Food and Drug Administration Public Meeting - LPAD Pathway

July 12, 2019

A Matter of Record (301) 890-4188

I UI	iic wieeting - Li AD i attiway			July 12, 2	017
		Page 1		Pag	je 3
1	FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION		1	C O N T E N T S (continued)	
2			2	AGENDA ITEM PA	GE
3			3	Public Presentation	
4			4	Elizabeth Lovinger	81
5			5	Questions	86
6	Public Meeting		6	Public Presentation	
7	Limited Population Pathway for		7	David Angulo, MD	90
8	Antibacterial and Antifungal Drugs		8	Questions	99
9	(LPAD Pathway)		9	Public Presentation	
10	(10		04
11			11		14
12	Friday, July 12, 2019		12	Public Presentation	
13	9:01 a.m. to 11:39 a.m.		13		19
14	9:01 a.m. to 11:39 a.m.			***************************************	
			14	•	27
15			15	•	31
16			16	Closing Remarks	
17			17	Edward Cox, MD, MPH 1	41
18			18		
19	10903 New Hampshire Avenue		19		
20	White Oak Campus		20		
21	Silver Spring, Maryland		21		
22			22		
		Page 2		Pag	e 4
1	CONTENTS		1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE	2	(9:01 a.m.)	
3	Introductions and Opening Remarks		3	Introductions and Opening Remarks	
4	Edward Cox, MD, MPH	4	4	DR. COX: We're at 9:00, so we'll go ahead and	
5	Public Presentation		5	get started. Welcome to everybody who's here joining	1
6	John Rex, MD	22	6	us in person, and also to all those folks that are	
7	Questions	31	7	joining us via webcast. Welcome to the LPAD Pathwa	ıy
8	Public Presentation			, ,	
1	Public Presentation		8	Guidance public meeting.	
9	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD	37	8 9	Guidance public meeting. I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll	
9 10		37 48	9	-	
	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD		9 10	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll	
10	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions		9 10	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah.	i
10 11	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions Public Presentation	48	9 10 11 12	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah. MS. WALINSKY: Hi, everyone. My name is Sara	i ih
10 11 12	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions Public Presentation Phoebe Mounts, PhD	48 52	9 10 11 12	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah. MS. WALINSKY: Hi, everyone. My name is Sara Walinsky. I am a policy advisor in FDA's CDER and ON	i ih
10 11 12 13	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions Public Presentation Phoebe Mounts, PhD Questions	48 52	9 10 11 12 13 14	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah. MS. WALINSKY: Hi, everyone. My name is Sara Walinsky. I am a policy advisor in FDA's CDER and ON MS. TIERNEY: Hi there. Julie Tierney, senior	i ih
10 11 12 13 14	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions Public Presentation Phoebe Mounts, PhD Questions Public Presentation	48 52 60	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah. MS. WALINSKY: Hi, everyone. My name is Sara Walinsky. I am a policy advisor in FDA's CDER and ON MS. TIERNEY: Hi there. Julie Tierney, senior policy advisor for strategic planning and legislation	i ih
10 11 12 13 14 15	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions Public Presentation Phoebe Mounts, PhD Questions Public Presentation Colin McGoodwin	48 52 60	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah. MS. WALINSKY: Hi, everyone. My name is Sara Walinsky. I am a policy advisor in FDA's CDER and ON MS. TIERNEY: Hi there. Julie Tierney, senior policy advisor for strategic planning and legislation in the immediate Office of the Director and Center for	i ih
10 11 12 13 14 15	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions Public Presentation Phoebe Mounts, PhD Questions Public Presentation Colin McGoodwin Questions	48 52 60	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah. MS. WALINSKY: Hi, everyone. My name is Sara Walinsky. I am a policy advisor in FDA's CDER and ON MS. TIERNEY: Hi there. Julie Tierney, senior policy advisor for strategic planning and legislation in the immediate Office of the Director and Center for Biologics.	i ih
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions Public Presentation Phoebe Mounts, PhD Questions Public Presentation Colin McGoodwin Questions Public Presentation Jack Mitchell	48 52 60 62 69	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah. MS. WALINSKY: Hi, everyone. My name is Sara Walinsky. I am a policy advisor in FDA's CDER and ON MS. TIERNEY: Hi there. Julie Tierney, senior policy advisor for strategic planning and legislation in the immediate Office of the Director and Center for Biologics. DR. ADEBOWALE: Good morning. My name is	i ih
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions Public Presentation Phoebe Mounts, PhD Questions Public Presentation Colin McGoodwin Questions Public Presentation	48 52 60 62 69	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah. MS. WALINSKY: Hi, everyone. My name is Sara Walinsky. I am a policy advisor in FDA's CDER and ON MS. TIERNEY: Hi there. Julie Tierney, senior policy advisor for strategic planning and legislation in the immediate Office of the Director and Center for Biologics. DR. ADEBOWALE: Good morning. My name is Abimbola Adebowale. I am the associate director for	i ih
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions Public Presentation Phoebe Mounts, PhD Questions Public Presentation Colin McGoodwin Questions Public Presentation Jack Mitchell	48 52 60 62 69	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah. MS. WALINSKY: Hi, everyone. My name is Sara Walinsky. I am a policy advisor in FDA's CDER and ON MS. TIERNEY: Hi there. Julie Tierney, senior policy advisor for strategic planning and legislation in the immediate Office of the Director and Center for Biologics. DR. ADEBOWALE: Good morning. My name is Abimbola Adebowale. I am the associate director for labeling in the Division of Anti-Infective Drug	i ih
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thomas Walsh, MD, PhD Questions Public Presentation Phoebe Mounts, PhD Questions Public Presentation Colin McGoodwin Questions Public Presentation Jack Mitchell	48 52 60 62 69	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think to start out, what we'll do is we'll have the folks on the panel here introduce themselves so you know who's up here, and we'll start with Sarah. MS. WALINSKY: Hi, everyone. My name is Sara Walinsky. I am a policy advisor in FDA's CDER and ON MS. TIERNEY: Hi there. Julie Tierney, senior policy advisor for strategic planning and legislation in the immediate Office of the Director and Center for Biologics. DR. ADEBOWALE: Good morning. My name is Abimbola Adebowale. I am the associate director for	i ih

Page 5

- 1 on the mics, you've got to be pretty close.
- 2 DR. ADEBOWALE: Okay. So you couldn't hear 3 me?
- 5 1110.
- 4 DR. COX: Get a little closer.
- 5 DR. ADEBOWALE: Closer? Okay. Can you hear
- 6 me now? Oh, sorry about that.
- 7 Good morning. My name is Abimbola Adebowale.
- 8 I am the associate director for labeling in the
- 9 Division of Anti-Infective Drug Products, in OND, in
- 10 CDER.
- DR. NAMBIAR: Good morning. Sumathi Nambiar,
- 12 director, Division of Anti-Infective Products, CDER,
- 13 FDA.
- MS. SCHUMANN: Hi. I'm Katie Schumann, policy
- 15 advisor in the Office of New Drugs, CDER, FDA. Thanks.
- 16 DR. COX: Great. Thanks.
- 17 Maybe just to start out with a few
- 18 housekeeping issues, we do ask that folks register at
- 19 the desk out there. I'm guessing most people got
- 20 caught before they got in the room. We appreciate your
- 21 signing in.
- 22 For those that are interested in lunch

- 1 you if they so choose.
- 2 As far as the agenda for the day, just to
- 3 start out, we've got nine speakers registered. Each
- 4 will have 10 minutes to present. We do ask that each
- 5 of the speakers try and stick to their allotted time
- 6 frames. After the 10-minute presentation, there will
- 7 be a 5-minute time period where folks on the panel are
- 8 able to ask questions.
- 9 If we do see that the presentations are
- 10 running along quickly or we don't fill the full
- 11 5 minutes with regards to the Q&A, we will continue to
- 12 move along. So it's possible that as a speaker, you
- 13 may be asked to come to the podium a little bit earlier
- 14 than your particular listed time. We do ask that the
- 15 speakers really do try and stick to the timelines.
- 16 That helps us to manage the time and make sure that
- 17 everybody gets a fair chance.
- There is going to be an open public comment
- 19 period towards the end. I think it starts at 11:50.
- 20 If you're interested, for the open public comment
- 21 period, we're providing 3-minute time slots, and we do
- 22 ask that you sign up at the registration table out

Page 6

- 1 following the conclusion of the meeting, it will be
- 2 available at the kiosk around noon, and folks may have
- 3 seen that or been familiar with it from other advisory
- 4 committees. It's just over this way. Restrooms are
- 5 also located over this way. You just go down the
- 6 hallway, and you make a right, in essence, and then a
- 7 left, and you'll get to the bank of restrooms.
- The workshop website I have on the slide up
- 9 here. The slides will be uploaded. This meeting is
- 10 being webcast, just to let folks know, for all of us on
- 11 the panel and for all the speakers. Typically, the
- 12 transcripts will be available and posted on the webpage
- 13 about 30 to 45 days after the meeting.
- 14 Our media contact is Alison Hunt. I'm not
- 15 sure if Alison has joined us yet; maybe not. But
- 16 she'll serve as our media contact. This meeting is
- 17 subject to the FDA policy and procedures for electronic
- 18 media coverage. Representatives of the media are
- 19 permitted, subject to certain limitations, to
- 20 videotape, film, or otherwise record FDA's public
- 21 proceedings, including presentations of the speakers
- 22 today. So if you're a speaker, the media may record

- 1 front. That way we'll know how many people are
- 2 interested and be able to call people up who are
- 3 interested.
- 4 Just a little bit of background with regards
- 5 to the LPAD Pathway. Most people are probably
- 6 familiar, but it was established under the 21st Century
- 7 Cures Act, which was signed into law in December of
- 8 2016. As a part of the requirements under the LPAD
- 9 legislation, one of the things that we were required to
- 10 do was to put together a draft guidance describing the
- 11 LPAD Pathway. Our draft guidance, which
- 12 published -- help me here, guys. Was it -- June of
- 13 2018. Thank you.
- So June of 2018 was the date when the draft
- 15 guidance published and is out there for comment. We
- 16 got a number of comments. We always appreciate the
- 17 comments, but one of the things that became apparent as
- 18 we looked at the comments was there were a lot of
- 19 requests to have a meeting to talk about this. We
- 20 thought the best way to do this would actually be to
- 21 get everybody together, have a public meeting, and that
- 22 way, you all get to hear each other's comments, in

Page 9

- 1 essence, and there will be an opportunity for2 discussion.
- 3 It's not surprising that when a new pathway or
- 4 a new program gets out there, there are some questions
- 5 as to exactly how it may work and what may actually fit
- 6 into the program. What we find is that over time, with
- 7 experience and as examples accrue, there becomes a
- 8 greater familiarity and a greater knowledge as to how a
- 9 program may actually function.
- As you can see, because this is focused on a
- 11 particular area, antibacterial and antifungal drugs, it
- 12 may take a little time to gather that experience and
- 13 something that's broadly applicable across all
- 14 therapeutic areas, but we look to the examples to help
- 15 get a better feel for the community at large as to
- 16 where the program fits in the overall pathway of
- 17 approvals.
- We do have a website, an LPAD website, and we
- 19 put this together to try and provide information that
- 20 we hope will be helpful to you. It has some discussion
- 21 of the LPAD Pathway and also is intended to list the
- 22 drugs that are approved under the LPAD Pathway.

- 1 meet the standards for approval, a drug approved under
- 2 the LPAD Pathway. The other thing, to trigger the LPAD
- 3 Pathway as part of this, there needs to be a written
- 4 request from the sponsor; so the person coming in with
- 5 the drug application, that the drug be approved as a
- 6 limited population drug.
- 7 You can see one of the issues here is the
- 8 limited population, who is the limited population.
- 9 Generally, it's a group of patients that can be limited
- 10 and described in such a way that is clinically relevant
- 11 to healthcare providers. A healthcare provider could,
- 12 in essence, identify a particular patient that was in
- 13 the limited population.
- 14 It may be a defined subset of a broader
- 15 population of patients for whom the drug could
- 16 potentially be effective, or in some cases maybe the
- 17 only population of patients for whom the drug may be
- 18 effective because of its narrow spectrum of activity.
- 19 I think we'll hear a little bit more about this as we
- 20 get to some of the presentations, having had chance to
- 21 preview some of the slides, and we'll try and bring
- 22 this issue out a little bit more.

Page 10

Page 12

- 1 Currently, there is one, but as others are approved
- 2 under the LPAD Pathway, they will also be listed here,
- 3 so it can provide you with a resource that we hope will
- 4 be helpful to you.

12

- 5 Then to sort of cut to the chase on the key
- 6 requirements of the LPAD Pathway, it's for drugs that
- 7 are intended to treat serious or life-threatening
- 8 infections in a limited population of patients with
- 9 unmet need. We look to the definition of serious or
- 10 life-threatening and unmet needs as defined in the
- 11 expedited programs guidance.
 - One thing you'll see there is that unmet need
- 13 is, in part, defined by available therapy. The
- 14 guidance document has a nice discussion of available
- 15 therapy and recognizes, too, that that's a dynamic
- 16 issue. We certainly hope that new drugs are approved
- 17 that address some of the areas of unmet need, and that
- 18 can gradually change the issue of areas of unmet need.
- 19 The LPAD Pathway legislation also specifically
- 20 states that the standards for approval under 505(c) and
- 21 (d), or the standards for licensure under 351 of the
- 22 Public Health Service Act are met. So it still has to

- 1 The standards of approval, inherent in this is
- 2 the idea of the acceptance of greater uncertainty or
- 3 higher risk in patients with serious diseases with an
- 4 unmet need, and really that doing so is an appropriate
- 5 way to look at risk and benefit.
- The interesting thing is you'll notice at the
- 7 bottom of the slide, we've cited Section 312 Subpart E.
- 8 which is actually part of the IND regulations, which
- 9 predates, by many, many years, a lot of the discussion
- 10 around LPAD. This concept of balancing benefit-risk,
- 11 degree of unmet need, and seriousness of the condition
- 12 really has been in the process and in discussion, and
- 13 in our calculus for a number of years, so I just
- 14 mention that.
- 15 The LPAD Pathway is based on a benefit-risk
- 16 assessment that more flexibly takes into account the
- 17 severity, rarity, or prevalence of the infection the
- 18 drug is intended to treat and the lack of alternatives
- 19 available for the patient population.
- One other thing I'll just mention -- and this
- 21 is in our draft guidance document -- we are trying to
- 22 get to this issue of greater flexibility when you've

Page 13

- 1 got a patient population that has a serious infection
- 2 and few treatment options available. That's one side
- 3 of the equation, if you will.
- The other side of the equation, I think which
- 5 is always important to keep in mind -- we talked about
- 6 how the standards still need to be met -- is there's a
- 7 line in the draft guidance document that essentially
- 8 says that the LPAD pathway should also not be used to
- 9 salvage a trial that fails to demonstrate its objective
- 10 or an inadequately designed development program. We
- 11 still need to meet the standard. We're just able to
- 12 look at the benefit-risk overall and take into
- 13 consideration the degree of unmet need and how we're
- 14 evaluating risks and benefits.
- Some of the conditions that come along with
- 16 the LPAD Pathway, if that is the pathway upon which a
- 17 drug is -- if that's part of the approval of a drug,
- 18 the labeling has to indicate that the safety and
- 19 effectiveness has only been demonstrated with respect
- 20 to the limited population. And again, this gets back
- 21 to this inherence of how we're weighing the benefits
- 22 and risks in the setting of an LPAD approval.

- 1 the acceptance of greater degrees of uncertainty in
- 2 looking at development programs. What we describe in
- 3 there are clinical trials using noninferiority designs,
- 4 including a single noninferiority trial at a body site
- 5 of infection, or use of a wider noninferiority margin
- 6 than used in a traditional development program.
- 7 These by nature would be smaller trials,
- 8 trials of which there would be greater uncertainty with
- 9 regards to the overall findings, both efficacy and
- 10 safety, but recognizing the benefit-risk would be a
- 11 reasonable tradeoff to allow for availability of a
- 12 product in a patient population where there may be a
- 13 particular degree of unmet need.
- 14 Other options, clinical trials using
- 15 superiority design, always a clear demonstration of
- 16 efficacy; from a practical standpoint, oftentimes very
- 17 difficult to achieve. Implicit in this is that the arm
- 18 over which your superior, in most instances, has
- 19 probably received therapy that may be less than ideal
- 20 or less than fully effective, a situation that ideally
- 21 we'd like to avoid.
- Nested noninferiority superiority clinical

Page 14

- The advertising and labeling will include a
- 2 limited population in a prominent manner. I'll show
- 3 you an example of this in just a minute. The
- 4 prescribed information [inaudible mic fades] contains
- 5 the statement, "This drug is indicated for use in the
- 6 limited and specific population of patients."
- 7 So it really is to call to attention where the
- 8 benefit-risk has been found to be appropriate. The
- 9 promotional materials, there is a requirement for
- 10 pre-submission of promotional materials at least 30
- 11 days prior to the dissemination of such materials. As
- 12 far as examples of development program, that may follow
- 13 a streamlined approach.
- A lot of the thinking on streamline approach
- 15 because of the necessity of getting something out there
- 16 to address the issue of antimicrobial resistance.
- 17 patients who have really few treatment options, was
- 18 captured in our antibacterial therapies for patients
- 19 with an unmet medical need for the treatment of serious
- 20 bacterial diseases guidance document.
- This document really talks about this key
- 22 issue of benefit-risk and how to weigh benefit-risk and

- 1 trials; this allows you to enroll patients, then based
- 2 upon their baseline susceptibility characteristics to
- 3 look at the population of patients with susceptible
- 4 organisms in a noninferiority approach; to look at
- 5 those who may have resistant organisms, resistant to
- 6 the comparator, could be looked at in a superiority
- 7 design. So it allows you to enroll, essentially,
- 8 all comers, and then have a prespecified bona fide way
- 9 to deal with the analysis population, looking at the
- 10 overall patient population.
- The experience, as I mentioned, with the LPAD
- 12 Pathway to date really is limited. We have one
- 13 approval today, Arikayce, that used the LPAD Pathway,
- 14 and I'll mention a little bit more about this in the
- 15 next slide. We currently receive inquiries on ways to
- 16 utilize the LPAD Pathway for NDAs.
- We recognize that this is an area where there
- 18 is a thirst for additional information, and we're
- 19 hoping to give a little more through the talk today and
- 20 through some of the discussion that happens today.
- 21 Also, the comments we get today will be helpful as we
- 22 revise the guidance document to help us to determine

Page 17

- 1 other issues where additional information could be
- 2 helpful to developers in the field.
- 3 One of the main issues we've seen with
- 4 sponsors seeking approval under the LPAD Pathway is the
- 5 issue of the standards. The standards for approval
- 6 under the LPAD Pathway don't change,
- 7 Subsection 506(h)(1)(b) of the LPAD provision, and
- 8 states that the standards for approval under Section
- 9 505(c) and 505(d) of the standards of licensure under
- 10 Section 351 of the Public Health Service Act, as
- 11 applicable, are met.
- So it's important to keep that in mind. We
- 13 still need to understand that the product works and
- 14 that the product is safe and effective. If you think
- 15 about it, it's a pretty reasonable thing to do because
- 16 these are patient populations with serious infections
- 17 who need effective therapies that are safe, so it's
- 18 trying to strike that balance.
- A little bit of background information on
- 20 Arikayce, amikacin liposome inhalation suspension, was
- 21 approved in September of 2018 in adults who have
- 22 limited or no alternative treatment options for the

- 1 Also, too, recognizing that without providing
- 2 this clarity with regards to the patient population,
- 3 there was a significant potential for broader use of
- 4 the indication where benefit-risk had been found to be
- 5 acceptable and was not clearly described and
- 6 essentially called to the attention of folks out there.
- 7 A couple of other pieces that went into the
- 8 overall calculus, if you will, there were respiratory
- 9 adverse events observed in the clinical trials, and
- then also a relatively limited safety data set. So you
- 11 can see how this fits pretty well into what we're
- 12 talking about when we start to look at the provisions
- 13 of the LPAD legislation.
- 14 The risk-benefit was considered favorably only
- 15 for the limited population of patients as described in
- 16 the indication. The little blue link there at the
- 17 bottom provides the link to the summary basis approval
- 18 on the FDA website. The FOI documents are available,
- 19 so you can find additional details on the approval
- 20 there.
- 21 I will flip to the labeling, and this is just
- 22 to give a preview of some of the parts of the label

Page 18

- 1 treatment of MAC lung disease as part of a combination
- 2 antibacterial drug regimen in patients refractory to
- 3 other treatment regimens.
- 4 You can see from looking at the indication
- 5 that it's a well-defined limited population. These are
- 6 patients with MAC lung disease who are refractory to
- 7 other treatment regimens, so they've essentially not
- 8 responded to other treatment regimens, clinically
- 9 definable and limited in a specific group of patients.
- 10 There was substantial evidence of
- 11 effectiveness provided on a surrogate endpoint that led
- 12 to the approval under accelerated approval. This shows
- 13 you two things; one, that there was a finding of
- 14 substantial evidence of effectiveness and also that
- 15 LPAD can work with the other pathways.
- In this case, it was accelerated approval. It
- 17 went as an LPAD approval, that there was an acceptable
- 18 level of uncertainty given the seriousness of the
- 19 condition and the degree of unmet need for patients
- 20 with refractory MAC who are in need of other effective
- 21 treatments. This seemed to be an acceptable level of
- 22 uncertainty.

- 1 where we would include specific labeling. You'll see
- 2 at the very top, on the left there, under the initial
- 3 date of approval, the words "LIMITED POPULATION" in all
- 4 caps, and I think also bolded if my screen is helping
- 5 me to understand the font there; limited population
- 6 highlighted in yellow.
- 7 In the indication and usage, again in the
- 8 highlight section, you see limited population again
- 9 before the indication. The language at the very bottom
- 10 is, "Only limited clinical safety and effectiveness
- 11 data for Arikayce are currently available. Reserve
- 12 Arikayce for use in adults who have limited to no
- 13 alternative treatment options. This drug is indicated
- 14 for use in a limited and specific population of
- 15 patients." So again, in the spirit and providing
- 16 clarity with regards to the patient population for whom
- 17 the drug is indicated under the LPAD approval.
- Next steps, we're currently working on
- 19 finalizing the LPAD Pathway draft guidance. The
- comments that we received to the docket have been
- 21 helpful to us. We certainly expect that today's
- 22 meeting will also provide us helpful feedback as we

Page 21

1 work towards finalizing the guidance.

- 2 The docket for submitting comments is reopened
- 3 if there are desires or intentions to submit additional
- 4 information beyond that which we hear in the meeting
- 5 today. That will be open to August 12th of this year.
- 6 We've got the web address for regulations.gov for
- 7 submitting comments.
- 8 With that, I'll say thank you. One other
- 9 comment, I should say, too, I want to thank in advance
- 10 all of the speakers who are giving of their time and
- 11 efforts to come and join us here today. You'll notice
- 12 that the panel will ask questions, and I would say
- 13 we're asking questions for clarity, so try not to make
- 14 judgments on our questions, if you will.
- We don't necessarily ask a question because we
- 16 disagree or we agree. We're just trying to further
- 17 understand, so I would not overread the questions,
- 18 which also gives the panel a certain degree of freedom
- 19 to feel free to ask questions of the speakers without
- 20 thinking that they'll have tremendous implications.
- 21 With that, I will move to our first speaker,
- 22 who will be a Dr. John Rex, who's the chief medical

- 1 The dead got up out of their bed and walked away, and
- 2 felt better. It was quite dramatic. But over time, as
- 3 we began to have more drugs and we began to push into,
- 4 say, indications that were less life threatening, like
- 5 upper respiratory infection, it became apparent that
- 6 the pivotal designs we had been using had flaws.
- 7 This was really brought out clearly around the
- 8 time of the problem with Key Tech, and that led to the
- 9 beginning of a great rethink that I think of as
- 10 antibiotic R&D 2.0, which I date from approximately
- 11 2007 to today, the 11th or the 12th of July 2019.
- 12 During this time, we had rapid refinement of our
- 13 noninferiority designs for major indications. We now
- 14 have very clear roadmaps for all the big indications,
- 15 skin, the various UTIs, and so forth.
- We have an agreement globally that single
- 17 pivotal trials could be acceptable for approval, and
- 18 the EMA and the FDA have worked long and hard to
- 19 harmonize. The rules aren't exactly the same, but
- 20 they're close enough that single global trials are
- 21 entirely possible in the major indications. That's
- 22 Antibiotic R&D 2.0.

