? Why was the patient
 - 87 population chosen for the efficacy study? How does the population studied reflect the
 - 88 proposed indication (i.e., is the proposed indication broader than the population
 - 89 studied)?
 - 90
 - 91 – What is the body of evidence supporting the proposed duration of use for each
 - 92 proposed indication?
 - 93
 - 94 • Safety of the drug when used for its proposed indication
 - 95
 - 96 – Does the drug have characteristics that mitigate adverse events associated with opioid
 - 97 analgesic drugs, including respiratory depression, sedation, and constipation? What
 - 98 data support the conclusion that these risks are mitigated?
 - 99
 - 100 – Does the drug have characteristics that mitigate the risk of opioid use disorder when
 - 101 used as labeled? How do the safety data support this?
 - 102

103 **B. Risks to the Patient Using the Drug as Labeled**

104
105 In addition to the already known risks associated with opioid analgesic drugs, the Agency will
106 also consider questions including the following about risks to patients who are prescribed this
107 drug and take it as labeled and directed by their prescribers:

108

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 109 • Does this particular drug have any novel risks not typically associated with opioid
110 analgesic drugs? How serious are they? Can they be mitigated through monitoring,
111 patient selection characteristics, or limiting duration of use? Are the novel risks
112 reversible?
113
- 114 • Do the formulation and/or excipients pose risks to patients (e.g., tablets that swell in the
115 gastrointestinal tract, tablets that may adhere to moist mucosal surfaces)? For drugs
116 formulated to have abuse-deterrent properties, are there any adverse events associated
117 with the drug product when used as labeled that are attributable to aversive excipients or
118 excipients intended to impart resistance to manipulation?
119
- 120 • Are there characteristics of the drug that increase or decrease the risk for respiratory
121 depression, sedation, or development of opioid use disorder in patients (e.g., large
122 residual opioid in transdermal systems, high dosage strengths)? Can the risks be
123 mitigated by particular packaging configurations or storage and disposal conditions?
124
- 125 • Is there evidence that adverse events typically associated with opioid analgesic drugs
126 occur at a higher rate or with greater severity with the new drug than expected for similar
127 drugs based on clinical trials or theoretical risks?
128

C. Effectiveness and Safety Relative to Approved Analgesic Drugs

130
131 As part of the benefit-risk assessment for a particular drug and proposed indication, FDA
132 considers the benefits and risks relative to other available therapies for the condition. FDA will
133 consider the questions including the following in assessing effectiveness and safety of an opioid
134 analgesic drug:
135

- 136 – Do any comparative efficacy data exist for the drug relative to approved opioid or
137 nonopioid analgesic drugs? Does this analgesic drug offer any advantages relative to
138 available approved analgesic drugs for each indication, with regard to effectiveness or
139 duration of response?
140
- 141 – Do any comparative safety data exist for the drug relative to approved opioid or
142 nonopioid analgesic drugs? Does this analgesic drug offer any other safety
143 advantages or disadvantages relative to available approved analgesic drugs for each
144 indication (e.g., abuse-deterrent properties, less risk of drug-drug interactions)?
145
- 146 – What is the anticipated benefit-risk balance relative to available approved analgesic
147 drugs for each indication? Do any comparative safety data exist for the drug relative
148 to approved opioid or nonopioid analgesic drugs? Does this analgesic drug offer any
149 other safety advantages or disadvantages relative to available approved analgesic
150 drugs for each indication (e.g., less risk of drug-drug interactions)?
151
- 152 – Does the drug have any other advantages over other available approved analgesic
153 drugs (e.g., can be mixed with food)?
154

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

155 FDA notes that, while the comparative data described above is helpful in applying the benefit-
156 risk framework, superiority to other available treatments is not a requirement for approval under
157 FDA’s drug approval authorities.

D. Broader Public Health Effects: Risks and Mitigation of Risks Related to Misuse, Abuse, Opioid Use Disorder, Accidental Exposures, and Overdose

161
162 In the overall benefit-risk assessment of opioid analgesic drugs, FDA will consider the positive
163 and negative public health effects of the drug, which includes the drug’s potential effect on risks
164 to both patients and nonpatients, such as members of the patient’s household (e.g., children,
165 teenagers, visitors, and others). The risks considered include those related to misuse, abuse,
166 opioid use disorder, accidental exposure, and overdose. FDA’s evaluation of the broader public
167 health effect of a new opioid analgesic drug is made relative to other currently available
168 analgesic drugs.