Page 22

- 1 officer at F2G, Limited, and also expert in residence
- 2 at the Wellcome Trust, and an operating partner for
- 3 Advent Life Sciences.
- 4 John, the podium is yours, and thank you for
- 5 joining us today.
- 6 Presentation John Rex
- 7 DR. REX: Thanks, and thank you to the entire
- 8 FDA group for organizing this. I think it's a
- 9 discussion that's been needed. I'm John Rex. Ed has
- 10 introduced who I am. My location in the electronic
- 11 universe is on the slide. You know how to find me.
- 12 I'm happy to share these slides. The title of my talk
- 13 is Antibiotic R&D 3.0: Taking Full Advantage of the
- 14 Promising Idea of LPAD.
- 15 We've come a long way with antibody
- 16 development, and I thought it was kind of interesting
- 17 to realize you could think of it in three steps.
- 18 Antibiotic R&D 1.0 began at the dawn of the antibiotic
- 19 era and ran until the middle of the 2000s.
- During that time, it was generally quite easy
- 21 to see the value of new drugs. We had relatively few
- 22 drugs early on, and they obviously did dramatic things.

- 1 So it's time for 3.0, and LPAD is our
- 2 springboard into this. We have several hard problems
- 3 that we need to solve as we move into 3.0. An
- 4 important idea is the notion of superiority designs.
- 5 While you can still do them for certain places, when
- 6 you can do them, it's bad news, and I want to be able
- 7 explain that. It's actually effectively a mirage that
- 8 must be swept away for antimicrobials; 0.4 is arguably
- 9 the deepest and most important point. This is not just
- 10 a regulatory problem. The entire community has to
- 11 collaborate on this for reasons we'll discuss. I'll
- 12 have some suggestions for next steps, and then some
- 13 closing thoughts.
- As a springboard, LPAD has given us two gifts.
- 15 First is the very idea of LPAD. As Ed noted, the FDA
- 16 has always had the ability to consider risk-benefit;
- 17 every approval does that. But that's not always in the
- 18 label in a way that anybody else can see. The putting
- 19 of the word -- as a matter of fact, I counted. There
- 20 were 5 uses of the word "LPAD" in the first 2 inches of
- 21 the Arikayce left-hand column.
- So by putting it out there in that way, we're

Page 25

- 1 actually helping everybody else realize this is a place
- 2 where risk-benefit has really been carefully balanced.
- 3 Don't do this without understanding the population.
- 4 Yes, it's always been there, but now we're actually
- 5 putting a sticker on our forehead that says pay
- 6 attention to this, and that's different. LPAD also
- 7 tells us the settings in which this is true, and then
- 8 it gives us that language. As I said, the limited
- 9 population. I had to laugh at how many times it said
- 10 it in the top 2 inches of that document.
- If you put that with the other things that are
- 12 in 21st Century Cures robust stewardship programs and
- 13 CDC's ongoing surveillance, we can be comfortable that
- 14 LPAD agents would be used wisely; I will say at least
- 15 most of the time. There's always somebody who goes off
- 16 piece, but by and large, this collectively will cause
- 17 the agent to be used in an appropriate fashion.
- What are the problems that antibiotic R&D 3.0
- 19 has to solve? Well, it's really about rare situations.
- 20 It's about rare pathogens, just for resistant
- 21 pathogens, less common infections, and the issues
- 22 reduced to study size in how we think about this very

- 1 good answer. Antibiotics do something unusual relative
- 2 to essentially all the other diseases that we treat.
- 3 They cure you. If I treat your myocardial infarction,
- 4 you walk away still having heart disease. If I treat
- 5 your pneumonia, you walk away without pneumonia, and
- 6 you live another 60 years.
- 7 If it's easy to run a superiority
- 8 trial -- given the endpoint as curative, if it's easy
- 9 to run that trial, something terrible has happened in
- 10 public health. Resistance must be so common that a
- .1 good choice did not exist because I was able to
- 12 not -- there was a group who did not get an effective
- 13 therapy. Except for the mildest of infections, a
- 14 superiority result in this area, antibiotics and
- 15 antifungals, means that someone got hurt or may have
- 16 died who didn't have to have that outcome.
- So we want superiority trials to be
- 18 impossible. We can write them down on paper, but we
- 19 want them to effectively be impossible. And if
- 20 superiority is briefly possible due to a gap, the first
- 21 drug that fills that gap eliminates the possibility of
- 22 using that pathway again.

Page 26

- 1 important phrase, "substantial evidence of efficacy
- 2 based on adequate and well-controlled trials."
- 3 That series of adjectives: substantial,
- 4 adequate, well controlled, there is nowhere where
- 5 there's a number attached to that. Nowhere does it say
- 6 this means an alpha 0.5; this means in a margin of
- 7 10 percent; this means a particular endpoint; or this
- 8 means concurrent randomized controls.
- 9 As that as clearly stated, and the FDA has
- 10 been working for a substantial period of time to talk
- 11 about ways you use flexibility within those zones, we
- 12 are permitted, we are encouraged, and we are required
- 13 to consider risk-benefit. But if you wind it back to
- 14 the gift of LPAD, we can now say it in a way that's
- 15 unambiguous. "When we've done this, this drug is not
- 16 to be used for the ordinary circumstance. Please do
- 17 not prescribe it from Walgreens."
- 18 Ed commented on the different kinds of trial
- 19 designs that are possible, and let me just say that
- 20 superiority is an important tool to have available.
- 21 It's always nice to do it if it's an appropriate
- 22 setting. But in any infection, superiority is not a

- Noninferiority designs have to be our main
- 2 tool. They work, and they enable drugs to be developed
- 3 now, and we as a community have to be repeatedly very
- 4 clear about this in our documents. Yes, we're going to
- 5 lay out the idea of superiority. No, we don't expect
- 6 you to do it other than an extremist. Everybody has to
- 7 be saying that. The regulators, the professional
- 8 societies, we all have to explain to each other why
- 9 we're not doing more, because it's not just a
- 10 regulatory problem. We're all part of this problem.
- 11 It's easy to be critical and ask for more. Everybody12 does it.
- Agencies are just the first group to ask these
- 14 questions, but the physicians will say, "I want the
- 15 guidelines to change." The payers will say, "Where's
- 16 my superiority data?" See above. Patients will say,
- 17 "Noninferiority sounds so dodgy. My doctor didn't
- 18 understand it anyway, so I don't like that."
- This is a communication and education problem.
- 20 There's confusion and debate on the scientific
- 21 principles, and we must clarify this in public because
- 22 we have to bring everybody along with us. It's not

Page 29

- 1 enough to solve the problem in one corner. We have to
- 2 explain to the entire community why this is the
- 3 solution that works, not something else. You can't
- 4 keep wishing for a magic pony to come and carry this
- 5 problem away. It doesn't exist. We have to work with
- 6 the existing tools. By the way, in passing,
- 7 nontraditional agents face the same issues. We have a
- 8 paper in press on that in Nature Communications.
- 9 Here are my suggestions. We're preparing here
- 10 for the future. When the real crisis emerges, it will
- 11 be too late. For the agency, convene some working
- 12 groups. FNIH has been a good mechanism to develop
- 13 credible pathways for rare infections.
- 14 Engage with the tradeoffs to create and
- 15 publicize feasible pathways. We must use LPAD to
- 16 expand what is now approvable. The agencies and the
- 17 professional societies have to spread the word.
- 18 Noninferiority is not a synonym for worthless, and an
- 19 infection superiority comes at a huge societal cost.
- 20 Professional societies, get with it with the
- 21 guidelines. It is not acceptable to update them once
- 22 every 10 years. They need to be updated every year

- 1 because of an outbreak of a then untreatable infection.
- 2 These are big problems. There was a thing yesterday on
- 3 the radio about some nursing home in the area that had
- 4 a bunch of people get sick with a respiratory illness,
- 5 probably some virus.
- 6 Infections are scary, and since then, I have
- 7 had the opportunity to walk all the sides of the
- 8 challenge of antibiotic R&D. I've done everything
- 9 from large too small. I have dealt with corporate
- 10 decision-making, the pressure of time, supply chain,
- 11 shutting down, lyophilizers. You have to live all
- 12 sides of this to understand the peace.
- 13 Tradeoff-free solutions do not exist. If they
- 14 did, we would be using them. Since they don't, as a
- 15 community, we have to find pragmatic solutions to
- 16 real-world problems, and we need to do that this
- 17 afternoon. Thank you.
- 18 Questions
- DR. COX: Great. Thanks, John.
- 20 Any questions for Dr. Rex from the panel?
- DR. NAMBIAR: It's more than a question; it's
- 22 just a comment. I think on slide 9, you referred to

Page 30

- 1 electronically online. As an example of this, colistin
- 2 as a systemic agent needs to cease being used in the
- 3 United States this afternoon. It doesn't work.
- 4 Industry, this is an important message, and
- 5 it's not about LPAD specifically, but it's saying that
- 6 you, we in industry, have to be focused on novel agents
- 7 that really move the needle. There is a need for some
- 8 other stuff to happen. This LPAD is only one part of
- 9 the ecosystem fix, but the need for pull incentives is
- 10 not a discussion for today. This is about FDA and its
- 11 regulatory powers.
- In any future pull mechanism -- and we are
- 13 going to see them happen, and one is coming in the UK,
- 14 it's very exciting, and we think one might happen in
- 15 the U.S. -- not every antibiotic is going to qualify
- 16 for one of these interesting incentives. It has to be
- 17 something that really moves the needle. Also, as Ed
- 18 pointed out, LPAD is not a salvage tool for a drug that
- 19 almost does nothing. It's for specific settings.
- So in close, at heart, I am a doc who moved
- ${f 21}$ into industry in 2003 because of the problem of AMR. I
- 22 once closed an ICU and shut down the upstream ORs

- 1 the focus must be novel agents that clearly move the
- 2 needle. I would like to hear your thoughts on the
- 3 novelty from a standpoint of seeing a new mechanism of
- 4 action versus a novelty that should actually translate
- 5 into a meaningful benefit for patients.
- 6 DR. REX: Yes. Novelty here clearly has to be
- 7 something that's ultimately perceptible in the clinic.
- 8 and it could be that it's a novel mechanism of action.
- 9 It wouldn't necessarily have to be, I suppose. This
- 10 has come up a lot in the discussions of the pipeline
- 11 reviews.
- 12 If you look at the paper from 2018, the third
- 13 [indiscernible] WHO pipeline review, where we went to a
- 14 lot of trouble to categorize new agents by the quality
- 15 of the innovation in them. The need for people
- 16 developing another same-as has a barely perceptible
- 17 increment over other things. That's something perhaps
- 18 we used to do, but that's just not going to work
- 19 anymore. If we're going to put new incentives in
- 20 place, they're not going to apply to compounds that
- 21 don't offer something where we can really see a sharp
- 22 differentiation.

Page 33

- I'll also say something that is obvious, whichwhen you think about it, the bigger the impact of the
- 3 new thing, and the more it moves you from where you
- 4 were to a new level of efficacy, the easier it is in a
- 5 small program to demonstrate some of that value; even
- 6 if what you're doing is a noninferiority comparison.
- 7 The math all just becomes that much easier and that
- 8 much stronger if your compound has a strong effect.
- 9 DR. NAMBIAR: Thank you.
- DR. COX: So maybe I'll ask one. It sounds
- 11 like, John, you're thinking that noninferiority is
- 12 still going to be an important staple of drug
- 13 development. So that overall patient population may
- 14 include patients who don't necessarily have the degree
- 15 of unmet need that we're targeting or hoping to be able
- 16 to address to some extent.
- 17 This sounds very much in line with some of the
- 18 tenets of LPAD, and I just thought it might be good
- 19 just to talk about this for another minute or two. So
- 20 you're studying perhaps a patient population that's
- 21 sick, some of whom have the targeted unmet need, but
- 22 not necessarily everybody because you need to have a

- 1 engage, then you're committing the other sin of waiting
- 2 until it's really easy to study the bad organism, and
- 3 then things are even worse, and then it becomes
- 4 complete chaos.
- 5 There's clearly a societal tradeoff to be
- 6 made. We as a society have agreed that clinical
- development is an appropriate thing to do. We have
- 8 mechanisms for enrolling people, for protecting their
- 9 safety, for being sure that they understand what
- 10 they're getting into, and we've clearly demonstrated we
- 11 can do these kinds of studies in a way that makes good
- 12 sense.
- 13 I recognize that tension, and yet it's part of
- 14 what we have to put out in public because there are
- 15 people who will not see all the pieces of it. This is
- 16 part of the conversation here, is to bring all the
- 17 stakeholders together, and get everybody to, if you
- 18 will, argue a little bit together and educate across
- 19 stakeholder communities about why this is the solution,
- 20 that there isn't some other magic way out. There is
- 21 not some tradeoff-free solution that makes this all go
- 22 away.

Page 34

- 1 patient population who can be treated with a
- 2 comparator. But at the end of the day, the
- 3 risk-benefit is being evaluated for that patient
- 4 population for whom there is unmet need in order to be
- 5 able to have the more streamlined development program.
- 6 Any comments on that? Is that the way you're
- 7 looking at it, too? It feels like that's the
- 8 underlying tenet or principle as one of the key
- 9 components to the LPAD sort of concept, if you will.
- DR. REX: It is. And I think, to say it back,
- Div. Next. icio. 7 and ramin, to day it back,
- 11 you're noting that the data that lead to approval might 12 include people who, after approval, wouldn't be in the
- 13 limited population, and that's true. I think there you
- 14 get into the whole ethics of clinical trials.
- 15 The Nature Communications paper that's coming
- 16 out now has a long section. We worked with three
- 17 ethicists to talk in great length about why it is
- 18 appropriate to do that sort of thing. All of us are
- 19 potentially tomorrow's patients. There are lots of
- 20 reasons for people to be involved in this. There are21 ways to do these things that are entirely appropriate
- 22 from an ethical perspective. I think that if we don't

- - DR. COX: Maybe one other area to comment on
 - 2 is that if in fact the patient population in the trial
 - 3 is not exclusively those patients with unmet need, then
 - 4 that gets to the question of what's the scientific
 - 5 relevance of that information to the group of patients
 - 6 with unmet need and in whom the drug would be indicated
 - 7 and used, and bridging that gap scientifically.
 - 8 I don't know if you wanted to comment on that 9 at all.
 - DR. REX: I think that group obviously
 - 11 contributes to the safety database for understanding
 - 12 and contributes to the efficacy demonstration as well.
 - 13 We have this funny problem with antibiotics that we
 - L4 define the idea of multidrug resistance, and we say
 - 15 we'd like to know how it works when the pathogen is
 - 16 resistant to these other things. But it's also helpful
 - 17 to know how it works when it's susceptible to this
 - 18 thing.
 - 19 If you've got a pathogen that's susceptible to
 - 20 this thing, you've actually contributed to an
 - 21 understanding that it will work when the pathogen is
 - 22 susceptible to your test agent, and you can compare

Page 37

- 1 that to patients who could have been treated another
- 2 way, which is the population that isn't LPAD, and the
- 3 patients who could not have been treated another way.
- 4 So it really does build your entire data set,
- 5 but the more you can focus on the people who have the
- 6 specific requirement, you also prove, by identifying
- 7 them, that you can identify them. That's the other
- 8 thing you get out of attempting to do that.
- 9 DR. COX: Thank you, Dr. Rex.
- 10 DR. REX: Thank you.
- DR. COX: Now, we'll move to our next speaker.
- 12 I want to welcome Dr. Thomas Walsh, professor of
- 13 medicine and pediatrics, microbiology and immunology at
- 14 Cornell University, to our podium.
- Thank you, Tom, for joining us today, and we
- 16 look forward to your comments.
- 17 Presentation Thomas Walsh
- DR. WALSH: You're most welcome, and thank you
- 19 so much for joining us all here today. Our mission
- 20 within our program is very much akin to that of many
- 21 others, and that is to save lives and advance knowledge
- 22 in this critical field.

- 1 Fundamentally, why are invasive fungal
 - 2 infections challenging to treat and what are the unmet
- 3 needs? We've witnessed major advances in antifungal
- 4 therapy during the past three decades, yet there is a
- 5 high mortality even when treated with these current
- 6 agents. We need to ask why; why do we see this? The
- 7 causes are related, in part, to delayed diagnosis;
- 8 secondly, to an ever-evolving challenge of
- 9 immunologically impaired hosts; limited therapeutic
- 10 options; and increasingly antimicrobial resistance,
- 11 some of which are intrinsic and some of which are
- 12 acquired.
- Within the unmet needs of antimicrobial
- 14 resistance -- and I'll introduce a term here of RFIs.
- 15 We know IFIs, invasive fungal infections, but I think
- 16 we need to also think through resistant refractory
- 17 fungal infections. Candida auris, you understand quite
- 18 well. Aspergillus, trizaole-resistant pathogens,
- 19 although not so much a threat in North America at this
- 20 point, it is emerging as a very deadly threat in
- 21 several countries and now two continents in severely
- 22 immunocompromised patients.

Page 38

- For the past four decades, my staff and I have
- 2 cared for -- and we're looking at these recommendations
- 3 for a perspective of caring -- pediatric and adult
- 4 patients with invasive mycosis on a daily basis,
- 5 conducting as well the laboratory and clinical research
- 6 in invasive mycosis, which has led to our understanding
- 7 or approval contributing to that of 12 licensed
- 8 systemic antifungal agents; as well as having studied
- 9 multiple other investigational agents; and personally
- 10 serving as PI or associate investigator on more than a
- 11 hundred clinical protocols.
- From that perspective, I am privileged to
- 13 serve as a Henry Schueler Foundation Scholar in
- 14 Mucormycosis; working with Save Our Sick Kids
- 15 Foundation; a perspective of long-standing work with
- 16 the mycosis study group; representing as well the
- 17 Medical Mycology Society of the Americas in multiple
- 18 forums; as well as now working with the European
- 19 Confederation of Medical Mycology; and most recently
- 20 serving as the founding director for what we call New
- 21 York City Cares, which is a New York City collaborative
- 22 consortium for Candida auris research.

- 1 Mucormycosis still carries as much as an
- 2 80 percent mortality. Fusariam, which we'll come to,
- 3 is also a deadly lethal pathogen. Scedosporium,
- 4 lomentospora, virtually nothing available, and other
- 5 continued emerging hyaline and dematiaceous moulds.
- With that, we appreciate there's an urgent
- 7 need for new antifungal agents similar to that of
- 8 antibacterial agents with novel mechanisms that will
- 9 especially hit and circumvent the mechanisms of
- 10 activity of many of the resistant organisms; improve
- 11 safety profiles; minimal drug-drug interactions; and
- 12 predictable pharmacokinetics without the need for
- 13 therapeutic drug monitoring, which is especially
- 14 important in our critically ill or complex
- 15 immunocompromised patients receiving multiple
- 16 medications and suffering as well from end-organ
- 17 dysfunction.
- Then there's also the element of patient
- 19 convenience, providing we can see a way to discharge of
- 20 going from parenteral to oral formulations. And
- 21 speaking of oral formulations, it's noteworthy that the
- 22 emergence of resistance or persistence of resistance is

Page 41

- 1 occurring principally to the antifungal triazole
- 2 agents, which are our mainstays of oral therapy for
- 3 most of the deep mycoses.
- 4 So it helps us to reflect, which we speak in
- 5 LPAD, of different populations. From a medical
- 6 mycological perspective, what are the key resistant
- 7 fungal pathogens? We need to mention, of course,
- 8 Candida auris, distinct from other candidia species
- 9 with a simultaneous expansion, unprecedented across
- 10 several continents and several clads.
- 11 This organism survives in the inanimate
- 12 environment. Personally, I liken it to the
- 13 acinetobacter of the medical mycology world. It is
- 14 extremely tenacious to eliminate, often entailing
- 15 literally gallons of Clorox in a patient's room.
- 16 Persistence of mucocutaneous colonization
- 17 transcending that of our traditional understanding of
- 18 gastrointestinal disease, and transmission,
- 19 well-documented from both environmental and
- 20 mucocutaneous sources; and intrinsic resistance to two
- 21 or more antifungal agents, and difficulty in performing
- 22 randomized trials, even if it's emerging.

- 1 rise, but as we look at the breakthrough invasive
- 2 fungal infections and what is plaguing our patients in
- 3 the wake of successful treatment of candida and
- 4 aspergillus, this organism carries lethality varying
- 5 from 40 to 90 percent, depending upon the host.
- 6 Strains may be completely resistant to triazole and
- 7 ampho B.
- 8 In our experience, as much as 50 percent may
- 9 be pan resistant. Other strains may be only
- 10 susceptible to voriconazole or only susceptible to
- 11 ampho B, leaving limited options. And again, there's
- 12 no means of a randomized trial. The prior second-line
- 13 approval of voriconazole for use of this organism might
- 14 open up a novel potential pathway. If not exactly that
- 15 mechanism, then potentially looking toward other ways.
- Scedosporium, pseudallescheria, lomentospora,
- 17 these are resistant to ampho B and echinocandins, and
- 18 Lomentospora proflificans is completely resistant to
- 19 all three major classes. Prior to second-line approval
- 20 for vori, vis-a-vis second scedosporium, again, might
- 21 offer a potential new pathway, again, targeting these
- 22 pathogens under the LPAD concept. These are distinct

Page 42

- Building upon Dr. Rex's perspective, we want
- 2 to be ahead of this pathogen. We do not want to have
- 3 sufficient numbers of Candida auris beleaguering our
- 4 hospitals to then say, well now we have enough patients
- 5 in whom we can do a randomized clinical trial. We want
- 6 to be ahead of this public health threat.
- 7 Mucormycosis caries, relentlessly, a lethality
- 8 of 40 to 80 percent in various studies. In our current
- 9 protocol-defined therapy, where we're obviously
- 10 selecting a more enriched population that might have a
- 11 better prognosis, still demonstrates as much as
- 12 60 percent mortality. This organism inflicts painful,
- 13 devastating, debilitating morbidity for the survivors;
- 14 yet the estimated number of cases are only 1 to 3
- 15 million.
- 16 It is indeed a rare disease, and there's no
- 17 means of a randomized trial. One could look, as we
- 18 look toward different models, that there is an
- 19 important model potentially, based on the prior
- 20 approval that we saw with isavuconazole for a critical
- 21 option for these and other pathogens.
- 22 If we look at fusarium, usually this does not

- pathogens where it's unequivocal in terms of what thesepatients have.
- 3 So what might be possible solutions to study
- 4 designs beyond randomized trials for resistant
- 5 refractory fungal infections? One could envision an
- 6 open-label, non-randomized multicenter phase 2 study of
- 7 the investigational agent for primary treatment of a
- 8 pathogen-targeted RFI.
- 9 That would be developed in conjunction with a
- 10 proof-of-concept randomized trial of a more common
- 11 invasive fungal infection such as candidemia, or one
- 12 could also develop it with proof of concept in an
- 13 open-label, non-randomized data with robust enrollment
- 14 of open label with very difficult to treat infections
- 15 that could also be used as support of both safety and
- 16 efficacy data.
- 17 The first one might be applicable to Candida
- 18 auris in that regard. We could have a backup with
- 19 candidemia if we could show that in an open label,
- 20 well-conducted study of candida auris, that we were
- 21 able to impact upon it with proof of concept from the
- 22 candidemia trial.

Page 45

- We also have a concept that could apply to aseries of moulds. One could say, well, do we need an
- 3 exact trial for fusarium or an exact trial for
- 4 scedosporium and lomentospora. You could envision
- 5 potentially primary treatment of two or more types of
- 6 these emergent-resistant moulds, both hyaline and
- 7 dematiaceous, potentially, not the mucorales, which are
- 8 very different of course, and develop with a
- 9 proof-of-concept randomized trial, again, backed up,
- 10 say, a randomized trial for aspergillosis, but
- 11 enrolling these patients in an a well-conducted,
- 12 open-label study.
- The adaptive designs, which have been invoked
- 14 as well, are feasible, but they may require relatively
- 15 larger populations than what these RFIs are able to
- 16 provide in terms of census. But if we embarked upon
- 17 one of those two solutions, what are some of the
- 18 caveats?
- Well, if we did an open-label, non-randomized,
- 20 we need controls that are critical. We need to
- 21 understand the historical data and prior publications
- 22 to say these are devastating, life-threatening

- 1 well in laboratory animal studies, and those with even
- 2 a modicum of scientific background say it's more
- 3 reassuring."
- 4 So meticulously documented outcomes, with as
- 5 many as supportive variables as possible, expert review
- 6 panels; and then again, the regulatory precedent that I
- 7 mentioned in medical mycology with vori for fusarium,
- 8 scedosporium and isavuconazole for mucormycosis; not
- 9 that we have to directly emulate this, but recognizing
- 10 these are special populations, so potentially building
- 11 upon this.
- We could also think about outside of
- 13 infectious diseases and think of the review model based
- 14 upon precedent for rare cancers and other orphan
- 15 diseases, where we've seen the benefits of single-arm,
- 16 multicenter studies. These are rare cancers, small
- 17 cohorts, often less than a hundred, real-world
- 18 evidence, historical controls, and pooled safety and
- 19 efficacy results. Although we don't have time to
- 20 discuss these, this has been especially seen, as
- 21 depicted here, in many of the signal transduction for
- 22 tyrosine kinase pathways inhibitors.

Page 46 Page 48

- 1 infections, as well as the clinical experience of
- 2 seasoned investigators. We would need meticulously
- 3 documented contemporaneous controls, which are
- 4 obtainable from any one of a number of registries or
- 5 ongoing during this study in centers not participating.
- 6 There's every important burden of supportive
- 7 data for efficacy, and for that, one could look toward
- 8 in vitro studies, MICs, time-killed assays, and hollow
- 9 fibers, but very, very critically are the in vivo
- 10 studies, and that is well-developed models, what I like
- 11 to refer to, and doing them under a guidance of what I
- 12 will call SPARC; that there be several animal model
- 13 systems and that they be predictive; that the data are
- 14 aligned; that they're all pointing in the same
- 15 direction of efficacy; that the data be robust; and
- 16 that the studies be complementary, not working off just
- 17 one; for example, one murine system with repetitions.
- In that regard, it gives us a foundation. I
- 19 can assure you when we take informed consent from our
- 20 patients, we find that very often they will want to
- 21 know, "Well, what is the background, Dr. Walsh, of this
- 22 particular compound?" And I say, "It's been studied as

- In summary, there's an urgent need for new
- 2 antifungal agents targeting resistant fungal pathogens;
- 3 a critical need to meet the public health challenges of
- 4 resistant fungal infections; and these infections
- 5 unfortunately occur in our most vulnerable patient
- 6 populations, resulting in potentially severe morbidity
- 7 and high mortality.
- 8 There are novel regulatory pathways through
- 9 the LPAD that may be developed and would have an
- 10 important role in meeting the challenges of resistant
- 11 fungal infections, and ultimately serving what we all
- 12 are here to do, is to save lives and improve the
- 13 outcome of our patients. Thank you.
- 14 Questions
- 15 DR. COX: Thanks, Tom.
- Any questions for Dr. Walsh? Just thinking
- 17 about Tom, your remarks, it seems like one of the
- 18 things you're bringing to the fore are some of the
- 19 examples in the past where an agent has been able to be
- 20 studied against a fungal pathogen that occurs
- 21 sufficiently frequently that you can do a randomized
- 22 trial. Then it sounds like you're describing

Page 49

- 1 shouldering on an additional study to the randomized
- 2 trial, with the additional study being focused on the
- 3 rare fungal pathogens that might be occurring in a low
- 4 frequency rate, which would make it much more difficult
- 5 to accrue the usual numbers of patients.
- 6 DR. WALSH: Exactly. I think doing that,
- 7 where one can target the specific pathogen, going to
- 8 specific centers where one can say we know there's a
- 9 burden of fusarium here, and we know there's a burden
- 10 of Candida auris here, you only have to look at the map
- 11 and target that versus -- although it's an excellent
- 12 concept of the noninferiority trial nesting in some of
- 13 the interest populations, it would be too random in
- 14 that regard to attract them.
- So having those parallel studies, and
- 16 especially focusing on centers with both the population
- 17 and the expertise, with proper controls and so forth
- 18 and all the caveats of safety and efficacy, we could
- 19 understand the efficacy there, bolstered by the
- 20 preclinical data, and then one has a traditional
- 21 pathway where one can demonstrate, to the point that
- 22 we've discussed here, does the drug work in the wider

- 1 burden, but even within that, there are certain
- 2 institutions that have garnered the expertise in
- 3 managing these patients.
- 4 That's part of New York City Cares, where
- 5 basically we're harnessing the expertise, as well as
- 6 bringing in the potential for not only new antifungal
- 7 agents, but also environmental control, understanding
- statistical data, a granular database, of what are the
- 9 outcomes, and how do you manage these infections above
- 10 and beyond the great forensic work that CDC and New
- 11 York State Department of Health have done.
- DR. COX: Thanks. Yes. So it sounds like
- 13 that could be an area where setting up or performing a
- 14 clinical trial could be ideal and have a greater
- 15 likelihood or chance of enrolling patients and being
- 16 able to study a drug.
- DR. WALSH: Absolutely. And time is not on
- 18 our side. These are rapidly expanding. Just from
- 19 Candida auris, it's devastating to see the impact that
- 20 it's having on lives because we have, really, extremely
- 21 limited options.
- DR. COX: Any other questions from the panel?

Page 50

- 2 aspergillosis.
- 3 DR. COX: I'm hearing in your comments one
- 4 other thing that probably also deserves specifically
- 5 pulling out. You mentioned the idea of if you're
- 6 interested in studying a particular rare fungal

1 range of pathogens, such as candidemia or

- 7 infection, that you might go to the centers where this
- 8 occurs. So there are certain areas in certain places
- 9 that we might be able to pre-identify, either based
- 10 upon epidemiology of the particular pathogen and/or the
- 11 patient population that might be susceptible, and where
- 12 they might seek their care.
- DR. WALSH: Absolutely. We've undertaken
- 14 this. In New York, we've actually recruited in, as
- 15 serving a greater public need, patients that have had,
- 16 for example, allergic bronchopulmonary asperigillosis,
- 17 where the expertise may be minimal. We've had a
- 18 special area of expanding interest in expertise with
- 19 that, and patients have come in, and we've been able to
- 20 serve their needs.
- 21 Candida auris, it's in the same way.
- 22 Certainly in the greater metropolitan area, there's a

- 1 (No response.)
- 2 DR. COX: If not, thank you very much, Dr.
- 3 Walsh.
- 4 DR. WALSH: Thank you.
- 5 DR. COX: We very much appreciate you joining
- 6 us and giving us comments today.
- 7 Next, I'd like to invite Dr. Mounts to the
- 8 podium. She's general counsel for CorMedix, and we
- 9 welcome your comments, Dr. Mounts.
- 10 Presentation Phoebe Mounts
- 11 DR. MOUNTS: Thank you everyone, and good
- 12 morning. I'd especially like to thank Sarah Walinsky
- 13 and her colleagues at FDA for organizing the LPAD
- 14 meeting.
- 15 CorMedix is very supportive of LPAD, partly
- 16 because its lead product in the U.S. is the broad
- 17 spectrum, antimicrobial, taurolidine, that is designed
- 18 to prevent catheter related bloodstream infections.
- 19 The first indication for use being developed in the
- 20 U.S. is for use in central venous catheters in
- 21 hemodialysis patients.
 - CorMedix is a small company, and like many

22

Page 53

- 1 other small companies, there are limited resources, so
- 2 any programs from FDA that can help us get these
- 3 products to market faster and more efficiently is
- 4 greatly appreciated.
- 5 CorMedix believes that preventing catheter
- 6 related bloodstream infections in hemodialysis patients
- 7 is an unmet medical need for a limited population. On
- 8 this slide 3, we present some background information on
- 9 the limited number of hemodialysis patients, which has
- 10 been estimated at 420,000 in the U.S., who
- 11 unfortunately experience life-threatening infections
- 12 that develop from repeated vascular access through the
- 13 catheter.
- 14 Importantly, the Centers for Disease Control
- 15 and Prevention have documented many drug-resistant
- 16 pathogens in this limited population,
- 17 methicillin-resistant Staph aureus;
- 18 cephalosporin-resistant E. coli; vancomycin-resistant
- 19 enterococcus; and carbapenems-resistant enterobacter.
- 20 This is clearly a limited population in need of new
- 21 antimicrobial drugs.
- Our specific request to FDA with respect to

- 1 thinking on the streamlined clinical development
- 2 offered under LPAD.
- 3 We are grateful to FDA for issuing the LPAD
- 4 guidance, which was required under the 21st Century
- 5 Cures Act, and we think it will be most helpful with
- 6 some added specificity on how FDA intends to interpret
- 7 limited population; is there a number limit?
- 8 The language in the guidance suggests that a
- 9 healthcare provider needs to be able to identify
- 10 appropriate patients in the clinical setting. It seems
- 11 that as true for most product approvals and can be
- 12 covered in labeling and the indications for use. For
- 13 example, hemodialysis patients with a central venous
- 14 catheter seems to clearly define the limited
- 15 population.
- 16 The guidance seems to suggest that a physician
- 17 education program may be required. Certainly,
- 18 physician education should be a focus for antimicrobial
- 19 drug use, and if this is a reasonable development, it
- 20 would be helpful for sponsors to be made aware of this
- 21 so that materials can be developed earlier in the
- 22 product life cycle.

Page 54

- 1 the LPAD guidance are summarized on this slide 4. I
- 2 will cover each of these requests in the following
- 3 slides. We think LPAD is a very important program,
- 4 both for industry but also for the public health, and
- 5 the guidance will be helpful if it elaborates on the
- 6 agency's current thinking on how to apply and implement
- 7 the intention of the legislation.
- 8 We appreciate the inclusion of products to
- 9 prevent life-threatening infections and think this is
- 10 valuable to the public health. The request we feel
- 11 most strongly about is making an affirmative
- 12 determination for eligibility for LPAD earlier in
- 13 product development.
- 14 We think that an exclusionary criterion about
- 15 using the LPAD pathway not being appropriate if
- 16 criteria for non-LPAD approval are met is not really
- 17 helpful. We request more information be put in the
- 18 guidance on the process and timing for review of
- 19 promotional material if a product is approved under
- 20 LPAD. And I suspect this final request will be
- 21 frequently repeated today and is clearly a topic of
- 22 discussion, which is to clarify the agency's current

- 1 Thank you for including prevention in the
- 2 definition of a drug to treat a serious or
- 3 life-threatening infection. I have public health roots
- 4 and training as a microbiologist, and that tells me
- 5 that we really have to prevent infections. Exposing
- 6 pathogens to antimicrobials applies a selective force
- 7 to develop drug resistance, which is really the central
- 8 issue here.
- 9 My strongest plea is to make the determination
- 10 for at least eligibility for LPAD earlier in drug
- 11 development. The time of approval is too late. How
- 12 can sponsors take advantage of a streamlined clinical
- 13 development program if the decision is not made earlier
- 25 development program i the decicion le not made eam
- 14 than after phase 3? Sponsors and FDA need
- 15 predictability to allocate resources, and again, this
- 16 is especially important for small, innovative companies
- 17 with limited resources like CorMedix. More
- 18 importantly, the eligibility decision needs to be made
- 19 earlier to expedite the development of new
- 20 antimicrobial drugs, which is really the goal of the
- 21 LPAD program.
- We respectfully request that FDA does not

- 1 inappropriately limit the LPAD pathway to sponsors.
- 2 Congress created the pathway, and if a sponsor decides
- 3 to pursue the pathway and qualifies, it should be made
- 4 available.
- 5 The guidance states that copies of all
- 6 promotional materials related to the product must be
- 7 submitted at least 30 calendar days before
- 8 dissemination. We would appreciate more specificity on
- 9 the timeline for review and approval. The language
- 10 presumes feedback before 30 days, but that should be
- 11 made explicit.
- On slide number 10, the heart of LPAD must be
- 13 the streamlined clinical development, and we will
- 14 request more specificity on the FDA's current thinking
- 15 on the available options. Can we use real-world
- 16 evidence? Are postmarketing registries or other data
- 17 collection options available to sponsors? The real
- 18 issue is integrating a phase 3 program with an LPAD
- 19 decision delayed until product approval. We are not
- 20 looking for a commitment on approval; just guidance on
- 21 realistic options during phase 3.
- On slide 11 and the next few slides, we have

- 1 agree that indiscriminate use of antimicrobials is a
- 2 major issue in this area, but that needs to be
- 3 addressed by educating physicians and not restricting
- 4 use of LPAD to sponsors.
- 5 We are also concerned that comments from
- 6 agency officials may suggest that new antimicrobial
- 7 drugs cannot be demonstrated to be safe and effective
- 8 in small trials. The main goal of LPAD, as we see it,
- 9 is to get antimicrobial drugs on the market as fast as
- 10 possible to address an unmet medical need, and we think
- 11 with assistance from FDA, the process can be made more
- 12 efficient under the LPAD Pathway.
- Slide 14 summarizes the requests we are making
- 14 of FDA. We will certainly file these comments to the
- 15 docket, but we appreciate the opportunity to discuss
- 16 them today with you. If I had to prioritize the
- 17 requests, it would certainly be to make a determination
- 18 of eligibility for LPAD earlier in product development
- 19 for predictability for sponsors to maximize resources
- 20 for both sponsors, as well as FDA.
- 21 So in conclusion, CorMedix believes that LPAD
- 22 should be designed to facilitate antimicrobial drug

Page 58

Page 60

- 1 some reactions to comments from FDA officials that give
- 2 us some concern, so we would like to understand the
- 3 thinking behind these comments. On their surface, the
- 4 comments suggest a lack of agency enthusiasm for LPAD,
- 5 which is concerning.
- 6 For example, risk evaluation should be no
- 7 different than any other approval when LPAD requires
- 8 substantial evidence of safety and effectiveness. Of
- 9 course, the statute says from clinical trial[s] with an
- 10 S on the end, and we think the streamlined clinical
- 11 development in LPAD provides the option for reducing
- 12 that to a single robust pivotal trial.
- The agency has at its disposal existing
- 14 post-approval authority to monitor and identify risks
- 15 for any new drug approval, including REMS, adverse
- 16 event reporting, and the authority to impose
- 17 postmarketing studies. So it's not clear why this
- 18 should not be adequate for approval pursuant to LPAD.
- On slide 12, agency officials have expressed
- 20 concerns about off-label use. Again, this is an issue
- 21 that is not unique to the LPAD Pathway and FDA has as
- 22 its disposal mechanisms to address off-label use. I

- 1 development and should be available to help in the
- 2 battle to address antimicrobial resistance. This slide
- 3 just has some citations for information on the slides,
- 4 and the last slide is to thank FDA, and to thank you,
- 5 the audience, for your interest in LPAD.
- 6 Questions
- 7 DR. COX: Thank you, Dr. Mounts. I appreciate
- 8 your comments.
- 9 I'm looking to see if there are any questions
- 10 from the panel.
- MS. WALINSKY: Yes. I have one quick
- 12 question. You spoke a little bit about prevention, and
- 13 we've been working on that section in the draft
- 14 guidance. I would just like to hear a little bit from
- 15 you about how -- we're trying to craft a limited
- 16 population. And if the condition is rare, the problem
- 17 is if you're preventing that condition, it might be
- 18 indicated for a larger population.
- 19 How would you narrow that to a limited
- 20 population? Could you speak to that?
- DR. MOUNTS: Yes. I think that's a
- 22 particularly challenging problem for our colleagues in

Page 64

Page 61

- 1 CBER, where they develop vaccines. And the whole point
- 2 of vaccine development is, in fact, to broadly use the
- 3 vaccine to protect the whole population, and you get
- 4 herd immunity.
- 5 I think there's an inherent tension that
- 6 you've identified in the strategy for products that
- 7 prevent, but I think you're going to have to develop
- 8 the flexibility to identify those products and how they
- 9 can be used to prevent the infection in the targeted
- 10 population.
- 11 So identify those individuals who are
- 12 susceptible to the respiratory track infections, who
- 13 have end-stage renal disease, who are going to develop
- 14 catheter related bloodstream infections when they get
- 15 infected. Those are the people that you need to target

Now we'll move to our next speaker, Mr. Colin

MR. McGOODWIN: Good morning, everyone. My

2 McGoodwin from the Infectious Diseases Society of

Presentation - Colin McGoodwin

7 name is Colin McGoodwin with the Infectious Diseases

- 16 in this study because they are the ones that will be
- 17 affected.

3 America.

10 look at me.

4

5

- 18 DR. COX: Great. Thank you, Dr Mounts.
- Any other questions for Dr. Mounts? 19
- 20 (No response.)
- DR. COX: Thank you, Dr. Mounts. We
- 22 appreciate your comments.

Welcome, Colin.

- 1 therapeutics and lead antimicrobial stewardship 2 programs.
- 3 IDSA first sounded the alarm about the crisis
- of antimicrobial resistance and the need to invest in
- 5 new antibiotic research and development in 2004. Since
- then, IDSA has led efforts to advance policies to
- stimulate new antibiotic R&D and promote appropriate
- antibiotic use, including legislation to enact LPAD.
- Today, IDSA underscores the importance of this pathway,
- as the state of the antibiotic pipeline has grown even
- 11 more dire. We are also pleased to offer some
- recommendations to strengthen the draft LPAD guidance
- to expand opportunities for antibiotic R&D. 13
- IDSA greatly appreciates the FDA recognizing 14
- the gravity of antimicrobial resistance and the 15
- 16 fragility of the antibiotic pipeline. Very few large
- companies remain engaged in antibiotic discovery and 17
- development, and the small companies who are driving
- the vast majority of antibiotic innovation are 19
- struggling to stay in business. 20
- 21 Without a robust and renewable antibiotic
- 22 pipeline, increasing numbers of once treatable

Page 62

- 1 infections will become deadly, and modern medical
- 2 advances like chemotherapy, transplants, and other
- 3 complex surgeries could become too dangerous to
- perform, undoing decades of progress against disease.
- 5 The opioid epidemic is adding further urgency
- 6 to the crisis of AMR, as injection drug use is causing
- an increasing number infections caused by resistant

- 11 The Infectious Diseases Society of America,

8 Society of America. I do not have any slides, so

9 unfortunately that means you're all going to have to

- 12 thanks to Food and Drug Administration for holding
- 13 today's meeting to discuss the Limited Population
- 14 Pathway for Antibacterial and Antifungal Drugs. IDSA
- 15 represents over 11,000 infectious diseases physicians,
- 16 scientists, public health practitioners, and other
- 17 healthcare providers.
- 18 Our members care for patients with serious
- 19 life-threatening infectious diseases, including those
- 20 caused by multidrug-resistant pathogens with few or no
- 21 treatment options. Our members also conduct research
- 22 on antimicrobial resistance in the development of new

- pathogens. The CDC reported people who inject drugs
- 9 are 16 times more likely to develop an invasive MRSA
- 10 infection.
- 11 The Limited Population Pathway is essential to
- strengthening our antibiotic pipeline because many of 12
- the deadliest infections with the fewest treatment
- options currently occur in a relatively smaller number
- of people who are often critically ill, which makes
- 16 traditional large-scale clinical trials infeasible.
- 17 Further, new antibiotics with activity against
- the most difficult to treat pathogens should be used 18
- only in the patients who truly need them to protect 19
- their utility against the development of resistance.
- The Limited Population Pathway addresses both of these
- 22 challenges, and if properly utilized can help bring to

Page 65

- 1 market some of the most urgently needed new antibiotics
- 2 and promote their appropriate use.
- 3 IDSA supports the policies and processes
- 4 outlined in the draft guidance. We are pleased to
- 5 offer some recommendations that we believe will
- 6 strengthen the ability of the Limited Population
- 7 Pathway to bring new antibiotics to market with
- 8 urgently needed indications. To maximize the potential
- 9 of this new pathway, the use of novel trial designs
- 10 will be critically important.
- Further, while noninferiority trials are often
- 12 most appropriate for studies of new antibiotics, some
- 13 of the small studies conducted under this new pathway
- 14 may not be amenable to noninferiority design. In
- 15 instances for which superiority designs would be
- 16 appropriate under the new pathway, the FDA should
- 17 consider using a p-value of less than 0.1 or another
- 18 less stringent value for type 1 error control if the
- 19 risk-benefit ratio is favorable.
- In some instances, it may be appropriate to
- 21 include data from patients in other countries given
- 22 that certain multidrug-resistant pathogens may be more

- 1 clinicians.
- 2 Package insert language is essential because
- 3 it informs clinical decision-making and governs sponsor
- 4 communications regarding its products. Even if a
- 5 sponsor cannot achieve a limited population indication
- 6 for a new antibiotic, IDSA recommends the sponsor still
- 7 be able to share its study data from use of the new
- 8 drug in patients with resistant infections.
- 9 Given our extremely limited antibiotic arsenal
- 10 and increasing rates of antibiotic resistant
- 11 infections, clinicians are frequently forced to rely
- 12 upon treatment options based on extremely limited
- 13 clinical or even in vitro data. In this environment,
- 14 additional data that could inform how a new antibiotic
- 15 may perform in a patient with a difficult to treat
- L6 infection would be very useful.
- 17 Finally, IDSA would like to emphasize that
- LPAD plays a vital role in the broader national and
- 19 global fight against antimicrobial resistance, but much
- 20 more work is needed to foster the antibiotic pipeline
- 21 necessary to meet current and future threats and to
- 22 stem the tide of antimicrobial resistance.

Page 66

Page 68

- 1 prevalent in other countries than in the United States.
- 2 It is important to remember that in addition
- 3 to new antibiotic approvals, the new pathway also
- 4 offers important opportunities to promote and monitor
- 5 appropriate antibiotic use via the statutory
- 6 requirements that drugs approved under this pathway be
- 7 clearly labeled as limited population and that their
- 8 use is monitored. By approving a new antibiotic for a
- 9 traditional indication and not a limited population
- 10 indication, the FDA may essentially forfeit these
- 11 valuable stewardship opportunities.

12

- IDSA understands that approval for limited
- 13 population indications may not always be feasible or
- 14 appropriate for a sponsor seeking this route. In such
- 15 instances, the FDA should utilize other tools at its
- 16 disposal to incent antibiotic R&D and to provide
- 17 critically needed new treatment options.
- 18 Flexibility in the package insert language for
- 19 drugs and studies meeting the LPAD criteria but not
- 20 necessarily meeting FDA indications for approval in
- 21 that disease syndrome may provide a meaningful
- 22 incentive to drug sponsors and useful information for

- The FDA has an important role as a champion
- 2 within our government for broader solutions. IDSA
- 3 calls for antibiotic reimbursement reform and novel
- 4 pull incentives, such as a market entry reward, for
- 5 targeted urgently needed new antibiotics that address
- 6 our greatest unmet needs to ensure fair and reasonable
- 7 returns on investment for antibiotic R&D. We also
- 8 support higher investments in AMR research and clinical
- 9 trials networks.
- 10 Equally important, IDSA continues to advocate
- 11 for a federal requirement for all healthcare facilities
- 12 to adopt antibiotic stewardship programs that align
- 13 with CDC recommendations. We also support increased
- 14 funding for our public health system to address AMR.
- 15 Lastly, we urge a federal commitment to sustain the
- 16 expert workforce needed to effectively combat AMR on
- 17 all fronts, patient care, research, stewardship,
- 18 infection prevention and control, and public health.
- Once again, IDSA thanks FDA for its continued
- 20 efforts to strengthen the antibiotic pipeline and
- 21 promote the appropriate use of these precious drugs.
- 22 Thank you.

Page 69 Page 71 Questions 1 report. 1 2 DR. COX: Great. Thanks for your comments, 2 Unlike our other members of our distinguished 3 Mr. McGoodwin. 3 panel, I am not a medical or technical expert. My 4 Any questions for Mr. McGoodwin? comments reflect the medical and policy expertise of 5 (No response.) 5 NCHR. I'm probably not in a position to answer a lot of technical questions, but I'd like to give a few DR. COX: So maybe I'll just ask one. We 6 7 appreciate your comments with regards to LPAD, but the comments that we believe reflect the patient 8 problem that seems that we're facing here is fairly perspective from the many patient groups that we 9 considerable, and you talked about a variety of 9 routinely interact with. 10 different strategies to try and address this. 10 As your other experts have pointed out, 11 Certainly, we at FDA will continue to do all that we 11 resistance to some antimicrobials has been growing and 12 can to support antimicrobial drug development. is recognized as a serious and escalating treatment 12 Any additional thoughts that you have with threat for decades. The CDC has estimated that 23,000 13 13 14 regards to other levers that could be pulled here that people die annually from drug-resistant infections. 15 might help out with regards to drugs that are targeting 15 Other authoritative estimates have put the number much 16 higher. 16 particularly small patient populations? I'll also 17 throw out the idea of clinical trial networks, if that 17 As noted by Dr. Cox earlier in his 18 was something you wanted to comment on, too. introductory remarks, partially because of this looming MR. McGOODWIN: For more specific comments, 19 health crisis, Congress created a limited population 19 20 I'd want to make sure that I reached out to my members pathway program for FDA as part of the much publicized 21 first to make sure that I didn't say anything that 21st Century Cures Act, and the agency is required by 22 didn't align with what they were thinking when we put 22 law to implement it. I think as Dr. Mounts has noted,

Page 70

- 1 this together. But I think we've worked on a ton of
- 2 different incentives as an organization and different
- 3 ways to just move. Any type of anything that will
- 4 strengthen the antibiotic R&D pipeline, we are all for.
- 5 So anything in that regard, we greatly appreciate.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 DR. COX: Thanks very much, Mr. McGoodwin. We
- 8 appreciate your comments.
- 9 Now, we'll new move to our next speaker,
- 10 Mr. Jack Mitchell, who's director of health policy at
- 11 the National Center for Health Research. Welcome, and
- 12 the podium is yours.
- 13 Presentation Jack Mitchell
- 14 MR. MITCHELL: Good morning. Like Colin, I
- 15 have no visual aids, so I apologize in advance for
- 16 that. I'm Jack Mitchell. I'm director of health
- 17 policy, as Dr. Cox has noted, of the National Center
- 18 for Health Research. We are a nonprofit think tank
- 19 that conducts and analyzes research with implications
- 20 for public health and patient safety. NCHR accepts no
- 21 money from pharmaceutical and medical device
- 22 industries, so I have no conflicts of interest to

- 1 however, there may be some confusion or some
- 2 clarification needed about congressional intent and
- 3 FDA's intentions in that regard.
- 4 FDA, I should say, should be commended for its
- 5 work in attempting to resolve a long-standing and
- 6 thorny medical treatment problem. The FDA and the
- 7 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid are seeking to come
- 8 to an interagency agreement on the difficult economics
- 9 of antibiotic and antifungal new product research,
- 10 which has lagged because of the limited population of
- 11 patients affected and the enormous expense of getting
- 12 new drugs approved. Nevertheless, this proposed
- 13 guidance raises some critical questions, which we
- 14 believe need to be addressed and which were reflected
- 15 in the written comments that we've previously submitted
- 16 to the docket.
- A key issue is just having more drug with
- 18 options on the market does not necessarily always help
- 19 patients. One analysis of antibiotics approved between
- 20 1980 and 2009 found that 42 percent, or 26 drugs out of
- 21 61, were taken off the market due to poor sales, or
- 22 safety, or efficacy problems.