- 169
170 • In evaluating ways in which an opioid analgesic drug positively or negatively affects
171 public health FDA will consider the following:
172
 - 173 – Are there characteristics of the drug that increase or decrease the risk of accidental
174 exposure in children (e.g., tablet size, color, flavor, packaging configuration,
175 appearance of topical systems)?
176
 - 177 – Are there characteristics of the drug that increase or decrease the risk of misuse,
178 abuse, opioid use disorder, and related adverse outcomes such as overdose and
179 infectious complications of injection (e.g., abuse-deterrent properties, large residual
180 opioid in transdermal systems, high dosage strengths)? Can the risks be mitigated by
181 particular packaging configurations or storage and disposal conditions?
182
 - 183 – Are there increased or decreased risks associated with the indicated method of
184 delivery (i.e., delivery device)? For example, does the delivery method affect an
185 existing risk or introduce a novel risk?
186
 - 187 – To support the opioid-specific public health benefit-risk evaluation, the applicant
188 should use traditional epidemiologic data sources (e.g., surveys, emergency
189 department visits, poison control center calls) and nontraditional sources (e.g.,
190 internet discussion forums and blogs, social media, qualitative/ethnographic studies,
191 law enforcement data) to provide information about how this moiety or similar opioid
192 analgesic drugs are misused and abused in postmarketing settings. These data should
193 address demographic patterns of abuse, the routes by which these drugs are abused,
194 concomitant abuse of other substances, as well as risks of related adverse outcomes
195 (e.g., addiction, fatal and nonfatal overdose, infectious complications of abuse).
- 196
197 • For abuse-deterrent formulations, in addition to considering any potential benefits of such
198 drug products, FDA also will consider the following in terms of opioid-specific public
199 health considerations:
200

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 201 – Potential unintended adverse consequences with introduction of the abuse-deterrent
202 formulation, such as the following:
203
- 204 ■ A shift to more dangerous routes of abuse (e.g., nasal to the more dangerous
205 intravenous) based on properties of the formulation.
206
 - 207 ■ Potential tampering methods that could result in harmful effects, including
208 injection-related harms (e.g., large volume extraction of drug that leads to
209 increased sharing of drug paraphernalia increasing the risk of human
210 immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis transmission).
211
 - 212 ■ Any other potential safety concerns related to the abuse-deterrent formulation.
213
- 214 ● For safety of excipients by unintended routes of administration, FDA will consider the
215 following in terms of opioid-specific public health considerations:
216
- 217 – Based on a risk assessment of the excipients in the drug, the potential safety concerns
218 for the drug when administered by unintended routes of administration, including
219 intravenous, intranasal, and inhalation.
220
- 221 ● For specific populations that may present distinct benefit-risk profiles, FDA will consider
222 the following in terms of opioid-specific public health considerations:
223
- 224 – The potential for subpopulations where the benefit-risk balance may be unfavorable
225 (e.g., adolescents, patients with mental health and/or substance use disorders, patients
226 with certain other comorbidities). The applicant should include a discussion of
227 anticipated use-specific subpopulations and proposed approaches to mitigate such
228 risks, if present.
229

E. Risk Management

230
231
232 FDA has determined that a class-wide risk evaluation and mitigation strategy (REMS) is
233 necessary for all opioid analgesic drugs intended for outpatient use to ensure that the benefits of
234 these drugs continue to outweigh the risks.⁴ The Opioid Analgesic REMS program requires that
235 training be made available to all health care providers (HCPs) who are involved in the
236 management of patients with pain, including nurses and pharmacists.⁵ To meet this requirement,
237 drug companies with approved opioid analgesic drugs provide unrestricted grants to accredited
238 continuing education providers for the development of education courses for HCPs based on

⁴ See the Opioid Analgesic Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy web page at <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/InformationbyDrugClass/ucm163647.htm>. Note that certain opioid analgesic drugs are subject to other REMS. Information on the specific REMS associated with each approved opioid analgesic drug can be found on the FDA's Approved Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies (REMS) web page at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/remis/index.cfm>.

⁵ Ibid.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

239 FDA’s Opioid Analgesic REMS Education Blueprint for Health Care Providers Involved in the
240 Treatment and Monitoring of Patients with Pain.⁶

241
242 To the extent that the safety profile of a drug product may differ from those drug products
243 covered by the class-wide REMS, a product-specific REMS or REMS element may be required.
244 For example, an opioid analgesic drug that must be restricted to use in a monitored inpatient
245 setting may need additional risk mitigation strategies to ensure the drug product does not leave
246 the hospital. In short, the applicant for an opioid analgesic drug should include any proposed
247 REMS that the applicant considers necessary to ensure a drug’s benefits outweigh its risks. All
248 sponsors of opioid analgesic drugs should begin discussions with FDA early during drug
249 development regarding product-specific risks and the potential need for additional risk
250 mitigation.

⁶ Available at
https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/remis/Opioid_analgesic_2018_09_18_FDA_Blueprint.pdf.