Page 76

Page 73

- The best way we feel to make certain that new 1
- 2 drugs are safe and effective is by requiring
- 3 well-designed and valid clinical trials. Relatively
- 4 few patients, even though some of them may be seriously
- 5 ill, have an unmet need. That is a situation where
- 6 none of the drugs available on the market work for
- 7 their infection. That makes it difficult, more
- 8 difficult than usual, to study new drugs in the
- patients most likely to benefit.
- 10 For that reason, the guidance suggests that an
- 11 experimental drug should be tested in a broader
- 12 population of patients with the intent that if
- 13 approved, the drug would be indicated for a narrow or
- 14 limited population of patients who do not have good
- 15 options. However, if the drug is not tested on the
- 16 specific population for which it is intended, it would
- 17 be difficult to determine the efficacy and safety for
- that particular population. 18
- 19 Drugs approved by testing in a more general
- 20 population would not necessarily provide patients and
- 21 their physicians with the evidence needed to
- 22 necessarily determine the appropriate treatment for the

- 1 however, we have found that it's not always necessarily
- 2 the case.
- 3 It is our experience that patients who are not faced with chronic or fatal diseases also have
- expressed the need for FDA to focus on safety. We do
- not think it is accurate to assume that patients who
- have an unmet need always have less concerned for
- safety than risk-to-benefit ratio. 8
- 9 FDA properly recognizes the need to warn
- 10 patients about different standards for drugs approved
- for the limited pathway. For that reason, the guidance
- states that the labeling should include the words
- "limited population" adjacent to the drug's name, and 13
- include a statement about the indication for limited
- 15 population of patients. That is entirely appropriate,
- and as noted here, it is repeated in the labeling.
- However, in and by itself, that seems perhaps 17
- inadequate because it does not clearly describe the
- 19 limited scientific evidence used to support the drug's
- approval. 20
- 21 Patients and doctors see the FDA approval
- 22 properly as a gold standard, and they expect

Page 74

- 1 FDA-approved drugs to meet that high standard. This
- 2 goes back to Dr. Rex's point that we have a
- 3 communications and educational problem.
- FDA knows what it's doing and knows what
- 5 they're required to carry out into the 21st Century
- Cures Act, but that does not mean that patients and
- their physicians understand these increased risks or
- different standards, and that needs to be developed
- much further for the patient's benefit. Again, citing
- Dr. Mounts' previous concerns, we would endorse the 10
- idea of a physician education program towards that goal
- because this is going to be a very important education 12
- 13 and communications problem.
- can be small and provide the best available treatment 15
- 16 by comparing to the standard of care plus the new drug

Randomized and double-blind superior trials

- 17 as an add-on treatment. This is common for cancer
- trials we are told by experts. FDA should consider
- adopting those strategies for antimicrobials rather 19
- than solely considering evidence from small trials of
- patients that are substantially different from the
- 22 indications that FDA ultimately approves.

- 1 patients in this limited population, and therefore it 2 would not be clear if the benefits outweigh the risks
- 3 for the intended patients, and as Dr. Mounts noted in
- 4 her comments, Dr. Woodcock of CDER has already noted
- 5 that the risk profile is different in this limited
- 6 population category.
- If the new drug is expected to be safe and 7
- 8 effective for the general population, in other words,
- 9 the type of patients to be included in the clinical
- 10 trial, then it would not need to go through the limited
- 11 pathway. So we would ask how can a doctor justify
- 12 explaining to clinical trial patients, if they are
- 13 randomly assigned to receive the experimental drug, the
- 14 drug might be less effective or less safe than the
- 15 approved drug that is already known to work for their
- 16 condition.
- 17 The guidance also suggests that patients with
- 18 serious disease and unmet needs are willing to accept
- 19 greater uncertainty or greater level of risk. Without
- 20 doubt, that maybe will be true for many or even the 21 majority of patients. I'd like to note, though, as an
- 22 organization that routinely works with patients,

14

Page 77

7

- Again, as Dr. Cox alluded to earlier, the
- 2 section of the 21st Century Cures Act, which describes
- 3 the limited pathway, specifically states that the
- 4 approval through this pathway still requires the same
- 5 level of evidence as standard approvals; that is
- 6 substantial evidence from adequate and well-controlled
- 7 studies demonstrating efficacy. Again, FDA knows this,
- 8 but this needs to be better conveyed to patients and
- 9 their physicians who are not familiar with the FDA
- 10 approvals and regard it as a gold standard,
- 11 nonetheless.
- 12 This also should include sufficient numbers of
- 13 participants to conduct appropriate statistical
- 14 analysis. In addition, the guidance itself states that
- 15 the pathway does not allow for drugs to be approved
- 16 without meeting this normal standard.
- 17 In conclusion, I thank you for allowing us to
- 18 express our views in this critically and ongoing topic.
- 19 Thank you very much.
- 20 Questions
- DR. COX: Thank you, Mr. Mitchell.
- Any questions from the panel for Mr. Mitchell?

- 1 communication, which we recognize there can be
- 2 challenges as you move from those involved in drug
- 3 development, those regulating it, the physicians, the
- 4 patients, and there are multiple different layers
- 5 there. So you bring up some points that deserve some
- 6 additional thought, and we appreciate your comments.
 - MR. MITCHELL: We believe, as I said, that
- 8 there needs to be some further clarification of
- 9 congressional intent. There was some controversy
- 10 involving the language in this regard, as I recall, in
- 11 the original stages of the 21st Century Cures Act.
- 12 And from Dr. Mounts' comments, it appears that some of
- 13 those discrepancies or misunderstandings may not have
- 14 entirely been resolved.
- DR. COX: Would you care to just expand on
- 16 that a little bit more? I think I'm understanding what
- 17 you're saying, but it might be helpful if you would
- 18 just give a little more detail.
- 19 (Crosstalk.)
- 20 MR. MITCHELL: Well, I would reflect on her
- 21 comments that there appeared to be not necessarily a
- 22 common understanding between how FDA may be

Page 78

- 1 (No response.)
- DR. COX: Just a few key things that I'm
- 3 hearing in your comments, the issue of the trial
- 4 population studied and the relationship to the
- 5 population in whom the drug would be indicated, and
- 6 being very mindful of the scientific issues that would
- 7 need to be carefully addressed with regards to critical
- 8 factors that would impact the generalizability. That
- 9 seems to be one theme.
- Then I also heard the issue of balancing
- 11 benefits and risks as we're looking at LPAD drugs to
- 12 make sure that the benefit-risk is still acceptable.
- 13 Then you're bringing up the important --
- MR. MITCHELL: Most importantly, that it be
- 15 conveyed to the patients that even though there's the
- 16 same approval standard, that there could be a different
- 17 level of risk, and they need to understand that. And I
- 18 would emphasize that, yes, I agree that most patients
- 19 who are seriously ill would take a heightened risk, but
- 20 they need to understand what that risk is, if it can be
- 21 calculated.
- DR. COX: Right. That gets to the issue of

- 1 implementing this and what congressional intent may be.
- 2 I think that FDA should take it upon itself to do a
- 3 little bit more interaction with some of the staff's
- 4 down on the Hill who wrote this language and who are
- 5 looking to you to implement a very difficult -- as
- 6 Dr. Rex has pointed out, a very difficult but important
- 7 program.
- 8 DR. COX: Right. We appreciate that. Just in
- 9 general, too, we also note that as legislation is going
- 10 forward, we're often in the situation where we're able
- 11 to provide technical assistance, too, along the
- 12 pathway.
- 13 I think we have a question. Dr. Adebowale?
- DR. ADEBOWALE: Yes. Thank you very much. I
- 15 really appreciated your presentation. I guess I just
- 16 wanted some clarification. You did make a statement
- 17 about the labeling, and it was clear you did say that
- 18 the limited population information that's included in
- 19 the labeling is entirely appropriate. However, it's
- 20 inadequate because it doesn't describe the limited
- 21 science used to approve the drug to the patient, I
- 22 guess.

Page 81

- 1 I guess that was the intent of that comment,
- 2 because you have many types of labeling. I guess the
- 3 main concern was in terms of communicating the science
- 4 to the patient. --
- 5 MR. MITCHELL: Yes.
- 6 DR. ADEBOWALE: Okay. Thank you. Thank you 7 very much.
- 8 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, that was my intent. Thank 9 you.
- 10 DR. ADEBOWALE: Okay.
- 11 MR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.
- DR. COX: Thank you very much, Mr. Mitchell.
- 13 We appreciate you joining us here today and giving us
- 14 your comments.
- Now our next speaker is Elizabeth Lovinger, a
- 16 government relations and policy officer at the
- 17 Treatment Action Group.
- 18 Elizabeth, thank you for joining us today, and
- 19 we welcome your comments.
- 20 Presentation Elizabeth Lovinger
- MS. LOVINGER: Thank you. Like the previous
- 22 speaker I'm presenting on behalf of the technical

- 1 similar progress in other disease areas.
- 2 Since then, other initiatives to stimulate
- 3 investment in neglected diseases, including orphan
- 4 drug, priority review, fast track, and breakthrough
- 5 therapy designations have been introduced. These
- 6 initiatives have had utility in facilitating product
- 7 development in at least the disease areas on which TAG
- 8 works. But we cannot ignore that pivotal to progress
- 9 on HIV, hepatitis C, and more recently tuberculosis has
- 10 been investment in rigorous research. We understand
- 11 the challenges of securing such investments, especially
- 12 for diseases of little commercial interest or with
- 13 limited or hard to enroll patient populations.
- In our current work on TB, this is a problem
- 15 we face routinely, and let's not forget that HIV was
- 16 once a disease that no one paid attention to,
- 17 especially not pharmaceutical companies or their
- 18 shareholders. With existing incentives and regulatory
- 19 flexibilities, we are concerned that already the trade
- 20 of rigor for speed may compromise the FDA's ability to
- 21 ensure drug safety and efficacy and undermine equitable
- 22 access.

Page 82

- 1 experts at my organization, so I'll do my best to
- 2 answer your questions should you have them.
- 3 Thank you to the U.S. Food and Drug
- 4 Administration for this opportunity to offer comment on
- 5 behalf of Treatment Action Group or TAG. TAG is an
- 6 independent activist and community-based research and
- 7 policy think tank, fighting for, among other
- 8 improvements, better treatments and a cure for HIV and
- 9 related comorbidities, tuberculosis, and hepatitis C
- 10 virus.
- 11 From our founding over 25 years ago, we have
- 12 understood that both ambitious research agendas and a
- 13 flexible but rigorous regulatory authority are
- 14 necessary for achieving these advances. TAG was
- 15 instrumental in advocating for the development of
- 16 accelerated approval and parallel track pathways, which
- 17 paved the way for earlier but conditional drug approval
- 18 in response to urgent unmet medical needs, as well as
- 19 preapproval access under the current expanded access
- 20 framework. These regulatory flexibilities were vital
- 21 to progress against the HIV epidemic. We are proud
- 22 that they have endured and been improved upon to allow

- 1 For example, the Orphan Drug Act's exemption
- 2 for pediatric research means children, the most
- 3 orphaned of all when it comes to drug development,
- 4 don't benefit from advances that are made. We are
- 5 deeply concerned that further lowering the evidentiary
- 6 bar for regulatory approval will do a disservice rather
- 7 than a favor to patients.
- 8 At the core of FDA's mission is the
- 9 responsibility for protecting the public health by
- 10 ensuring the safety, efficacy, and security of drugs.
- 11 As professor Susan Ellenberg remarked at a recent FDA
- 12 hearing regarding a new anti-infective drug candidate,
- 13 people in these desperate situations are every bit as
- 14 entitled, if not more entitled, to have drugs where
- 15 there's a definitive evidence that they are going to
- 16 work.
- 17 We support the remarks submitted by the
- 18 National Center for Health Research and the questioning
- 19 by survivor Jonathan Furman on safety issues that could
- 20 come under the Limited Population Pathway for
- 21 Antibacterial and Antifungal Drugs. If the FDA does
- 22 decide to go ahead with this pathway despite these

Page 85

- 1 appeals, we are concerned that the pathway could be
- 2 applied to tuberculosis, the active infectious form of
- 3 which, and particularly it's drug-resistant strains,
- 4 affects a relatively small number of patients in the
- 5 U.S. However, millions of people are affected by
- 6 tuberculosis globally.
 - This creates a risk that drugs approved under
- 8 lower evidentiary standards given limited patient
- 9 numbers in the United States could be applied to large
- 10 patient populations abroad. As such, we ask the FDA to
- 11 ensure that if this pathway does advance, it makes
- 12 clear that conditions that affect a large number of
- 13 patients in other settings outside the U.S. are
- 14 ineligible.
- 15 Further, if this pathway does proceed in some
- 16 form, we do not agree that compliance with the labeling
- 17 and promotional material requirements currently in the
- 18 draft guidance is sufficient to alert patients or
- 19 providers to the lax evidentiary standards under which
- 20 benefits and risks were assessed for a drug; and we are
- 21 alarmed to see comments from pharmaceutical companies
- 22 asking for even fewer labeling requirements. There is

- 1 goals of LPAD is to clearly communicate that limited
- 2 patient population and where the benefit-risk is
- 3 appropriate.
- 4 I heard you mention the idea of ensuring that
- 5 that information was available to folks. Any thoughts
- 6 on how to further inform folks, beyond what's in the
- 7 label, with regards to the population of patients,
- 8 where the benefit-risk is specifically thought to be a
- 9 favorable benefit-risk, such as patients with few
- 10 options and severe disease?
- 11 MS. LOVINGER: Yes. I think, from our
- 12 perspective, we're somewhat concerned that there aren't
- 13 necessarily circumstances in which labeling would be
- 14 sufficient, just due to the fact that the majority of
- 15 the population doesn't have a background in clinical
- 16 evidence. In my experience, even speaking with
- 17 government officials who don't have a background in
- 18 clinical evidence, I think there's a knowledge gap
- 19 there as well.
- So I think from our perspective, we would
- 21 simply want similar standards to be applied and to not
- 22 have to communicate that to patients. And if there's a

Page 86

- 1 also insufficient protection against off-label use, an
- 2 extremely common practice in the U.S.
- 3 Additionally, noting that the LPAD Pathway
- 4 should not be used to salvage a trial that fails to
- 5 demonstrate its objective or an inadequately designed
- 6 development program seems difficult to enforce. We
- 7 welcome and encourage efforts to attract and
- 8 appropriately incentivize further research into health
- 9 areas that have not attracted and are unlikely to
- 10 attract commercial investment in research, but cutting
- 11 corners for research is not the way to do this. We
- 12 need appropriate incentives that facilitate development
- 13 and promote rigorous science, not merely more
- 14 incentives. Thank you.
- 15 Questions
- DR. COX: Great. Thanks for your comments.
- 17 You covered a wide range of areas in the challenging
- 18 area of drug development, specifically mostly focused
- 19 on the areas of TB drug development in this instance.
- You mentioned the issue of a drug being
- 21 studied for patients with more resistant forms of
- 22 tuberculosis and the challenges there. One of the

- 1 need to incentivize further research, then that should
- 2 be a separate conversation.
- 3 DR. COX: Any other questions? Sumathi?
- 4 DR. NAMBIAR: Thank you for your comments. I
- 5 was wondering if you can expand on your comment about
- 6 limiting access outside of, say, the United States if a
- 7 product were approved with LPAD labeling. Do you have
- 8 any thoughts on that?
- 9 Particularly for disease conditions, which are
- 10 not prevalent in the United States, there is truly an
- 11 unmet medical need for that outside the United States,
- 12 then imposing some kind of limitations regarding
- 13 access, which will be interesting hearing your thoughts14 on that.
- 15 MS. LOVINGER: Yes. I think from our
- 16 perspective, those are circumstances under which a drug
- 17 should not be eligible for the LPAD pathway because of
- 18 that risk for application outside the United States.
- 19 DR. NAMBIAR: Thank you.
- DR. COX: We also heard your comments about
- 21 the importance of evidence --
- MS. LOVINGER: Yes.

Page 89

- 1 DR. COX: -- and getting quality evidence
- 2 helps to understand how the product works.
- 3 MS. LOVINGER: Yes. I think to clarify from
- 4 the standpoint of drug-resistant tuberculosis, there
- 5 are treatments currently that have an efficacy rate of
- 6 50 to 60 percent. I think we were particularly
- 7 concerned when we saw language about widening
- 8 noninferiority margins.
- 9 If for instance there is a wider
- 10 noninferiority margin of let's say 12 percent, then you
- 11 could have a new standard of care that has an efficacy
- 12 rate, from our current standard, say 38 percent. Then
- 13 if that drug then becomes a new standard of care, there
- 14 is a risk that you're allowing another drug to enter
- 15 the market that has an efficacy rate of 26 percent. So
- 16 I think from the standpoint, particularly of
- 17 tuberculosis, that's a serious concern that we have.
- DR. COX: Great. Any other questions?
- 19 (No response.)
- DR. COX: Great. We thank you for your
- 21 comments, and thanks for joining us here today.
- MS. LOVINGER: Thank you.

- 1 Why do we believe that LPAD applies fully to
- 2 antifungal products? And thank you for the previous
- 3 speakers that really have paved the way for this talk
- 4 to be relatively easy for me. But certainly, there are
- serious and life-threatening fungal infections that
- 6 have very, very high mortality. I don't think that
- 7 there is a doubt that we check that box. Many fungal
- 8 infections are serious and life threatening. Examples
- 9 have been provided, but here are some of them.
- 10 Candida, these infections may have mortalities
- 11 reported up to 60 percent; azole-resistant and invasive
- 12 aspergillosis with mortalities up to 50 percent.
- 13 Serious fungal diseases, failing or intolerant to
- 14 existing therapies, they have mortalities close to
- 15 30 percent. Rare fungal infections like scedosporium
- 16 and fusarium infections, mortalities are higher than
- 17 50 percent.
- So it's clear that there is, even with current
- 19 therapies, a very substantial unmet medical need, and
- 20 this is with current available therapies.
- 21 These infections occur in a limited
- 22 population. They are not very common. They are rare.

Page 90

Page 92

- DR. COX: Our next speaker is David Angulo,
- 2 who's the chief medical officer at Scynexis, who I
- 3 believe presenting on behalf of BIO.
- 4 Did I get that correct?
- 5 MR. ANGULO: That is correct.
- 6 DR. COX: Great. Thank you, David.
- 7 MR. ANGULO: Thank you.
- 8 DR. COX: We appreciate you joining us here
- 9 today.
- 10 Presentation David Angulo
- DR. ANGULO: Thank you. Thank you for the
- 12 invitation, and thank you for allowing us to present
- 13 here, and thank you for really organizing this meeting.
- 14 I'm David Angulo. I'm the chief medical
- 15 officer of Scynexis. As a disclosure, we are
- 16 developing an antifungal agent, so you're going to see
- 17 my talk really focusing on how LPAD could be applied to
- 18 antifungal agents and why we believe it's a very
- 19 important tool that we need to -- it's extremely
- 20 important to refine as much as we can so that we all
- 21 can take advantage of that, the public and all the
- 22 physicians that really need these drugs.

- 1 Those patients are easily identified by healthcare
- 2 providers because typically they are diagnosed via a
- 3 culture, a biological marker of this particular
- 4 disease, sometimes histopathology, but you can clearly
- 5 identify what is the population that you are treating
- 6 here.
- 7 There are substantial unmet medical needs in
- 8 the antifungal space. The reality is that we have only
- 9 three main classes of antifungals that really are
- 10 commonly used to treat invasive fungal diseases:
- 11 echinocandins, azoles, and polyenes. Only one of them
- 12 is oral. Treatment for invasive fungal diseases
- 13 typically takes several weeks to months. So you have
- 14 only one oral therapy and you have patients who are
- 15 refractory or resistant to that particular oral
- 16 therapy, you have very few options.
- One of them has significant concerns regarding
- 18 drug-drug interactions and other classes may not be
- 19 appropriate for patients with substantial risk for
- 20 nephrotoxicity. If we take this into consideration,
- 22 additional options because the physicians right now

really, the antifungal space has a substantial need for

Page 93

- 1 have very few options to play with.
- 2 I'm trying to provide here a pragmatic example
- 3 of how a drug could really be developed or why a drug
- 4 could be developed in the antifungal space following
- 5 the LPAD path. We're here expressing that drug X could
- 6 be indicated in adults who have limited or no
- 7 alternative treatment options for treatment of a
- 8 documented invasive fungal infection that is either
- 9 refractory by one or more treatments, or caused by
- 10 pathogens known to be resistant to existent therapies,
- 11 or in whom the treatment is not tolerated.
- So all these elements by itself are already
- 13 limiting substantially the population, and these are
- 14 the patients that are definitely in a very substantial
- 15 need to have additional treatment options.
- 16 All of them required have some level of
- 17 consensus regarding refractory, how to define
- 18 refractory. This is typically defined in clinical
- 19 trials by an independent committee, and here I'm just
- 20 providing an example of a fungal infection that could
- 21 be considered refractory, a patient with candidemia
- 22 that has the persistent positive cultures and lack of

- 1 for, and it's clear that this indication may represent
- 2 a substantial unmet medical need.
- 3 Will a traditional development path work for
- 4 this work? Randomized-controlled trials, even
- 5 noninferiority with large margins of noninferiority
- 6 margins, will it work for this particular type of
- 7 development path? Of course not. The reality is that
- 8 we are talking about very, very rare populations, small
- 9 populations doing randomized-controlled trials versus
- 10 something that has already failed, or for which the
- 11 patients are intolerant to, and not having too many
- 12 options within the antifungal armamentarium to
- 13 randomize to. These types of purchase of
- 14 randomized-controlled trials are not likely to work in
- 15 this case.
- Giving an example, for instance, invasive
- 17 candidiasis, we can still do for all comers for in
- 18 invasive candidiasis. We can still do
- 19 randomized-controlled trials. The prevalence estimated
- 20 in the United States of invasive candidiasis has, I
- 21 don't know, 25,000 cases a year, and it takes about 2
- 22 to 3 years to do a well-controlled,

Page 94 Page 96

- 1 clinical response after let's say 5-7 days of the
- 2 current available therapy. We know that these
- ${\bf 3}$ patients, if nothing is done, may have a very high risk
- 4 of mortality.
- 5 So refractory could be defined based on each
- 6 one of the indications. Resistant is a little bit
- 7 easier to define that population because resistant
- 8 could be based on reported MICs and susceptibility
- 9 breakpoints. Intolerance is the patients who have
- 10 developed a toxicity or at risk of developing a
- 11 toxicity when a product is administered,, particularly
- 12 for drug-drug interaction reasons.
- 13 This particular scenario in our opinion is
- 14 very consistent with the LPAD Pathway because, by
- 15 definition, it's a limited population, and they have a
- 16 lack of alternative therapies. The population is well
- 17 defined, and it's a subset of potentially a broader
- 18 population of patients in whom the drug may work.
- The labeling, it's very easy for the labeling
- 20 to define the population in a way that a healthcare
- 21 provider can identify the patient in a clinical setting
- 22 in which a particular product, drug X, is indicated

- 1 randomized-controlled trial.
- 2 If you think about a subset of that
- 3 population, those that are, I'm going to say,
- 4 candidiasis [indiscernible] cases, or azole-resistant
- 5 Candida glabrata cases that are only 10 percent or
- 6 7 percent of that population, it will be truly
- 7 impossible to really run a well-controlled, randomized
- 8 clinical trial.
- 9 So here we are claiming that what the LPAD is
- 10 right now identifying as streamlined approaches needs
- 11 to be much more open, and needs to be much more
- 12 creative, and needs to be willing to accept other ways
- 13 of redeveloping a product and really demonstrating the
- 14 evidence of effectiveness.
- An example here could be a single-arm study in
- 16 which certainly we explain why a controlled study may
- 17 not be suitable. The population will be limited, and
- the sample size of this particular single-arm study
- 19 will be small. Historical control data or concurrent
- 20 control data very meticulously collected should be part
- 21 of the package. However, we have an area in which
- 22 we're very fortunate that in vitro and in vivo PK/PD

Page 97

- 1 assessments in studies are typically highly predictable
- 2 of efficacy in humans. We need to take advantage of
- 3 these of these particular situations.
- 4 In vitro/in vivo PK/PD studies supporting the
- 5 activity of the drug against the target pathogen could
- 6 be part of the package supporting this and supporting
- 7 clinical studies in related pathogens or related
- 8 indications, even if not for that specific pathogen.
- 9 Obviously, the drug should show some clinical
- 10 evidence of safety in a sufficiently large population
- 11 that can come from the single-arm study, plus other
- 12 complementary studies that have been run, and for the
- 13 limited population, labeling provides adequate controls
- 14 for use to justify the benefit-risk, in our opinion, in
- 15 this situation.
- 16 Here are other two examples that I'm not
- 17 entirely sure are clearly defined as a potential option
- 18 for LPAD, and I think that we should think about them.
- 19 For instance, novel therapeutic strategies because LPAD
- 20 is a little bit more tailored to novel drugs, so also
- 21 novel therapeutic strategies, we should think about
- 22 them.

- 1 opportunity to keep receiving IV therapy for 6 to
- 2 9 months or up to one year. So we need to use LPAD to
- 3 try to help us and provide alternatives in those cases
- 4 in which the available therapies are not adequate to
- 5 really meet the needs of the patients and the
- 6 physicians.

7

- I think that's it for me. Thank you.
- 8 Questions
- 9 DR. COX: Great. Thank you, Dr. Angulo.
- 10 I'll look to the panel for any questions.
- 11 (No response.)
- DR. COX: I might just ask, you outlined some
- 13 really difficult conditions to try and study, thinking
- 14 about patients who might have infrequently occurring
- 15 fungal infection, some of which might be involving bone
- 16 and such. There are still some really significant
- 17 scientific issues to try and work through to gather the
- 18 evidence to try and understand where a therapeutic
- 19 might work in understanding its safety and
- 20 effectiveness.
- You mentioned historically controlled trials,
- 22 which can in the correct circumstances provide valid

Page 98

- 1 In this particular case for fungal diseases
- 2 that have very poor outcomes, combination therapy for
- 3 fungal infections in which a single agent is
- 4 ineffective or the infections have suboptimal outcomes
- 5 with very high mortality, we can speak here about
- 6 invasive aspergillosis, particularly with
- 7 azole-resistant invasive aspergillosis. With current
- 8 available therapy options, they still have mortalities
- 9 of 40 to 50 percent, so combination therapy could be an
- 10 approach that could use the LPAD Pathway for antifungal
- 11 development.
- Also, we need to think about novel therapeutic
- 13 strategies for invasive fungal diseases that have other
- 14 significant unmet needs that will not be suitable for a
- 15 traditional development path. Here is just an example.
- 16 If you have an osteo-articular infection due to an
- 17 azole-resistant candida, let's remember the azoles are
- 18 the only oral available therapies. These patients are
- 19 going to receive from 6 months to 1 year of antifungal
- 20 therapy.
- 21 If you have them resistant to the only oral
- 22 available therapies that are there, they only have the

- 1 scientific information, but also in other circumstances
- 2 can be guite challenging to rely upon. So I just
- 3 reflect those comments back to you. I don't know if
- 4 you wanted to comment any further.
- 5 Historically-controlled trials can be
- 6 challenging, where the outcome is variable and the
- 7 treatment effect is not so large. You might look at
- 8 two control groups from different studies conducted
- 9 similarly, and there might be a variation in the
- 10 control group outcome that may actually exceed the size
- 11 of the treatment effect.
- So there are some real challenges here. I
- 13 just bring them up because I think it's important to
- 14 continue to keep those in mind. We always look forward
- 15 to trying to solve these challenging situations.
- DR. ANGULO: Absolutely. I am totally in
- 17 agreement that historically-controlled trials, probably
- by itself as a single point of evidence or single point
- 19 of comparison, may not be the solution, but this is
- 20 kind of a package of weight of evidence, what we are
- 21 here trying to play with.
- 22 Concurrent control patients that have not

Page 101

- 1 participated in the clinical trial with very detailed
- 2 information collected about them is probably the best
- 3 alternative, along with historically-controlled trials.
- 4 It is probably the best alternative that we have to be
- 5 able to compare the outcomes of these patients that
- 6 will never be suitable to do a randomized-controlled
- 7 trial.
- 8 So randomized-controlled trials in these very
- 9 small populations, we're not talking about that. We're
- 10 talking about also PK/PD parameters that are all
- 11 pointing in the same direction; in vitro information
- 12 that is pointing in the same direction; open-label
- 13 trials that are really pointed in the right direction.
- So we're not talking about a single point of
- 15 evidence; we're talking about collective pieces of
- 16 information that really will provide enough information
- 17 to substantiate the effectiveness of the drug, at least
- 18 for the risk-benefit ratio that these limited
- 19 populations require.
- DR. COX: Certainly, there are conditions
- 21 where we have enough information about the natural
- 22 history of disease, treated and untreated, to be able

- 1 take into consideration. I'm totally in agreement with
- 2 that, with the caveat that we need to understand that
- 3 really doing those studies that are properly powered to
- 4 really demonstrate a statistical inferiority or
- 5 superiority is extraordinarily challenging in many of
- 6 these conditions.
- 7 We may have controls there, but with a clear
- 8 understanding that those are unlikely to be properly
- 9 powered to really put all the statistical rigor when
- 10 you make the analysis against the controls.
- 11 DR. COX: Thank you, Dr. Angulo.
- DR. ANGULO: Thank you.
- DR. COX: Any other questions?
- 14 (No response.)
- DR. COX: We thank you for your comments and
- 16 for joining us here today.
- Our next speaker is Dr. Lisa Wittmer, who is
- 18 the chief development officer at VenatoRx
- 19 Pharmaceuticals, and she's also presenting on behalf of
- 20 the Biotechnology Innovation Organization.
- We thank you for joining us here today, Lisa,
- 22 and the podium is yours.

Page 102

- 1 to use historically-controlled trials, and the outcomes
- 2 are reliably not good. And if the effect size of the
- 3 treatment is large enough, you can still make a
- 4 scientifically valid appraisal.
- 5 I'll mention one more thing that came to mind
- 6 as I heard you describing historically-controlled
- 7 trials and some of the challenges of doing
- 8 randomized-controlled trials. One of the other ideas
- 9 that come up sometimes is disproportionate
- 10 randomization. If it is possible to do a
- 11 randomized-controlled trial, maybe you randomized 3 to
- 12 1 and gather some information from some randomized
- 13 controls. Some have even talked about trying to
- 14 utilize that information along with historical
- 15 information so that you have some insight into what's
- 16 going on in the control group.
- Any thoughts on that? It's just an idea
- 18 that's been batted around.
- DR. ANGULO: Absolutely. That is another
- 20 option in which randomized-controlled trials, even when
- 21 you have very small controls, it's certainly difficult
- 22 to really plan -- those could be an alternative to also

- 1 Presentation Lisa Wittmer
- 2 DR. WITTMER: Good morning. Thank you very
- 3 much for this opportunity, and thank you so much to
- 4 FDA, the organizers of the meeting, other speakers, as
- 5 well as the interest in this meeting. I wanted to
- 6 present the industry perspective on the guidance and
- 7 some of the precedents, and that's where I'll focus
- 8 most of my presentation.
- 9 I think what really struck the industry
- 10 community about the guidance and FDA's direction thus
- 11 far is that the guidances are really meant to be
- 12 layered together. There was already an existing
- 13 guidance on unmet medical needs for antibacterials,
- 14 which laid out, to some extent, the opportunity for
- 15 streamlined development. Then the LPAD guidance was
- 16 issued in addition, and I think the novel aspect of
- 17 that guidance was really the definition and requirement
- 18 for use of the LPAD Pathway in a limited population.
- FDA has defined and exemplified what that
- 20 limited population could be. It could be a population
- 21 that is a subset of a broader population, or it could
- 22 be an existing small population. But either way, the

- 1 population would need to be clearly identified or
- 2 identifiable in the clinical setting.
- 3 This concept makes sense, and what I'll
- 4 explore topically in the presentation is whether that
- 5 backs us into a corner of narrow spectrum therapeutics
- 6 and more targeted drugs, and leaves out some of the
- 7 innovative broad spectrum novel agents that still have
- 8 potential to address unmet medical need.
- 9 We understand readily some concepts of
- 10 streamlined development. This has already been talked
- 11 about by Dr. Cox's introductory comments on the
- 12 framework for using a single adequate and
- 13 well-controlled trial, and we do have a couple of
- 14 precedents here in the anti-infective space, so that is
- 15 helpful.
- We also see readily in the public domain a
- 17 number of companies designing trials and advocating
- 18 for, in special circumstances, wider then established
- 19 noninferiority margins. These are used sparingly in
- 20 cases where the unmet medical need is so significant
- 21 that there is a critical imperative to get a product to
- 22 the market with the available patients for study in a

- 1 further to the last speaker's comments, and recognize
- 2 that to some extent, we may already have that structure
- 3 available to us because of the strength and
- 4 predictiveness of microbiological data from in vitro
- 5 and in vivo studies.
- 6 So the question is, how is the LPAD approach
- 7 and streamlined development program really different
- 8 from the existing expedited pathways? That is
- 9 something we will very much like for FDA to clarify in
- 10 the LPAD guidance.
- 11 The LPAD guidance lays out a couple of
- 12 examples for products that would be eligible for this
- 13 pathway, and the examples include an agent with narrow
- 14 spectrum activity. In that case, the limited
- 15 population is necessarily defined. The second example,
- 16 and I'll focus on the word "only" here, is an
- 17 antibacterial or antifungal drug based on available
- 18 therapy that would only have a role in the therapy
- armamentarium for a select population with no other
- 20 options.
- The requirement that the drug, the novel drug,
- 22 the investigational drug, have a role only in that

Page 106

Page 108

- 1 clinical trial. Of course, this does come already with2 a restricted-use label.
- 3 In addition, there's a concept of a nested
- 4 inferiority, noninferiority design that has been
- 5 already laid out in the unmet needs guidance and
- 6 reiterated in the LPAD guidance. Generally, we think
- 7 of a streamlined development program as being shorter.
- 8 smaller, and requiring fewer trials. And it's
- 9 certainly not that we want to cut corners and reduce
- 10 the amount of evidence, but we all recognize that there
- 11 are some populations in which the benefit-risk ratio is
- 12 perhaps a little bit more lenient, such that the same
- 13 level of evidence in a large number of patients would
- 14 not be required in order to justify the use of a new
- 15 product.
- One thing that we contemplate is how this new
- 17 pathway, the LPAD Pathway, is different or similar to
- 18 existing expedited development pathways. For example,
- 19 a pathway already allowed under Subpart H regulations,
- 20 of course that pathway requires use of a surrogate
- 21 endpoint that's predictive of clinical efficacy, and
- 22 many of us may look at the anti-infectives space,

- 1 limited population is perhaps a challenge when we look
- 2 at the full spectrum of new agents in development. So
- 3 one of the questions to think about is whether this
- 4 LPAD guidance is really meant to be predominantly
- 5 useful for a narrow spectrum and/or targeted
- 6 antibacterials, and is that the intent of the
- 7 legislation, and in fact FDA in this guidance.
- 8 I wanted to just quickly walk through two
- 9 examples, and I'll call them a positive and a negative
- LO example, to get us thinking a little bit more about the
- 11 application of the LPAD guidance. Arikayce was
- 12 mentioned at the outset and is certainly something that
- 13 we have all gravitated to in order to instruct us
- 14 specifically how the LPAD guidance is implemented.
 - Arikayce has a limited population indication.
- 16 This is the drug that was studied in MAC lung disease.
- 17 It was approved based upon a Subpart H type pathway.
- 18 The surrogate endpoint was sputum culture conversion
- 19 versus any type of clinical endpoint, but it certainly
- seemed appropriate in this case. A single phase 3
- 21 trial using this microbiological endpoint was the basis
- 22 of approval. Of course, there was some supportive

15

- 1 evidence from a phase 2 study as well.
- The benefit-risk assessment here took into
- 3 consideration a higher incidence of respiratory AEs in
- 4 the novel drug treated group versus the control, and
- 5 still, the benefit-risk was positive because of the
- 6 critical need for new agents for patients with no other
- 7 treatment options.
- This is an interesting case example, but a 8
- 9 little confusing to industry because, based upon Situro
- 10 and its approval, which is similar to this one, and in
- 11 that case LPAD was not yet implemented, we wonder
- 12 whether or not this drug could have used the Subpart H
- 13 pathway only and not LPAD in order to achieve approval.
- 14 Now certainly, we recognize that LPAD is
- 15 useful because it allows some of the changes to
- 16 labeling and the additional requirements for
- 17 promotional material review prior to use in order to
- ensure, perhaps in a greater way, and have been for
- 19 Subpart H drugs, that the drug will be used only as
- 20 intended in the specific population where the unmet
- 21 need is greatest and that particular benefit-risk
- 22 profile applies. This in and of itself without other

- 1 the populations are quite small and difficult to access 2 geographically.
- 3 There is no approval for bloodstream
- infections, and in fact there were potentially many
- critiques that could be made of the data package that
- was submitted. However, in the context of how
- difficult it is to study these populations, it is
- challenging to see if this is a negative case example,
- how companies can target collecting direct evidence in
- 10 infections that are rare in order to achieve approval.
- 11 Some of the discussion we've had earlier today
- 12 is really based on studying inaccessible infection, and
- 13 then shouldering perhaps a small study in resistant
- infections. That is one concept. Of course, if a
- 15 product can get to the market with an indication in a
- more common infection and the requirements for approval
- of a rare infection indication are unclear, then it's 17
- possible industry would be disincentivized from
- pursuing those indications. Interestingly, in this 19
- example, the benefit-risk in the UTI population didn't
- lend sufficient support, from a safety perspective, to
- 22 support the bloodstream infection indication.

Page 110

- 1 examples is quite difficult, then, to use as a roadmap
- 2 to implement LPAD.
- If we look at the Zemdri example -- this is an 3
- 4 anti-infective antibiotic, plazomicin -- that was
- 5 approved for complicated urinary tract infections,
- 6 because it was approved based upon a single adequate
- 7 and well-controlled trial, it in fact had a
- 8 restricted-use label. You can see that in the labeling
- 9 language it's for patients with limited or no
- 10 alternative treatment options.
- 11 In addition to complicated urinary tract
- 12 infection, the company embarked upon a study to look at
- 13 infections caused by resistant pathogens. When they
- 14 submitted the application to the FDA, they requested
- 15 approval for bloodstream infections due to CRE, or
- 16 carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae.
- 17 It is very difficult to study these types of
- 18 infections. In fact, over 2100 patients were screened
- 19 and 60 to 70 could be enrolled in the trial; very
- 20 difficult patient populations to study. And I think
- 21 many of the other speakers made the point that when 22 studying infections due to resistant or rare pathogens,

- 1 While we recognize FDA certainly cannot
- 2 discuss confidential information relating to this
- 3 product's review, I raise this as an example just to
- ask a couple of questions. Does the concept of a
- limited population truly enable studying of resistant
- rare infections? Can FDA clarify the context, for
- example, for CRE infections, of the bar for sufficient
- 8 evidence of efficacy?
- 9 Just to summarize, I would like to give a few
- industry perspectives. One is the lack of clear 10
- precedence, which is certainly not anything that we can
- directly address. It's just because LPAD is new, and 12
- it is quite difficult to identify these limited
- populations. Is lack of precedent just the observation 14
- that this may slow industry in adopting the LPAD
- 16 Pathway? In addition, it could be very helpful if LPAD
- could be used for any relevant subpopulation with 17
- significant unmet medical need. 18
- 19 There is clarity needed whether LPAD could be
- 20 granted concurrently with non-LPAD indications. This
- 21 gets back to the idea that the guidance specifies the
- 22 goal for the LPAD Pathway is really targeting a very

Page 113

- 1 limited population.
- 2 Then lastly and importantly, and this has been
- 3 raised by a number of speakers, in addition to the
- 4 readily recognizable streamlined development plans that
- 5 we have come to know through other guidances and
- 6 precedents, it is really important that FDA address
- 7 some of the less utilized, infrequent approaches that
- 8 perhaps could be useful here. Maybe these approaches
- 9 are used in other therapy areas but have not been
- 10 readily adopted in infectious diseases yet.
- 11 Using alternative control groups, alternative
- 12 statistical approaches, including Bayesian statistics,
- 13 using microbiological surrogate endpoints, and being
- 14 able to extrapolate from body sites to other body
- 15 sites, within reason, when you have evidence that your
- 16 drug is distributed to those other body sites, that
- 17 allows extrapolation, to some extent, of efficacy data
- 18 and a much more pragmatic approach, while
- 19 scientifically justified, to know a drug's true
- 20 potential across infections and multiple body sites.
- Then lastly, greater reliance perhaps on PK/PD
- 22 data. Thank you very much for your attention.

- 1 population, could they utilize the LPAD Pathway is one 2 of the questions.
- MS. SCHUMANN: Great. I think that's
- 4 incredibly helpful as we move forward with this. I
- 5 think, as folks know, we've gotten a number of comments
- on the need for examples and clarity there, so thanks.
- 7 DR. COX: Sumathi?
- DR. NAMBIAR: I think Katie just asked the 8
- 9 question I intended to ask, so we're fine.
- 10 DR. COX: Maybe just a couple of thoughts.
- 11 You talked about the issue of broad spectrum that
- Katie's brought up. Then it seems like one of the 12
- things that you're looking for, if I'm understanding 13
- correctly, are the distinguishing features of the LPAD
- 15 Pathway compared to other pathways --
- DR. WITTMER: Yes, correct. 16
- 17 DR. COX: -- if we can provide any additional
- clarity on that. Then maybe I'll just make one 18
- observation or comment, which is you brought up a
- number of issues, particularly on the last slide, some
- of which I think are scientific issues that span
- 22 multiple different areas and could be issues even

Page 114

- 1 Questions
- 2 DR. COX: Great. Thanks Dr. Wittmer.
- Any questions for Dr. Wittmer from the panel? 3
- MS. SCHUMANN: Just one question. As we think
- 5 about the language in the guidance and revising that
- 6 and going to final, it sounds like one of the areas of
- 7 confusion might be around the examples that you listed
- 8 on slide 4. I just want to make sure I understand the
- 9 concern or the question there is that LPAD would only
- 10 be available, essentially, or is being targeted for
- 11 narrow spectrum products, based on the way you read
- 12 those two examples. I think that's something we could
- 13 and should look at.
- 14 DR. WITTMER: Yes. I think that's the case.
- 15 Is it really intended to enable fast development of
- 16 narrow spectrum products? I think narrow spectrum
- 17 products certainly have tremendous impact and are
- 18 highly desired in this area. However, a lot of the
- 19 innovation -- for example, for beta lactamase
- 20 inhibitors that lead to an improved profile associated
- 21 with commonly used antibiotics, those are broad
- 22 spectrum products. And if they're studied in a limited

- independent of LPAD, and many of them are; alternative
- 2 control groups and alternative statistical approaches
- 3 and all.
- So there are a number of challenging issues, 4
- 5 some of which there is some information out there.
- 6 Similar to what we talked about with LPAD, it does
- operate independently, if you will, of many of the
- other programs that are out there. These scientific
- 9 issues could certainly be the discussion of any
- development program, LPAD or otherwise. 10
- 11 So it's certainly worth talking about when
- 12 those ideas of incorporating -- whether it be
- alternative control groups or alternate statistical 13
- approaches that are brought up, bringing those up 14
- during the time that the clinical trials are being
- 16 designed so that there can be time to work through the 17 scientific issues.
- Depending upon the disease that you're 18
- studying, the implications may be different; a disease 19
- with a reliably bad outcome compared to a disease where
- 21 there may be an inherent rate of resolution as part of
- 22 background; and depending upon the severity of the

Page 117

1

- 1 condition and such.
- So it's definitely worth thinking about and
- 3 talking about during the drug development phase, and we
- 4 thank you for your comments, and we look forward to
- 5 thinking about them more.
- 6 Another question, Abi [ph]? Sarah, please.
- 7 MS. WALINSKY: I have just one question.
- 8 Staring at this bullet in front of us about clarity
- 9 over an LPAD indication with a non-LPAD indication in a
- 10 broader population, how would you envision that being
- 11 labeled? I think that's a tough question for us, so I
- 12 just would love to hear that.
- DR. WITTMER: Yes. We recognize that that's a
- 14 challenge from a labeling perspective, although this
- 15 was the theme of some of the other presentations today,
- 16 the concept of studying the accessible population,
- 17 which has a more common infection, and then using that
- 18 to bolster the evidence that is achievable by trying to
- 19 study a more rare infection.
- So if that is one of the streamlined
- 21 development pathways that we see as viable, or more
- 22 viable, than some of the ones listed on the bottom of

- Welcome, Dr. Pypstra.
- 2 Presentation Rienk Pypstra
- 3 DR. PYPSTRA: Thank you. I want to start by
- 4 saying first that the LPAD initiative is a very useful
- 5 initiative, and it's very welcomed because it helps us
- 6 to make life-saving drugs available. We've discussed
- 7 today several examples of drugs that cannot be
- 8 developed in a different way, or that cannot be
- 9 developed in a traditional way, and in order to make
- 10 those drugs available, we needed some alternative
- 11 initiative. This is one of it. Secondly, it also
- 12 supports the overall anti-infectives R&D ecosystem, and
- 13 that is also very important, as we've heard before.
- 14 My presentation will focus on two aspects.
- 15 The first one is how can we implement novelty that is
- 16 occurring into this LPAD pathway, and secondly, some
- 17 practicalities on how do we fix or clarify exactly
- 18 postmarketing removal of the LPAD restrictions.
- The novel development review initiatives, how
- 20 can they be applied to LPAD? First of all, there's
- 21 discussion of smaller, shorter trials, and there are
- 22 lots of examples there. We have already touched upon

Page 118

- 1 slide 9, then we would have to solve the problem of how
- 2 it is labeled.
- 3 I think, to some extent, the stewardship
- 4 practices will kick in with regard to the use of a
- 5 product in the common infection, and perhaps you will
- 6 see a product that has utility in a rare infection
- 7 would become standard of care for the rare infection.
- 8 but not necessarily standard of care in the common
- 9 infection because the labeling would specify that it's
- 10 to be used only in patients with no other treatment
- 11 alternatives, and you have the antibiotic stewardship
- 12 practices layered on top of that.
- So I don't have a great answer for you, but I
- 14 certainly recognize the challenge.
- DR. COX: Thank you, Dr. Wittmer. We
- 16 appreciate you joining us and providing your comments
- 17 to us today.
- 18 DR. WITTMER: Thank you.
- DR. COX: Our next speaker is Dr. Rienk
- 20 Pypstra, vice president of anti-infectives, Pfizer, and
- 21 he's also presenting on behalf of the Biotechnology
- 22 Innovation Organization.

- 1 several of them: boosting controls; having platform
- 2 trials with continuous controls; and contemporaneous
- 3 controls.
- 4 There is also a discussion that we haven't
- 5 touched upon yet that's real-world evidence versus
- 6 randomized-controlled trials, particularly in the
- 7 context of having clinical trial networks where there
- 8 is going to be much more evidence available. Maybe
- 9 these two will start to approach each other in the
- 10 quality of evidence.
- 11 I also briefly want to touch upon tissue
- 12 agnostic approaches, or at least labeling, and how we
- 13 can pool pathogen data across different body sites
- 14 because that is how the drug is going to be used, and
- 15 the FDA should definitely try to provide guidance in
- 16 the label of how the drug is intended or going to be
- 17 used. There is reference to the streamlined clinical
- 18 development plans, programs that we've discussed
- 19 before, and I'm not going to dwell on that.
- About innovation, there are quite some trends
- 21 ongoing today, and I would really like to encourage the
- 22 agency to embrace that innovation. In diagnostics,

Page 121

- 1 there's a lot going on with genotypical information,
- 2 and that is being linked to predict susceptibility and
- 3 large databases are being created. These will be able
- 4 to be linked as well to patient databases if we do the
- 5 efforts to do so, which would link genotypical
- 6 information of pathogens directly to clinical outcomes.
- That is going to be extremely helpful information.
- The electronic patient records are capturing 8
- 9 so much information that at ACMED [ph], there was
- 10 already a presentation where a person who was able to
- 11 predict the presence of a resistant pathogen without
- 12 even testing the pathogen, so fascinating stuff is
- 13 going to be available and possible thanks to artificial
- intelligence or machine learning.
- 15 Clinical trial networks are happening that
- 16 will probably help us facilitate informed consent, but
- 17 it will also generate a lot of information. Thanks to
- international collaborations, we will be able to access
- 19 also pathogens that are regional and be able to capture
- 20 that information before it becomes a problem in our
- 21 home country. It will even allow us to test,
- 22 empirically, stewardship interventions because you

- 1 but that is certainly an extremely important piece of 2 evidence.
- 3 If we have difficulty in recruiting patients
- because they are so rare and these patients have no
- 5 other treatment options, very often there are
- compassionate use programs. Is there something that we
- can learn from those compassionate use programs, and
- how can that be included in the substantial evidence? 8
- 9 Then of course, the control arms that we've
- 10 discussed before, flexibility and endpoints as being
- applied in cancer trials, going back to microbiological
- eradication as a surrogate marker may be helpful in 12
- certain cases where we just do not have sufficient 13
- patient numbers and too much confounding factors
- 15 because of the complexity of the infection.
- 16 Adaptive clinical trial design, there is clear
- guidance from the agency, and even recently updated, 17
- and I would really like to encourage the agency to make
- best use of all of these options, not to limit 19
- ourselves too strictly to the traditional clinical
- trial design as we've been doing it, but see what is
- 22 possible to strengthen the power of our small studies.

Page 122

Page 124

- 1 could randomize certain sites to do certain stewardship
- 2 interventions and see what the real outcome is of that.
- 3 something that we haven't been able to do yet.
- Then last but not least, the blurring of the 4
- 5 real-world data and randomized control paradigms just
- 6 because of the sheer volume of evidence. And if we
- 7 have good harmonized data quality checks in the
- 8 clinical trial networks, these two types of evidence
- 9 may approach each other.
- So talking about this innovation now, and 10
- 11 bringing that, and what does it mean for substantial
- 12 evidence, we've heard a couple of times about
- 13 demonstrating noninferiority in a somewhat similar
- 14 population and then have anecdotal clinical evidence in
- 15 an open-label trial specifically addressing the
- 16 question about the MDR pathogen; definitely a very good
- 17 approach.
- We have also heard that PK/PD is a very 18
- 19 important part of information, and it can help bridge
- 20 evidence generated in one body site to another body
- 21 site in many, many cases. Of course if we have novel
- 22 mechanisms of actions, it's going to be more difficult,

- 1 This slide here, slide 7, is a very important
- 2 one. It is about how does these drugs are tested, and
- 3 Zemdri was one example, tested in a complicated UTI
- setting, so therefore it gets the label of the drug is
- indicated in patients with clinical UTI infection. But
- that's probably not how the drug is going to be used,
- not necessarily. Particularly if you have drugs
- addressing AMR, where they're going to be used is most
- 9 likely in situations with ventilator-associated
- pneumonia or other infections in an intensive care unit 10
- 12 So is it helpful to indicate a drug for cUTI
- if you know it's going to be used or be needed in
- another indication, and under the LPAD umbrella, could 14
- the agency not come to a risk-benefit judgment in these
- 16
- not studied indications, based on the available evidence with the appropriate clarifications of course
- in the labeling, what has been studied, and what is now 18
- a possible use of that drug? 19

or septicemia.

- 20 Specifically for the labeling, I think the
- caveats of limited population are very important and 21
- 22 very helpful, but what I would like to see is

1

- 1 something, like here in blue, that a drug for AMR is
- 2 indicated for the treatment of infections; not a
- 3 specific type of infection, not a body site, but for
- 4 the treatment of infections caused by
- 5 multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, or
- 6 acinetobacter, or whatever problem pathogen that we
- 7 have. I think that would be extremely helpful.
- 8 Then the statement that it's based on just
- 9 limited data is perfectly adequate and is going to be
- 10 very helpful to limit overuse of the drug. And
- 11 actually, these types of drugs are going to be
- 12 controlled very much anyway through stewardship
- 13 initiatives at the site.
- 14 The last slide is about the postmarketing
- 15 removal of the LPAD restriction. We heard concerns
- 16 previously that there might be overuse of drugs, and I
- 17 think we are all in favor of trying to gather all the
- 18 information that is possible about treatment of a
- 19 specific indication in a specific setting.
- 20 So whilst the drug is approved under a limited
- 21 population initiative or pathway, I think it is going
- 22 to be useful to collect further information and make

- Questions
- DR. COX: Thank you, Dr. Pypstra.
- 3 Any questions? I can start out with one. I'm
- 4 wondering, you mentioned the issue of tissue agnostics
- 5 and body sites, and I guess one of the challenges that
- 6 we've seen is when we look at the many antibacterial
- 7 drugs, where we've seen trials over the last 10 years
- 8 or so, we've not infrequently run into circumstances
- 9 where a drug works in one type of infection, but then
- 10 at another body site, much to our surprise and not
- 11 apparent until the clinical trial teaches this, there's
- 12 a deficit in another site.
- When folks look, sometimes they do some very
- 14 elegant work and can understand this, I'm estimating
- 15 about half the times, and sometimes the other half the
- 16 time, we, after looking, can't quite even figure out
- 17 why, or at least our hypotheses are just speculative as
- 18 to why a drug worked at one site and not another.
- 19 That does raise a real challenging issue for
- 20 the issue of a drug and looking across body sites. I
- 21 know it's a tough question. I can't answer it. I'm
- 22 just curious if you have any thoughts on it.

Page 126

- 1 sure that we really establish efficacy and safety of
- 2 that product in that setting.
- The question is how do we do that, and is it
- 4 sufficient to collect safety information? Is it
- 5 sufficient to collect real-world evidence, or does it
- 6 really need to be like a supplementary NDA at this
- 7 moment, a prospective well-controlled clinical trial to
- 8 come with that evidence? That is a question that would
- 9 be helpful to be clarified in the guidance.
- 10 Another point here is about the Limited
- 11 Population Pathway. Can you get another claim for
- 12 another pathogen on the same label, or as the previous
- 13 speaker asked, can you have a normal claim and then a
- 14 separate claim that says, well, for this indication
- 15 there's only limited evidence, and how would you do
- 16 that? The real-world evidence or the
- 17 randomized-controlled trial for the initial indication.
- 18 that is also an important question.
- So the point here on this slide is, really,
- 20 could the agency provide a little bit more practical
- 21 guidance on the various options on how to address,
- 22 postmarketing, the LPAD restrictions? That's it.

- 1 DR. PYPSTRA: Well, I think part of the answer
- 2 is that we should study the drug across indications.
- 3 Not each of the indications will be adequately powered,
- 4 I accept that, but at least it will generate some
- 5 information, and having some information is better than
- 6 having no information.
- 7 The situation that we're facing currently is
- 8 that drugs are studied primarily in UTI infections, and
- 9 they're going to be used in other infections for which
- 10 we have no information whatsoever. So I would rather
- 11 have a study where it's used in mixed infections,
- 12 adequately stratified, or using factorial design so
- 13 that you can compare within the groups and across the
- 14 different indications, and generating some evidence.
- 15 I think the big problems will be identified by
- 16 that. There may still be some differences between
- 17 pneumonia and intra-abdominal infections, and they will
- 18 probably be teased out later onwards through real-world
- 19 evidence data.
- DR. COX: I'm just thinking about your
- 21 comments, and of brings us back I think to that theme
- 22 that we've heard through a couple of the presentations.

- 1 And that is, are there ways that could help facilitate
- 2 the collection of evidence in these very difficult to
- 3 study infections, whether it be clinical trial
- 4 networks, centers of excellence and such, so that we
- 5 might be able to gather more data that is really
- 6 difficult to gather to help to address some of these
- 7 questions.
- 8 Just a comment, really -- well, two comments
- 9 maybe. One is that it is true that folks do study
- 10 indications that are feasible where they can actually
- 11 gather some data about the efficacy of the drug, which
- 12 is helpful. It doesn't address all the questions that
- 13 are out there, all the ways that a drug might be
- 14 utilized, and certainly we all would want to have that
- 15 information.
- So it does bring us back to this question of
- 17 are there ways that we can help to gather such
- 18 information in these more difficult to study
- 19 infections?
- 20 I'll comment, too. I noticed on your slide,
- 21 you said a randomized-controlled trial, and then some
- 22 anecdotes. Certainly, we do try and do better than

- 1 LPAD restrictions, and again, you mentioned it earlier
- 2 in the endpoint flexibility as for cancer trials. I
- 3 just wanted to hear where you're seeing how accelerated
- 4 approval -- I know with Arikayce, we approved based on
- 5 both.
- 6 DR. PYPSTRA: The principle of accelerated
- 7 approval that I'm in favor of is that you can make the
- 8 drug available relatively quickly, based on limited
- 9 data, and that you have some kind of post-approval
- 10 commitment to complement the information afterwards,
- 11 whilst the drug is already available to patients.
- We heard from the patient organizations that
- 13 they want every patient to have access to safe and
- 14 effective drugs, and we should all endeavor to achieve
- 15 that. The problem is that in the beginning, we have a
- 16 drug of which we do not know that information, and what
- 17 is then better; not to have the drug at all, or to have
- 18 the drug available under certain restrictions and with
- 19 adequate labeling? And I think it's the latter.
- MS. WALINSKY: Thank you. That's helpful.
- 21 Open Public Comments
- DR. COX: Thank you, Dr. Pypstra, and we thank

Page 130

- 1 anecdotes. We are trying to get to adequate and
- 2 well-controlled trials. Sometimes in these difficult
- 3 to study conditions, you can construct an adequate and
- 4 well-controlled trial. Sometimes it's
- 5 historically-controlled trial. Sometimes it's a
- 6 smaller randomized-controlled trial.
- 7 But we do try and work with companies
- 8 throughout the period that they're developing their
- 9 drug to try and explore what might be possible that
- 10 might get us to an adequate and well-controlled trial
- 11 to really help provide the information that will help
- 12 us to understand how a drug works in treating a
- 13 particular type of infection, and recognizing that in
- 14 certain circumstances, the sample sizes might be
- 15 smaller, the degree of uncertainty might be larger, but
- 16 still trying to get to that threshold of level of
- 17 evidence, if you will.
- Any other questions for Dr. Pypstra? Sarah?
- MS. WALINKSY: You mentioned accelerated
- 20 approval in two of your slides. I didn't hear you dive
- 21 deeper in that, and I just wanted to hear a little bit
- 22 more from you. You mentioned postmarketing removal of

- 1 you for your comments and for joining us here today.
- 2 At this point, we've gotten through our
- 3 scheduled speakers, and now we can move to the open
- 4 public comments. We have Carrie-Lynn Furr, who is
- 5 signed up to be our first speaker.
- 6 DR. YOUNG: I didn't sign up. I don't know
- 7 where to sign. I'll follow anywhere. Some of you
- 8 signed up first.
- 9 DR. COX: We'll let our speaker who signed up
- 10 go first, and then we'll ask you for comments.
- 11 DR. YOUNG: Thank you.
- DR. FURR: I'm Carrie-Lynn Langlais Furr, CEO
- 13 of Bacteriophage and Drug Development Consultants.
- 14 Thank you for the work that FDA has put into
- 15 implementation of the LPAD Pathway. Like others, I
- 16 agree that approval under this pathway is very
- 17 important to increase the arsenal of antibacterial and
- 18 antifungal products. Thank you also for the
- 19 opportunity to speak for a moment. I will be brief.
- 20 My comment applies broadly but is driven by
- 21 the development of Bacteriophage based investigational
- 22 products. Bacteriophage therapeutics are in a novel

Page 133

- 1 class of biological antibiotics with narrow spectrum
- 2 activity and reviewed by CBER. Since many small
- 3 companies are innovating Bacteriophage's and other new
- 4 antibacterial and antifungal products, I would ask that
- 5 there be consideration to adding agency discussion of
- 6 the potential for an investigational product to be
- 7 approved under LPAD early in development; again, the
- 8 potential.
- 9 For example, at the pre-IND stage, and
- 10 investigational product with LPAD path potential could
- 11 be eligible for more frequent interactions with the
- 12 FDA, similar to what is written in the breakthrough
- 13 therapy designation guidance. Such interactions in
- 14 this case would focus on the integrated development
- 15 plan so that the anticipated need for additional
- 16 nonclinical data to support the LPAD clinical program
- 17 is known early on; also to understand if, for example,
- 18 analytical method validation can be during
- 19 postmarketing period for certain types of methods.
- 20 The implications of shorter development plans
- 21 or shorter clinical development plans on CMC is often
- 22 overlooked, and I fear that many small companies with

- 1 regulatory incentives, so that was great for them. But
- 2 perhaps having some of the wording specifically for
- 3 that type of incentive, associated with the pathway in
- 4 the guidance, would be helpful for publicity; press
- 5 release purpose, if anything, to perhaps get some
- 6 investors more interested -- just going on a
- o invocioro moro interesteda quel gening en a
- 7 tangent -- in knowledge of the full drug development8 process.
- 9 MS. WALINSKY: Thank you.
- DR. COX: Great. Thanks. And just one other
- 11 comment, too, that rings true as we've seen it a few
- 12 times. That is when you're undertaking a more
- 13 expedited clinical development program, it's really,
- 14 really important to let the CMC folks know this. The
- 15 timelines that they'll need to be working under are
- 16 different, and the stability data that they need to
- 17 gather and all the other things that need to be in place.
- So you don't want to surprise your CMC people.
- 20 We've seen a few surprised CMC people. So as a public
- 21 service announcement --
- 22 (Laughter.)

Page 134

Page 136

- 1 great products may fall short of being approved under
- 2 the LPAD Pathway, or any other pathway, because CMC and
- 3 other implications that more experienced drug
- 4 developers would know at the forefront would not be
- 5 known. Thank you.
- 6 DR. COX: Great. Thanks for your comments,
- 7 Dr. Furr.
- 8 MS. WALINSKY: Could I just ask a quick
- 9 question, just to clarify? Are you suggesting a
- 10 designation similar to the expedited programs?
- DR. FURR: I imagine that in the case of some
- 12 of these products, they will qualify for orphan drug
- 13 designation, so there would be some regulatory
- 14 incentives there once some clinical data is available,
- 15 perhaps breakthrough therapy designation. So at that
- 16 point, utilizing the incentives under a breakthrough
- 17 therapy designation would make similarities under LPAD
- 18 moot. But maybe all products that could be eligible
- To for approval under the LDAD Dethuse, wouldn't availfu
- 19 for approval under the LPAD Pathway wouldn't qualify
- 20 for all those other incentives.
- Like in the case of Arikayce, they qualified
- 22 for just about all, if I'm forgetting something, of the

- DR. COX: -- I just sort of reiterate that
- 2 comment.
- 3 DR. NAMBIAR: If I can just add to that,
- 4 actually even in the pre-IND process, we encourage
- 5 sponsors to come and talk about the CMC aspects of
- 6 their programs. We're open to the idea of having those
- 7 discussions very early in the drug development process.
- 8 MS. TIERNEY: I guess I also would just put in
- 9 a plug for a number of CBER-specific programs related
- 10 to early interactions with sponsors, like our INTERACT
- 11 program, which is a pre-IND meeting program, as well as
- 12 we just launched an advanced manufacturing technologies
- 13 team that might be relevant to some of your clients.
- DR. COX: Great. Thanks, Julie.
 - Our next speaker, since we didn't get you to
- 16 sign up, if you can state your name and any affiliation
- 17 you have, we'd appreciate that.
- DR. YOUNG: Sure. My name is Lih Young. I'm
- 19 a PhD in economics by training, and I am a former
- 20 advocate and activist. I've run for public office
- 21 since '94, including Rockville city mayor, Maryland
- 22 State Senate, and several times for U.S. Congress, and

chnologies ents

15

Page 137

- 1 other several times, U.S. Senate, Congress. House of
- 2 Representatives and Senate are different.
- 3 I'm really concerned about our society and
- 4 also concerned about the patient and population safety.
- 5 I have seen very often our government agencies spend a
- 6 lot of time and effort doing a lot of things for
- 7 development. That is good, but on the other hand, our
- 8 society is getting sidetracked and is very dangerous to
- 9 our consumers and patients.
- Even a healthy person can be kidnapped to the
- 11 hospital for some kind of medication, and there is no
- 12 way our system is working for those people who are
- 13 involuntarily admitted to hospital, especially. Some
- 14 doctors put medication, or injection, or whatever, on
- 15 the patients, or ask the patient's family to administer
- 16 something over the counter or whatever. The patient
- 17 and family do not agree, especially if it's a big jug
- 18 [indiscernible] or liquid administered by the physician
- 19 only. But the staff says you must do it, something of
- 20 this sort.
- 21 Involuntary admission to the hospital, the
- 22 physician would say you have some kind of disease, so

- 1 happy family, and they have an outstanding family life,
- 2 and if you destroy their family life, in society, it is
- 3 meaningless.
- 4 DR. COX: Thank you. I understand your
- 5 comments and your concerns, and we appreciate them.
- 6 With regards to clinical trials, all clinical trials
- 7 need to be ethical. There needs to be informed
- 8 consent, and the patients enrolled need to be
- 9 monitored.
- DR. YOUNG: I have also a question about data,
- 11 because all the data I see, it doesn't meet
- 12 accountability as the first step. The government
- 13 agency, whatever, there is some kind of conspiracy
- 14 together. And every time you want to predict
- 15 something, they have a government attorney and police
- 16 officer, and there's some kind of conspiracy together.
- 17 Even in the court, they have social workers as a false
- 18 witness.
- DR. COX: We appreciate your comments. Why
- 20 don't you and I talk a little bit more after the
- 21 meeting closes? Okay?
- DR. YOUNG: I had something to present to the

Page 138

- 1 you have to take this medicine or we'll inject
- 2 forcefully. It even goes through the core procedure or
- 3 administrative procedure. The problem, especially, is
- 4 [indiscernible] will not give the administrative
- 5 record -- medical record, or will not give the label or
- 6 the prescription, and will not give maybe the wrapper
- 7 or something, and a special injection forcefully. They
- 8 have several people bind together and grab the patient
- 9 and still injecting something, and in the hospital,
- 10 sometimes the injection makes you unconscious.
- 11 For all these things, they don't do release
- 12 the instructive wrapper [indiscernible], and the cost
- 13 is outrageous, obviously, and they charge it to
- 14 Medicaid, or Medicare, or whatever. That doesn't make
- 15 sense because they just profit off of people.
- There's nowhere to complain and have the
- 17 agency address these type of issues. I just hope FDA
- 18 is concerned about our health and about medication. I
- 19 think it's very important if you can have extra effort
- 20 in this area. I have been trying this for decades, and
- 21 it seems to go nowhere. I see a lot of patients,
- 22 especially the elderly, a happy couple, elderly, or a

- 1 FDA before, but I got an adverse action against me.
- 2 I'm here again. I'm free of my life. I'm here,
- 3 really, as a dead man crusading.
- 4 So I would like for maybe you give me a
- 5 website, and I present you something, records, and see
- 6 if you can work on it.
- 7 DR. COX: I'm happy to do so. We'll do so
- 8 after the meeting.
- 9 DR. YOUNG: Thank you.
- DR. COX: Thank you for your comments.
- 11 Katie, did you want to address a question from the Web
- 12 or how did you want to handle that?
- Katie, did you want to address a question from
- 14 the Web, or how did you want to handle that?
- We had one question come in from the Web that
- 16 I'm aware of, and I think Katie's going to address it for us.
- 18 MS. SCHUMANN: Yes, that's fine.
- From a Mr. Patrick Sweeney from the Web, we
- 20 received one question via the webcast. He asked, "If
- 21 otherwise satisfying all requirements, we'll a
- 22 currently available antibiotic delivered in a new

Page 141

- 1 unapproved manner for this specific patient population
- 2 be able to use this pathway?"
- I think that question is asking about approved 3
- 4 drugs and whether an already approved drug could be
- 5 eligible for the LPAD Pathway. The answer to that
- 6 question would be yes. If a drug is already approved,
- 7 the LPAD Pathway could be used if the drug is studied
- 8 for a new use that is intended for a limited
- 9 population.
- 10 Obviously, our one example, Arikayce,
- 11 amikacin, was already approved, so there's nothing that
- 12 would preclude an already approved drug from seeking
- 13 approval via this pathway. And that was the only
- 14 question we received via the webcast. Thanks.
- 15 Closing Remarks - Edward Cox
- 16 DR. COX: Great. Thanks, Katie.
- I want to thank all the folks that joined us 17
- 18 here today. I want to thank all of our speakers and for
- 19 all that joined via the Web, too. We see the folks
- 20 here. We know there are a number of folks out there
- 21 who are also listening via the Web.
- 22 This is a really challenging and important

1 for all of you all that have traveled here today, too,

- 2 and taken time out of your busy schedules to join us
- 3 and provide us with your comments.
- We look at this as sort of another piece of
- 5 the puzzle, if you will, the many pieces that need to
- come together in order to have a successful development
- enterprise, and we look forward to working with all of
- you in the future, and safe travels back home.
- 9 So thank you very much for joining us today,
- 10 and the meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
- 11 (Applause.)
- 12 (Whereupon, at 11:39 a.m., the meeting was
- 13 adjourned.)
- 14 Okay.
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22

Page 142

- 1 area of drug development, so we're grateful every time
- 2 we see all the folks that are continuing to endeavor to
- 3 bring new products that are safe and effective out
- 4 there to patients. The need is there. The challenges
- 5 are considerable. The economic issues are large. So
- 6 we really do appreciate all of you continuing to work
- 7 in this field and continuing to roll your sleeves.
- 8 working with us to try and advance what really are some
- 9 challenging development areas.
- Just a couple of other things I want to 10
- 11 mention, too. We did have up on the slides that the
- 12 docket is open, and it's available for submitting
- 13 comments through August 12th. We will certainly take
- 14 into consideration all the comments that we've received
- 15 so far submitted to the docket, the comments that we
- 16 received here at the meeting today, and then also
- 17 anything additionally that you'd like to submit. We'd
- 18 like you to get those in prior to August 12th, if you 19 can.
- 20 Beyond that, I just want to say thank you to
- 21 all the folks who made the meeting possible today and
- 22 all the work that went into bringing folks together,

Min-U-Script® A Matter of Record (36) Pages 141 - 143 (301) 890-4188

Page 143

Tublic Miceting Elille			T.	
	15:17;67:5;109:13;	105:8;112:12;113:6;	14:1	120:12
r	111:10;131:14	126:21;129:6,12;	advisor (3)	agnostics (1)
L	achieving (1)	138:17;140:11,13,16	4:13,15;5:15	127:4
54 1111 (4)	82:14	addressed (3)	advisory (1)	ago (1)
[inaudible (1)	acinetobacter (2)	59:3;72:14;78:7	6:3	82:11
14:4	41:13;125:6	addresses (1)	advocate (2)	agree (6)
[indiscernible] (5)	ACMED (1)	64:21	68:10;136:20	21:16;59:1;78:18;
32:13;96:4;137:18;	121:9	addressing (2)	advocating (2)	85:16;132:16;137:17
138:4,12	acquired (1)	122:15;124:8	82:15;105:17	
[ph] (2)	39:12	ADEBOWALE (9)	aeruginosa (1)	agreed (1) 35:6
117:6;121:9	across (8)	4:18,19;5:2,5,7;	125:5	agreement (4)
	9:13;35:18;41:9;	80:13,14;81:6,10	AEs (1)	23:16;72:8;100:17;
\mathbf{A}	113:20;120:13;	adequate (13)	109:3	103:1
	127:20;128:2,13	26:2,4;58:18;77:6;	affect (1)	ahead (4)
Abi (1)	Act (8)	97:13;99:4;105:12;	85:12	4:4;42:2,6;84:22
117:6	8:7;10:22;17:10;	110:6;125:9;130:1,3,	affected (3)	aids (1)
ability (3)	55:5;71:21;76:6;77:2;	10:05,123.9,130.1,3,	61:17;72:11;85:5	70:15
24:16;65:6;83:20	79:11	adequately (2)	affects (1)	akin (1)
Abimbola (2)	action (5)	128:3,12	85:4	37:20
4:19;5:7	32:4,8;81:17;82:5;	adjacent (1)	affiliation (1)	alarm (1)
able (26)	140:1	75:13	136:16	63:3
7:8;8:2;13:11;24:6;	actions (1)	adjectives (1)	affirmative (1)	alarmed (1)
27:11;33:15;34:5;	122:22	26:3	54:11	85:21
44:21;45:15;48:19;	active (1)	adjourned (2)	afternoon (2)	alert (1)
50:9,19;51:16;55:9;	85:2	143:10,13	30:3;31:17	85:18
67:7;80:10;101:5,22;	activist (2)	administer (1)	afterwards (1)	align (2)
113:14;121:3,10,18,	82:6;136:20	137:15	131:10	68:12;69:22
19;122:3;129:5;141:2	activity (6)	administered (2)	again (19)	aligned (1)
above (2)	11:18;40:10;64:17;	94:11;137:18	13:20;20:7,8,15;	46:14
28:16;51:9	97:5;107:14;133:2	Administration (2)	27:22;43:11,20,21;	Alison (2)
abroad (1)	Act's (1)	62:12;82:4	45:9;47:6;56:15;	6:14,15
85:10	84:1	administrative (2)	58:20;68:19;76:9;	allergic (1)
Absolutely (4)	actually (14)	138:3,4	77:1,7;131:1;133:7;	50:16
50:13;51:17;	8:20;9:5,9;12:8;	admission (1)	140:2	allocate (1)
100:16;102:19	24:7;25:1,4;32:4;	137:21	against (10)	56:15
accelerated (6)	36:20;50:14;100:10;	admitted (1)	48:20;64:4,17,20;	allotted (1)
18:12,16;82:16; 130:19;131:3,6	125:11;129:10;136:4	137:13	67:19;82:21;86:1;	7:5
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	adaptive (2)	adopt (1)	97:5;103:10;140:1	allow (4)
accept (3)	45:13;123:16	68:12	Agencies (3)	15:11;77:15;82:22;
74:18;96:12;128:4	add (1)	adopted (1)	28:13;29:16;137:5	121:21
acceptable (6) 18:17,21;19:5;	136:3	113:10	agency (14)	allowed (1)
23:17;29:21;78:12	added (1)	adopting (2)	29:11;58:4,13,19;	106:19
	55:6	76:19;112:15	59:6;71:21;120:22;	allowing (3)
acceptance (2) 12:2;15:1	adding (2)	adult (1)	123:17,18;124:15;	77:17;89:14;90:12
accepts (1)	64:5;133:5	38:3	126:20;133:5;138:17;	allows (4)
70:20	addition (7)	adults (3)	139:13	16:1,7;109:15;
access (9)	66:2;77:14;104:16;	17:21;20:12;93:6	agency's (2)	113:17
53:12;82:19,19;	106:3;110:11;112:16;	advance (6)	54:6,22	alluded (1)
83:22;88:6,13;111:1;	113:3	21:9;37:21;63:6;	agenda (1)	77:1
121:18;131:13	additional (14)	70:15;85:11;142:8	7:2	almost (1)
accessible (1)	16:18;17:1;19:19;	advanced (1)	agendas (1)	30:19
117:16	21:3;49:1,2;67:14;	136:12	82:12	along (7)
account (1)	69:13;79:6;92:22;	advances (4)	agent (8)	7:10,12;13:15;
12:16	93:15;109:16;115:17;	39:3;64:2;82:14;	25:17;30:2;36:22;	28:22;80:11;101:3;
accountability (1)	133:15	84:4	44:7;48:19;90:16;	102:14
139:12	Additionally (2)	Advantage (4)	98:3;107:13	alpha (1)
accrue (2)	86:3;142:17	22:13;56:12;90:21;	agents (18)	26:6
9:7;49:5	add-on (1)	97:2	25:14;29:7;30:6;	alternate (1)
accurate (1)	76:17	Advent (1)	32:1,14;38:8,9;39:6;	116:13
75:6	address (20)	22:3	40:7,8;41:2,21;48:2;	alternative (14)
achievable (1)	10:17;14:16;21:6;	adverse (3)	51:7;90:18;105:7;	17:22;20:13;93:7;
117:18	33:16;58:22;59:10;	19:9;58:15;140:1	108:2;109:6	94:16;101:3,4;102:22;
achieve (5)	60:2;68:5,14;69:10;	advertising (1)	agnostic (1)	110:10;113:11,11;
	1			

116:1,2,13;119:10	63:5,7,8,10,13,16,17,	132:20	133:7;134:1;141:3,4,	associate (3)
alternatives (3)	19,21;64:12;66:3,5,8,	apply (3)	6,11,12	4:19;5:8;38:10
12:18;99:3;118:11	16;67:6,9,10,14,20;	32:20;45:1;54:6	approves (1)	associated (2)
although (4)	68:3,7,12,20;70:4;	appraisal (1)	76:22	114:20;135:3
39:19;47:19;49:11;	72:9;110:4;118:11;	102:4	approving (1)	assume (1)
117:14	140:22	appreciate (21)	66:8	75:6
always (13)	Antibiotics (11)	5:20;8:16;40:6;	approximately (1)	assure (1)
8:16;13:5;15:15;	27:1,14;36:13;	52:5;54:8;57:8;59:15;	23:10	46:19
24:16,17;25:4,15;	64:17;65:1,7,12;68:5;	60:7;61:22;69:7;70:5,	area (14)	attached (1)
26:21;66:13;72:18;	72:19;114:21;133:1	8;79:6;80:8;81:13;	9:11;16:17;27:14;	26:5
75:1,7;100:14	antibody (1)	90:8;118:16;136:17;	31:3;36:1;50:18,22;	attempting (2)
ambitious (1)	22:15	139:5,19:142:6	51:13;59:2;86:18;	37:8;72:5
82:12	anticipated (1)	appreciated (2)	96:21;114:18;138:20;	attention (5)
amenable (1)	133:15	53:4;80:15	142:1	14:7;19:6;25:6;
65:14	antifungal (23)	appreciates (1)	areas (13)	83:16;113:22
America (4)	9:11;38:8;39:3;	63:14	9:14;10:17,18;50:8;	attorney (1)
39:19;62:3,8,11	40:7;41:1,21;48:2;	approach (9)	83:1,7;86:9,17,19;	139:15
Americas (1)	51:6;62:14;72:9;	14:13,14;16:4;	113:9;114:6;115:22;	attract (3)
38:17	84:21;90:16,18;91:2;	98:10;107:6;113:18;	142:9	49:14;86:7,10
amikacin (2)	92:8,21;93:4;95:12;	120:9;122:9,17	arguably (1)	attracted (1)
17:20:141:11	98:10,19;107:17;	approaches (7)	24:8	86:9
among (1)	132:18;133:4	96:10;113:7,8,12;	argue (1)	audience (1)
82:7	antifungals (2)	116:2,14;120:12	35:18	60:5
amount (1)	27:15;92:9	appropriate (26)	Arikayce (10)	August (3)
106:10	Anti-Infective (6)	12:4;14:8;25:17;	16:13;17:20;20:11,	21:5;142:13,18
ampho (3)	4:20;5:9,12;84:12;	26:21;34:18,21;35:7;	12;24:21;108:11,15;	aureus (1)
43:7,11,17	105:14;110:4	54:15;55:10;63:7;	131:4;134:21;141:10	53:17
AMR (7)	anti-infectives (3)	65:2,12,16,20;66:5,	arm (1)	auris (9)
30:21;64:6;68:8,14,	106:22;118:20;	14;68:21;73:22;	15:17	38:22;39:17;41:8;
16;124:8;125:1	119:12	75:15;77:13;80:19;	armamentarium (2)	42:3;44:18,20;49:10;
analysis (4)	antimicrobial (18)	86:12;87:3;92:19;	95:12;107:19	50:21;51:19
16:9;72:19;77:14;	14:16;39:10,13;	108:20;124:17	arms (1)	authoritative (1)
103:10	52:17;53:21;55:18;	appropriately (1)	123:9	71:15
analytical (1)	56:20;59:6,9,22;60:2;	86:8	around (5)	authority (3)
133:18	62:22;63:1,4,15;	approvable (1)	6:2;12:10;23:7;	58:14,16;82:13
analyzes (1)	67:19,22;69:12	29:16	102:18;114:7	availability (1)
70:19	antimicrobials (5)	approval (55)	arsenal (2)	15:11
and/or (2)	24:8;56:6;59:1;	10:20;11:1;12:1;	67:9;132:17	available (38)
50:10;108:5	71:11;76:19	13:17,22;16:13;17:4,		
			ariiiiciai (I)	6.2 12.10.13 14.
anecdotal (1)			artificial (1) 121:13	6:2,12;10:13,14; 12:19:13:2:19:18:
anecdotal (1) 122:14	anymore (1) 32:19	5,8;18:12,12,16,17;	121:13	12:19;13:2;19:18;
122:14	anymore (1) 32:19	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17;	121:13 aspect (1)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4;
122:14 anecdotes (2)	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1)	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6;
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20;
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11)	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3)	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19,	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4;
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14;	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12,	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17;
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19;	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1)	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12;	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10;
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16;
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2)	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1)	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14;
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1)	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1)	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1)
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1)	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1)	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5)	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2)
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14 antibacterial (10)	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11 applicable (3)	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3; 77:5,10	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8 assessed (1)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16 away (6)
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14 antibacterial (10) 9:11;14:18;18:2;	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11 applicable (3) 9:13;17:11;44:17	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3; 77:5,10 approve (1)	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8 assessed (1) 85:20	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16 away (6) 23:1;24:8;27:4,5;
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14 antibacterial (10) 9:11;14:18;18:2; 40:8;62:14;84:21;	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11 applicable (3) 9:13;17:11;44:17 application (4)	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3; 77:5,10 approve (1) 80:21	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8 assessed (1) 85:20 assessment (2)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16 away (6) 23:1;24:8;27:4,5; 29:5;35:22
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14 antibacterial (10) 9:11;14:18;18:2; 40:8;62:14;84:21; 107:17;127:6;132:17;	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11 applicable (3) 9:13;17:11;44:17 application (4) 11:5;88:18;108:11;	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3; 77:5,10 approve (1) 80:21 approved (29)	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8 assessed (1) 85:20 assessment (2) 12:16;109:2	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16 away (6) 23:1;24:8;27:4,5; 29:5;35:22 azole-resistant (4)
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14 antibacterial (10) 9:11;14:18;18:2; 40:8;62:14;84:21; 107:17;127:6;132:17; 133:4	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11 applicable (3) 9:13;17:11;44:17 application (4) 11:5;88:18;108:11; 110:14	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3; 77:5,10 approve (1) 80:21 approved (29) 9:22;10:1,16;11:1,	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8 assessed (1) 85:20 assessment (2) 12:16;109:2 assessments (1)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16 away (6) 23:1;24:8;27:4,5; 29:5;35:22 azole-resistant (4) 91:11;96:4;98:7,17
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14 antibacterial (10) 9:11;14:18;18:2; 40:8;62:14;84:21; 107:17;127:6;132:17; 133:4 antibacterials (2)	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11 applicable (3) 9:13;17:11;44:17 application (4) 11:5;88:18;108:11; 110:14 applied (6)	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3; 77:5,10 approve (1) 80:21 approved (29) 9:22;10:1,16;11:1, 5;17:21;54:19;66:6;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8 assessed (1) 85:20 assessment (2) 12:16;109:2 assessments (1) 97:1	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16 away (6) 23:1;24:8;27:4,5; 29:5;35:22 azole-resistant (4) 91:11;96:4;98:7,17 azoles (2)
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14 antibacterial (10) 9:11;14:18;18:2; 40:8;62:14;84:21; 107:17;127:6;132:17; 133:4 antibacterials (2) 104:13;108:6	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11 applicable (3) 9:13;17:11;44:17 application (4) 11:5;88:18;108:11; 110:14 applied (6) 85:2,9;87:21;90:17;	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3; 77:5,10 approve (1) 80:21 approved (29) 9:22;10:1,16;11:1, 5;17:21;54:19;66:6; 72:12,19;73:13,19;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8 assessed (1) 85:20 assessment (2) 12:16;109:2 assessments (1) 97:1 assigned (1)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16 away (6) 23:1;24:8;27:4,5; 29:5;35:22 azole-resistant (4) 91:11;96:4;98:7,17
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14 antibacterial (10) 9:11;14:18;18:2; 40:8;62:14;84:21; 107:17;127:6;132:17; 133:4 antibacterials (2) 104:13;108:6 Antibiotic (36)	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11 applicable (3) 9:13;17:11;44:17 application (4) 11:5;88:18;108:11; 110:14 applied (6) 85:2,9;87:21;90:17; 119:20;123:11	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3; 77:5,10 approve (1) 80:21 approved (29) 9:22;10:1,16;11:1, 5;17:21;54:19;66:6; 72:12,19;73:13,19; 74:15;75:10;77:15;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8 assessed (1) 85:20 assessment (2) 12:16;109:2 assessments (1) 97:1 assigned (1) 74:13	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16 away (6) 23:1;24:8;27:4,5; 29:5;35:22 azole-resistant (4) 91:11;96:4;98:7,17 azoles (2) 92:11;98:17
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14 antibacterial (10) 9:11;14:18;18:2; 40:8;62:14;84:21; 107:17;127:6;132:17; 133:4 antibacterials (2) 104:13;108:6 Antibiotic (36) 22:13,18,18;23:10,	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11 applicable (3) 9:13;17:11;44:17 application (4) 11:5;88:18;108:11; 110:14 applied (6) 85:2,9;87:21;90:17; 119:20;123:11 applies (4)	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3; 77:5,10 approve (1) 80:21 approved (29) 9:22;10:1,16;11:1, 5;17:21;54:19;66:6; 72:12,19;73:13,19; 74:15;75:10;77:15; 85:7;88:7;108:17;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8 assessed (1) 85:20 assessment (2) 12:16;109:2 assessments (1) 97:1 assigned (1) 74:13 assistance (2)	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16 away (6) 23:1;24:8;27:4,5; 29:5;35:22 azole-resistant (4) 91:11;96:4;98:7,17 azoles (2)
122:14 anecdotes (2) 129:22;130:1 Angulo (11) 90:1,5,7,10,11,14; 99:9;100:16;102:19; 103:11,12 animal (2) 46:12;47:1 announcement (1) 135:21 annually (1) 71:14 antibacterial (10) 9:11;14:18;18:2; 40:8;62:14;84:21; 107:17;127:6;132:17; 133:4 antibacterials (2) 104:13;108:6 Antibiotic (36)	anymore (1) 32:19 apologize (1) 70:15 apparent (3) 8:17;23:5;127:11 appeals (1) 85:1 appeared (1) 79:21 appears (1) 79:12 Applause (1) 143:11 applicable (3) 9:13;17:11;44:17 application (4) 11:5;88:18;108:11; 110:14 applied (6) 85:2,9;87:21;90:17; 119:20;123:11	5,8;18:12,12,16,17; 19:17,19;20:3,17; 23:17;24:17;34:11,12; 38:7;42:20;43:13,19; 54:16;56:11;57:9,19, 20;58:7,15,18;66:12, 20;75:20,21;77:4; 78:16;82:16,17;84:6; 108:22;109:10,13; 110:15;111:3,10,16; 130:20;131:4,7; 132:16;134:19;141:13 approvals (5) 9:17;55:11;66:3; 77:5,10 approve (1) 80:21 approved (29) 9:22;10:1,16;11:1, 5;17:21;54:19;66:6; 72:12,19;73:13,19; 74:15;75:10;77:15;	121:13 aspect (1) 104:16 aspects (2) 119:14;136:5 aspergillosis (5) 45:10;50:2;91:12; 98:6,7 Aspergillus (2) 39:18;43:4 asperigillosis (1) 50:16 assays (1) 46:8 assessed (1) 85:20 assessment (2) 12:16;109:2 assessments (1) 97:1 assigned (1) 74:13	12:19;13:2;19:18; 20:11;26:20;40:4; 57:4,15,17;60:1;73:6; 76:15;87:5;91:20; 94:2;98:8,18,22;99:4; 105:22;107:3,17; 114:10;119:6,10; 120:8;121:13;124:16; 131:8,11,18;134:14; 140:22;142:12 avoid (1) 15:21 aware (2) 55:20;140:16 away (6) 23:1;24:8;27:4,5; 29:5;35:22 azole-resistant (4) 91:11;96:4;98:7,17 azoles (2) 92:11;98:17

back (10)	23:1	blue (2)	brought (4)	cancers (2)
13:20;26:13;34:10;	began (3)	19:16;125:1	23:7;115:12,19;	47:14,16
76:2;100:3;112:21;	22:18;23:3,3	blurring (1)	116:14	Candida (13)
123:11;128:21;	beginning (2)	122:4	build (1)	38:22;39:17;41:8;
129:16;143:8	23:9;131:15	body (12)	37:4	42:3;43:3;44:17,20;
backed (1)	behalf (5)	15:4;113:14,14,16,	Building (2)	49:10;50:21;51:19;
45:9	81:22;82:5;90:3;	20;120:13;122:20,20;	42:1;47:10	91:10;96:5;98:17
background (8)	103:19;118:21	125:3;127:5,10,20	bullet (1)	candidate (1)
8:4;17:19;46:21;	behind (1)	bolded (1)	117:8	84:12
47:2;53:8;87:15,17;	58:3	20:4	bunch (1)	candidemia (5)
116:22	beleaguering (1) 42:3	bolster (1) 117:18	31:4 burden (4)	44:11,19,22;50:1; 93:21
backs (1) 105:5	believes (2)	bolstered (1)	46:6;49:9,9;51:1	candidia (1)
backup (1)	53:5;59:21	49:19	business (1)	41:8
44:18	benefit (5)	bona (1)	63:20	candidiasis (4)
bacterial (1)	12:5;32:5;73:9;	16:8	busy (1)	95:17,18,20;96:4
14:20	76:9;84:4	bone (1)	143:2	caps (1)
Bacteriophage (3)	benefit-risk (18)	99:15		20:4
132:13,21,22	12:10,15;13:12;	boosting (1)	C	capture (1)
Bacteriophage's (1)	14:8,22,22;15:10;	120:1		121:19
133:3	19:4;78:12;87:2,8,9;	both (10)	calculated (1)	captured (1)
bad (3)	97:14;106:11;109:2,5,	15:9;41:19;44:15;	78:21	14:18
24:6;35:2;116:20	21;111:20	45:6;49:16;54:4;	calculus (2)	capturing (1)
balance (1) 17:18	benefits (6) 13:14,21;47:15;	59:20;64:21;82:12; 131:5	12:13;19:8 calendar (1)	121:8 carbapenem-resistant (1)
balanced (1)	74:2;78:11;85:20	bottom (4)	57:7	110:16
25:2	best (7)	12:7;19:17;20:9;	call (5)	carbapenems-resistant (1)
balancing (2)	8:20;73:1;76:15;	117:22	8:2;14:7;38:20;	53:19
12:10;78:10	82:1;101:2,4;123:19	box (1)	46:12;108:9	care (10)
bank (1)	beta (1)	91:7	called (1)	50:12;62:18;68:17;
6:7	114:19	breakpoints (1)	19:6	76:16;79:15;89:11,13;
bar (2)	better (8)	94:9	calls (1)	118:7,8;124:10
84:6;112:7	9:15;23:2;42:11;	breakthrough (5)	68:3	cared (1)
barely (1) 32:16	77:8;82:8;128:5; 129:22;131:17	43:1;83:4;133:12; 134:15,16	came (1) 102:5	38:2 carefully (2)
based (20)	beyond (5)	bridge (1)	Can (85)	25:2;78:7
12:15;16:1;26:2;	21:4;44:4;51:10;	122:19	5:5;9:10;10:3,18;	Cares (2)
42:19;47:13;50:9;	87:6;142:20	bridging (1)	11:7,9;18:4,15;19:11,	38:21;51:4
67:12;94:5,8;107:17;	big (4)	36:7	19;24:5,6,18;25:13;	caries (1)
108:17;109:9;110:6;	23:14;31:2;128:15;	brief (1)	26:14;27:18;32:21;	42:7
111:12;114:11;	137:17	132:19	34:1;35:11;36:22;	caring (1)
124:16;125:8;131:4,8;	bigger (1)	briefly (2)	37:5,7;40:19;42:5;	38:3
132:21	33:2	27:20;120:11	46:19;48:21;49:7,8,	Carrie-Lynn (2)
baseline (1)	bind (1)	bring (9)	21;53:2;55:11,21;	132:4,12
16:2	138:8 BIO (1)	11:21;28:22;35:16; 64:22;65:7;79:5;	56:12;57:15;59:11; 61:9;64:22;69:12;	carries (2) 40:1;43:4
basically (1) 51:5	90:3	100:13;129:16;142:3	74:11;76:15;78:20;	
basis (3)	biological (2)	bringing (6)	79:1;88:5;90:20,21;	carry (2) 29:4;76:5
19:17;38:4;108:21	92:3;133:1	48:18;51:6;78:13;	92:4;94:21;95:17,18;	case (13)
batted (1)	Biologics (1)	116:14;122:11;142:22	97:11;98:5;99:22;	18:16;75:2;95:15;
102:18	4:17	brings (1)	100:2,5;102:3;110:8;	98:1;107:14;108:20;
battle (1)	Biotechnology (2)	128:21	111:9,15;112:6,11;	109:8,11;111:8;
60:2	103:20;118:21	broad (4)	115:17;116:16;	114:14;133:14;
Bayesian (1)	bit (19)	52:16;105:7;	119:15,20;120:13;	134:11,21
113:12	7:13;8:4;11:19,22;	114:21;115:11	122:19;123:7,8;	cases (9)
became (2)	16:14;17:19;35:18;	broader (8)	126:11,13;127:3,14;	11:16;42:14;95:21;
8:17;23:5	60:12,14;79:16;80:3;	11:14;19:3;67:18;	128:13;129:10,17;	96:4,5;99:3;105:20;
become (3) 64:1,3;118:7	84:13;94:6;97:20; 106:12;108:10;	68:2;73:11;94:17; 104:21;117:10	130:3;131:7;132:3; 133:18;136:3,16;	122:21;123:13 categorize (1)
becomes (5)	126:20;130:21;139:20	broadly (3)	137:10;138:19;140:6;	32:14
9:7;33:7;35:3;		9:13;61:2;132:20	142:19	category (1)
7.1,33.1,33.3.	bioogstream (b)	7.13,01.2.132.20	174.17	category (1)
89:13;121:20	bloodstream (6) 52:18;53:6;61:14;	bronchopulmonary (1)	cancer (3)	74:6

				• ,
52:18;53:5,13;	118:14;123:1;129:14,	City (4)	Clorox (1)	81:1;82:4;88:5;100:4;
55:14;61:14	22;142:13	38:21,21;51:4;	41:15	115:19;129:8,20;
catheters (1)	chain (1)	136:21	close (4)	132:20;135:11;136:2
52:20	31:10	clads (1)	5:1;23:20;30:20;	commented (1)
caught (1)	challenge (5)	41:10	91:14	26:18
5:20	31:8;39:8;108:1;	claim (3)	closed (1)	comments (60)
cause (1)	117:14;118:14	126:11,13,14	30:22	8:16,17,18,22;
25:16	challenges (10)	claiming (1)	closer (2)	16:21;20:20;21:2,7;
caused (5)	48:3,10;64:22;79:2;	96:9	5:4,5	34:6;37:16;50:3;52:6,
62:20;64:7;93:9;	83:11;86:22;100:12; 102:7;127:5;142:4	clarification (3)	closes (1) 139:21	9;58:1,3,4;59:5,14;
110:13;125:4 causes (1)	challenging (12)	72:2;79:8;80:16 clarifications (1)	closing (2)	60:8;61:22;69:2,7,19; 70:8;71:4,7;72:15;
39:7	39:2;60:22;86:17;	124:17	24:13;141:15	74:4;78:3;79:6,12,21;
causing (1)	100:2,6,15;103:5;	clarified (1)	CMC (6)	81:14,19;85:21;86:16;
64:6	111:8;116:4;127:19;	126:9	133:21;134:2;	88:4,20;89:21;100:3;
caveat (1)	141:22;142:9	clarify (7)	135:14,19,20;136:5	103:15;105:11;107:1;
103:2	champion (1)	28:21;54:22;89:3;	cohorts (1)	115:5;117:4;118:16;
caveats (3)	68:1	107:9;112:6;119:17;	47:17	128:21;129:8;131:21;
45:18;49:18;124:21	chance (3)	134:9	coli (1)	132:1,4,10;134:6;
CBER (2)	7:17;11:20;51:15	clarity (7)	53:18	139:5,19;140:10;
61:1;133:2	change (3)	19:2;20:16;21:13;	Colin (5)	142:13,14,15;143:3
CBER-specific (1)	10:18;17:6;28:15	112:19;115:6,18;	62:1,4,5,7;70:14	commercial (2)
136:9	changes (1)	117:8	colistin (1)	83:12;86:10
CDC (4)	109:15	class (1)	30:1	commitment (3)
51:10;64:8;68:13;	chaos (1)	133:1	collaborate (1)	57:20;68:15;131:10
71:13	35:4	classes (3)	24:11	committee (1)
CDC's (1)	characteristics (1)	43:19;92:9,18	collaborations (1)	93:19
25:13	16:2	clear (12)	121:18	committees (1)
CDER (5)	charge (1) 138:13	15:15;23:14;28:4;	collaborative (1)	6:4
4:13;5:10,12,15; 74:4	chase (1)	58:17;74:2;80:17; 85:12;91:18;95:1;	38:21 colleagues (2)	committing (1) 35:1
cease (1)	10:5	103:7;112:10;123:16	52:13;60:22	common (11)
30:2	check (1)	clearly (16)	collect (3)	25:21;27:10;44:10;
census (1)	91:7	19:5;23:7;26:9;	125:22;126:4,5	76:17;79:22;86:2;
45:16	checks (1)	32:1,6;35:5,10;53:20;	collected (2)	91:22;111:16;117:17;
Center (4)	122:7	54:21;55:14;66:7;	96:20;101:2	118:5,8
4:16;70:11,17;	chemotherapy (1)	75:18;87:1;92:4;	collecting (1)	commonly (2)
84:18	64:2	97:17;105:1	111:9	92:10;114:21
centers (7)	chief (4)	clients (1)	collection (2)	communicate (2)
46:5;49:8,16;50:7;	21:22;90:2,14;	136:13	57:17;129:2	87:1,22
53:14;72:7;129:4	103:18	clinic (1)	collective (1)	communicating (1)
central (3)	children (1)	32:7	101:15	81:3
52:20;55:13;56:7	84:2	clinical (58)	collectively (1)	communication (2)
Century (7)	choice (1)	15:3,14,22;19:9;	25:16	28:19;79:1
8:6;25:12;55:4;	27:11	20:10;34:14;35:6;	colonization (1)	Communications (5)
71:21;76:5;77:2;	choose (1)	38:5,11;42:5;46:1;	41:16	29:8;34:15;67:4;
79:11	7:1	51:14;55:1,10;56:12;	column (1)	76:3,13
CEO (1) 132:12	chronic (1) 75:4	57:13;58:9,10;64:16; 67:3,13;68:8;69:17;	24:21 combat (1)	communities (1) 35:19
cephalosporin-resistant (1)	circumstance (1)	73:3;74:9,12;87:15,	68:16	community (6)
53:18	26:16	18;93:18;94:1,21;	combination (3)	9:15;24:10;28:3;
certain (14)	circumstances (7)	96:8;97:7,9;101:1;	18:1;98:2,9	29:2;31:15;104:10
6:19;21:18;24:5;	87:13;88:16;99:22;	105:2;106:1,21;	comers (2)	community-based (1)
50:8,8;51:1;65:22;	100:1;105:18;127:8;	108:19;116:15;120:7,	16:8;95:17	82:6
73:1;122:1,1;123:13;	130:14	17;121:6,15;122:8,14;	comfortable (1)	comorbidities (1)
130:14;131:18;133:19	circumvent (1)	123:16,20;124:5;	25:13	82:9
certainly (25)	40:9	126:7;127:11;129:3;	coming (3)	companies (11)
10:16;20:21;50:22;	citations (1)	133:16,21;134:14;	11:4;30:13;34:15	53:1;56:16;63:17,
55:17;59:14,17;69:11;	60:3	135:13;139:6,6	commended (1)	18;83:17;85:21;
91:4;96:16;101:20;	cited (1)	clinically (2)	72:4	105:17;111:9;130:7;
102:21;106:9;108:12,	12:7	11:10;18:8	comment (18)	133:3,22
19;109:14;112:1,11;	citing (1)	clinicians (2)	7:18,20;8:15;21:9;	company (2)
114:17;116:9,11;	76:9	67:1,11	31:22;36:1,8;69:18;	52:22;110:12
	1		1	I .

condition (6)	Tuble Meeting Elile	i aciiway		T	5 diy 12, 2012
compare (A) 36:22 10 15:128:13 20mpared (2) 13:15:85116:20 20mparison (2) 20mpa	comparator (2)	condition (6)	137:9	37:14	create (1)
200 1515:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:116:20 2015:15:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:	16:6;34:2	12:11;18:19;60:16,	contact (2)	corner (2)	29:14
14.4 contemplate (1) 13.15.85.11.62.9 comparing (1) 13.15.85.12.88.9;		17;74:16;117:1	6:14,16	29:1;105:5	
15:15:16:20 condutions (7) 76:16 76:16 99:13:101:20:103:6; 100:18 100:					
13:15:85:12:88:9; 76:16					
76:16 comparison (2) 33:6,100:19 conduct (2) conduct (2) conduct (2) complain (1) (1) 13:10 complenator (2) 46:16:97:12 complenator (2) 46:16:97:12 complete (1) 35:4 complete (1) 35:4 complete (1) 35:4 complete (2) 40:14:66:3 complete (2) 40:14:66:3 complete (3) 35:4 complete (1) 12:2 complete (1) 35:4 complete (1) 35:4 complete (1) 23:15 complete (1) 35:4 complete (1) 12:2 complete (1) 35:4 complete (2) 40:14:66:3 complete (3) 23:19 complete (4) 35:4 complete (1) 12:2 complete (1) 12:2 complete (1) 12:2 complete (1) 12:2 complete (1) 12:3 complete (3) 36:19 complete (4) 35:4 complete (1) 12:2 complete (1) 12:3 complete (3) 23:20 complete (4) 23:49 20:20 23:30:40:49:49:20 23:30:40:49:49:49 20:20 23:30:40:49:49:49:49:49:49:49:49:49:49:49:49:49:					
130-3 33-61 10019 compassionate (2) 123-67 complain (1) 131:10 complementary (2) 46-16-9712 complete (1) 38-5 complete (1) 36-18 complete (2) 40-14-61-69712 complete (3) 12-2 confounding (1) complete (2) 40-14-61-69712 complete (3) 12-2 confounding (1) complete (3) 12-2 confounding (1) complete (3) 33-21-65-21-66-1 contribute (3) 39-21-65-21-66-1 contribute (4) contribute (3) 39-21-65-21-66-1 contribute (3) 39-21-65-21-66-1 contribute (3) 39-21-65-21-66-1 contribute (4) co					
29:13 29:1					
complain (1) 131:10 complementary (2) 40:16:1897!2 complete (1) 33:4 complete (2) 40:16:1897!2 complete (3) 38:19 complete (4) 33:19 complete (2) 40:16:1897!2 complete (3) 30:11:12 complete (4) 33:15 complete (4) 33:16 complete (2) 40:16:1897!2 comfleartial (1) complete (2) 40:16:1897!2 comfleartial (1) complete (2) 12:2 comfleartial (1) complete (2) 12:14 36:20 complete (3) 33:1846:22 137:11 40:146:13 complete (3) 33:1846:22 137:11 40:146:13 complete (3) 33:1846:22 137:1 complete (4) 33:849 33:846:22 137:1 complete (1) 32:20 complete (1) 32:20 complete (1) 32:20 complete (1) 32:20 complete (1) 33:18 components (1) com			*		
123:6.7 conducted (2) continents (2) considered (3) 38:19 confidential (1) continued (2) doi:16:97:12 doi:17:17:17 doi:17:17:17 doi:17:17 doi:17:17 doi:17:17 doi:17:17 doi:17:17 doi:17:17 doi:17:17 doi:17:17 doi:17:17 doi					
complement (1) 138:16 complement (2) 46:16:97:12					
138:16 complement (t) 131:10 conducts (t) conducts (t) conducts (t) 24:19 conduct (t) 24:19 conduct (t) 24:19 conduct (t) 24:19 conduct (t) 38:19 40:568:19 conduct (t) 38:19 confidential (t) continues (1) 38:19 confidential (t) continues (1) 38:19 confidential (t) continues (1) continues (1) 38:19 confidential (t) continues (1) continues (2) continues (2) continues (2) continues (2) continues (3) continues (2) continues (2) continues (2) continues (3) continues (2) continues (3) continues (2) continues (3) continues (2) continues (2) continues (2) continues (3) continues (4) contin	*				
Sast					
131:10 complementary (2) 46:16:97:12 Complete (1) 38:19 confidential (1) 58:10 confidential (1) 38:19 confidential (1) 68:10 countries (3) 39:21;65:21;66:1 39:21;63:21;26:1 28:11;37:22;42:20; country (1) 45:20;488:7;21:13; complex (2) 40:14;64:3 complex (3) 123:15 compliance (1) 28:20;72:1;114:7 compounds (1) 38:16 complex (2) 38:49 137:1 compounds (1) 38:49 137:1 compounds (1) 32:20 compounds (1) 33:20 compounds (1) 44:9 compounds (1) 44:9 compounds (1) 59:575;783:19; concept (2) 105:9 concept (2) 105:9 concept (2) 105:9 concept (2) 133:13:15;12 concept (2) 105:9 concept (2) 133:13:15;12 concept (2) 133:13:15;13 concept (3) 14:9 concept (4) 15:9:17; 76:18 concept (3) 14:9 concept (4) 15:19:15; 15:9:11; 15:					, ,
Complementary (2) dof-16-97-12					
Complete (1) 35:4 complete (2) 11:2: continues (1) continues (1) countries (3) country (1) (28:1137:224:22:0) d3:618 complex (2) d3:618 complex (2) d3:64:3 complex (3) d3:64:3 complex (4) d3:618 complex (5) d3:618 complex (6) d3:618 complex (7) d3:618 complex (8) d3:618 complex (9) d3:618 complex (1) d3:618 complex (1) d3:620 d3:611.12 countributed (1) d3:618 complex (2) d3:618.12 countributed (3) d3:618.12 countributed (3) d3:618.23 d3:622 d3:628 d3:622 d3:628 d3:					
Complete (1) 38.19 confidential (1) 112:2 confidential (1) 111:2 confidential (1) 111:3 (1) 111:3 (1) 111:3 (1) 111:3 (1) 111:3 (1) 111:4 (1) 111:4 (1) 111:4 (1) 111:4 (1)					
35:4 completely (2)					
11:2					
Complexity (1) Comp					
Complexity (1)				couple (9)	
123:15	complex (2)	70:22	120:2		40:14;46:9;64:15;
Compiliance (1) 109.9 10	40:14;64:3	confounding (1)	contributed (1)	107:11;112:4;115:10;	65:10;66:17;77:18
Compliance (1) Recompliance (1) Security (1)					
Second Complex Compose Compo					
complicated (3)					
110:5,11;124:3 Congress (4) 57:2,71:19;136:22; 137:1 133:3;46:22 72:2,79;80:1 130:21;162:15;162:15; 130:21; 140:3					
components (1) 37:2;71:19;136:22; 51:7;53:14:65:18; 124:17 coulture (2) 33:49 33:8:46:22 72:2;79:9;80:1 10,22;102:16;109:4; 139:17 court (1) 92:3;108:18 cultures (1) 27:38:28 curtaive (1) 27:38:28 cure (2) 27:38:28 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0 , ,</td></t<>					0 , ,
34:9					
compound (2) congressional (3) 10,22;102:16;109:4; 113:11;116:2,13; 139:17 cover (1) cultures (1) 33:8;46:22 72:2;79:9;80:1 113:11;116:2,13; 54:2 curative (1) 32:20 44:9 controlled (4) coverage (1) 27:8 compounds (1) 26:4:96:16:99:21; coverage (1) 27:8 83:20 93:17 125:12 covered (2) 27:3;82:8 concept (12) 46:19;121:16;139:8 26:8;45:20;46:3; 47:18;49:17;97:13; COX (65) 8:7:25:12:55:5; 44:12,21;45:1;49:12; consider (4) 47:18;49:17;97:13; 37:9,11;48:15;50:3; 79:11 112:4;117:16 76:18 120:1,2,3 79:9 51:12,25;22,5;60:7; 79:91 51:12,25;22,5;60:7; 79:11 curious (1) 127:2 concern (4) 58:2;81:3;89:17; 13:13;92:20;103:1; 29:11 78:2,22;79:15;80:8; 81:12,86:16;88:20;7 79:11 127:2;25:25;50:7 79:11 127:2;27;7 79:11 127:2;27;7 127:3;82:46;22;57:145;67:7 79:11 127:2;27;7 79:11 127:2;27;7 79:11 127:2;27;7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
33:8;46:22					
compounds (1) 32:20 conjunction (1) 44:9 122:5;123:9 controlled (4) coverage (1) 26:4;96:16;99:21; 26:4;96:16;99:21; 27:8 coverage (1) 27:8 coverage (1) 27:8 coverage (1) 27:8 covered (2) 27:3;82:8 covered (2) 27:3;82:4 24:42:42:					
32:20					
compromise (1) consensus (1) 26:4;96:16;99:21; 6:18 covered (2) 27:3;82:8 cure (2) 27:3;82:8 cure (7) 8:7;25:12;55:5; 71:21;76:6;77:2; 71:					
83:20					
concept (12) consent (3) controls (13) 55:12;86:17 Cures (7) 12:10;34:9;43:22; 44:19;21;45:1;49:12; 46:19;121:16;139:8 26:8;45:20;46:3; 44:22;14:6:13 46:19;121:16;139:8 47:18;49:17;97:13; 44:22;54,16; 87:25:12;55:5; 71:21;76:6;77:2; 79:11 79:11 79:11 21:13;31:13;11:14; 10:13,23 37:9,11;48:15;50:3; 79:11 79:11 curious (1) 79:11 curious (1) 79:11 21:12;25:25:25;56:07; 79:11 79:11 ruious (1) 127:22 curious (1) 79:11 ruious (1) 127:22 curious (1) 127:22 current (13) 39:5;42:8;54:6;22;52:5;56:77; 79:11 ruious (1) 127:22 current (13) 39:5;42:8;54:6;22;52:5;56:77; 79:11 ruious (1) 127:22 current (13) 39:5;42:8;54:6;22;52:5;56:77; 79:11 ruious (1) 127:22 current (13) 39:5;42:8;54:6;22;52:5;60:77; 79:11 ruious (1) 127:22 current (13) 39:5;42:8;54:6;22;52:5;60:77; 127:24					
12:10;34:9;43:22;					
44:12,21;45:1;49:12; 105:3;106:3;111:14; 105:3;106:3;111:14; 112:4;117:16 24:16;26:13;65:17; 102:13,21;103:7,10; 13:19;33:10;36:1; 37:9,11;48:15;50:3; 51:12,22;52:2,5;60:7; 69:9;142:5 79:9 61:18,21;69:2,6;70:7, 79:1 curious (1) 127:22 current (13) 127:22 current (13) 20 concern (4) 127:13; 129:11 (29:11 (29:11) 79:11 (29:13) 127:22 (29:103:1; 129:11 (29:11) 20 convene (1) 127:22 (29:103:1; 129:11 (29:11) 127:22 (29:103:1; 129:11 (29:11) 20 convene (1) 127:22 (29:103:1; 129:11 (29:11) 127:22 (29:103:1; 129:11) 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 129:11 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 129:11 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 129:11 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 129:11 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 129:11 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 129:11 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 129:11 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 129:11 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 129:18;20; 129:18;20; 129:11 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 129:18;20; 129:19;10;20; 129:18;20; 1					
105:3;106:3;111:14; 112:4;117:16 76:18 120:1,2,3 31:19;33:10;36:1; 79:11 curious (1) 105:9 69:9;142:5 79:9 61:18,21;69:2,6;70:7, concern (4) 13:13;92:20;103:1; 14:9 109:3;133:5;142:14 conversation (2) 59:5;75:7;83:19; 84:5;85:1;87:12;89:7; 137:3,4;138:18 concerning (1) 58:5 considering (1) 58:5 concerns (5) 58:0;076:10;92:17; 125:15;139:5 conclusion (3) 6:1;59:12;17:17 concurrent (3) 26:8;96:19;100:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 109:13;131; 109:13,213 109:13,213 109:13,213 109:13,21 109:13,22 109:33,22					T1 01 T
concepts (1) considerable (2) controversy (1) 51:12,22;52:2,5;60:7; 127:22 current (13) current (13) 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; current (13) 81:12;86:16;88:3,20; 83:14;89:12;91:18,20; 89:1,18,20;90:1,6,8; 99:9,12;101:20; Currently (9) 94:2;98:7 Currently (9) 13:13;73:13;13:13;11 108:18 19;127:2;128:20; 13:13;13:14:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; 12:7;12 cut (2) 12:12 cut (2) cut (2) cut (2) cut (3) <td></td> <td>24:16;26:13;65:17;</td> <td>102:13,21;103:7,10;</td> <td>31:19;33:10;36:1;</td> <td>79:11</td>		24:16;26:13;65:17;	102:13,21;103:7,10;	31:19;33:10;36:1;	79:11
105:9	112:4;117:16		120:1,2,3	37:9,11;48:15;50:3;	
concern (4) consideration (6) convene (1) 17;71:17;77:1,21; 39:5;42:8;54:6,22; 58:2;81:3;89:17; 13:13;92:20;103:1; 29:11 78:2,22;79:15;80:8; 57:14;67:21;82:19; concerned (10) considered (2) 40:19 89:1,18,20;90:1,6,8; 83:14;89:12;91:18,20; 59:5;75:7;83:19; 19:14;93:21 conversation (2) 99:9,12;101:20; Currently (9) 84:5;85:1;87:12;89:7; 76:20 conversion (1) 115:7,10,17;118:15, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; concerning (1) consistent (1) 108:18 19;127:2;128:20; 128:7;140:22 concerns (5) 94:14 conveyed (2) 135:20;132:9;134:6; 128:7;140:22 concerns (5) 38:22 copies (1) 139:4,19;140:7,10; cut (2) conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) cutting (1) concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)					
58:2;81:3;89:17; 13:13;92:20;103:1; 29:11 78:2,22;79:15;80:8; 57:14;67:21;82:19; 114:9 109:3;133:5;142:14 convenience (1) 81:12;86:16;88:3,20; 83:14;89:12;91:18,20; 59:5;75:7;83:19; 19:14;93:21 conversation (2) 99:9,12;101:20; Currently (9) 84:5;85:1;87:12;89:7; 76:20 conversion (1) 115:7,10,17;118:15, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; concerning (1) consistent (1) 108:18 19;127:2;128:20; 128:7;140:22 58:5 94:14 conveyed (2) 131:22;132:9;134:6; 10:5;106:9 58:20;76:10;92:17; 38:22 copies (1) 139:4,19;140:7,10; cutt (2) conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) 124:12 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)				, , , , , ,	
114:9 109:3;133:5;142:14 consender (1) convenience (1) 81:12;86:16;88:3,20; 83:14;89:12;91:18,20; 94:2;98:7 83:14;89:12;91:18,20; 94:2;98:7 59:5;75:7;83:19; 84:5;85:1;87:12;89:7; 137:3,4;138:18 concerning (1) 19:14;93:21 conversation (2) 35:16;88:2 conversion (1) 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; 103:12;13:29;134:6; 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; 103:12;13:29;134:6; 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; 103:12;13:29;134:6; 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; 128:7;140:22 concerns (5) 138:22 consortium (1) 77:8;78:15 135:10;136:1,14; 10:5;106:9 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) 141:15,16 Cors (1) 124:12 conclusion (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) concurrent (3) 130:3 Cormulants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2) CRE (2)					
concerned (10) considered (2) 40:19 89:1,18,20;90:1,6,8; 94:2;98:7 59:5;75:7;83:19; 19:14;93:21 conversation (2) 99:9,12;101:20; Currently (9) 84:5;85:1;87:12;89:7; considering (1) 35:16;88:2 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 137:3,4;138:18 76:20 conversion (1) 115:7,10,17;118:15, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; concerning (1) consistent (1) 108:18 19;127:2;128:20; 128:7;140:22 concerns (5) 94:14 conveyed (2) 131:22;132:9;134:6; cut (2) concerns (5) 58:20;76:10;92:17; 38:22 copies (1) 139:4,19;140:7,10; cUTI (1) 125:15;139:5 conspiracy (2) 57:5 141:15,16 124:12 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) cutting (1) 6:1;59:21;77:17 construct (1) 84:8;138:2 105:11 86:10 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22					
59:5;75:7;83:19; 19:14;93:21 conversation (2) 99:9,12;101:20; Currently (9) 84:5;85:1;87:12;89:7; considering (1) 35:16;88:2 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 137:3,4;138:18 76:20 conversion (1) 115:7,10,17;118:15, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; concerning (1) consistent (1) 108:18 19;127:2;128:20; 128:7;140:22 concerns (5) consortium (1) 77:8;78:15 135:10;136:1,14; cut (2) 58:20;76:10;92:17; 38:22 copies (1) 139:4,19;140:7,10; cUTI (1) 125:15;139:5 conspiracy (2) 57:5 141:15,16 124:12 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) cutting (1) 6:1;59:21;77:17 construct (1) 84:8;138:2 105:11 86:10 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)					
84:5;85:1;87:12;89:7; considering (1) 35:16;88:2 103:11,13,15;114:2; 10:1;16:15;20:11, 137:3,4;138:18 76:20 conversion (1) 115:7,10,17;118:15, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; concerning (1) consistent (1) 108:18 19;127:2;128:20; 128:7;140:22 58:5 94:14 conveyed (2) 131:22;132:9;134:6; cut (2) concerns (5) consortium (1) 77:8;78:15 135:10;136:1,14; 10:5;106:9 58:20;76:10;92:17; 38:22 copies (1) 139:4,19;140:7,10; cUTI (1) 125:15;139:5 conspiracy (2) 57:5 141:15,16 124:12 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) cutting (1) 6:1;59:21;77:17 construct (1) 84:8;138:2 105:11 86:10 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)					
137:3,4;138:18 76:20 conversion (1) 115:7,10,17;118:15, 18;64:14;85:17;89:5; concerning (1) 58:5 94:14 conveyed (2) 131:22;132:9;134:6; cut (2) concerns (5) consortium (1) 77:8;78:15 135:10;136:1,14; 10:5;106:9 58:20;76:10;92:17; 38:22 copies (1) 139:4,19;140:7,10; cUTI (1) 125:15;139:5 conspiracy (2) 57:5 141:15,16 124:12 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) cutting (1) 6:1;59:21;77:17 construct (1) 84:8;138:2 105:11 86:10 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)				, , ,	
concerning (1) consistent (1) 108:18 19;127:2;128:20; 128:7;140:22 58:5 94:14 conveyed (2) 131:22;132:9;134:6; cut (2) concerns (5) consortium (1) 77:8;78:15 135:10;136:1,14; 10:5;106:9 58:20;76:10;92:17; 38:22 copies (1) 139:4,19;140:7,10; cUTI (1) 125:15;139:5 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) 124:12 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) a6:19:11 86:10 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)		0 , ,	,		
58:5 94:14 conveyed (2) 131:22;132:9;134:6; cut (2) concerns (5) consortium (1) 77:8;78:15 135:10;136:1,14; 10:5;106:9 58:20;76:10;92:17; 38:22 copies (1) 139:4,19;140:7,10; cUTI (1) 125:15;139:5 conspiracy (2) 57:5 141:15,16 124:12 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) cutting (1) 6:1;59:21;77:17 construct (1) 84:8;138:2 105:11 86:10 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)			, ,		
concerns (5) consortium (1) 77:8;78:15 135:10;136:1,14; 10:5;106:9 58:20;76:10;92:17; 38:22 copies (1) 139:4,19;140:7,10; cUTI (1) 125:15;139:5 conspiracy (2) 57:5 141:15,16 124:12 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) cutting (1) 6:1;59:21;77:17 construct (1) 84:8;138:2 105:11 86:10 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)					*
58:20;76:10;92:17; 38:22 copies (1) 139:4,19;140:7,10; cUTI (1) 125:15;139:5 conspiracy (2) 57:5 141:15,16 124:12 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) cutting (1) 6:1;59:21;77:17 construct (1) 84:8;138:2 105:11 86:10 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)					` '
125:15;139:5 conspiracy (2) 57:5 141:15,16 124:12 conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) cutting (1) 6:1;59:21;77:17 construct (1) 84:8;138:2 105:11 86:10 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)					
conclusion (3) 139:13,16 core (2) Cox's (1) cutting (1) 6:1;59:21;77:17 construct (1) 84:8;138:2 105:11 86:10 concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)					
6:1;59:21;77:17					
concurrent (3) 130:3 CorMedix (6) craft (1) cycle (1) 26:8;96:19;100:22 Consultants (1) 52:8,15,22;53:5; 60:15 55:22 concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)		*			
26:8;96:19;100:22					
concurrently (1) 132:13 56:17;59:21 CRE (2)		Consultants (1)	` /		
112:20 consumers (1) Cornell (1) 110:15;112:7	concurrently (1)	132:13	56:17;59:21		
	112:20	consumers (1)	Cornell (1)	110:15;112:7	
	=				

	41:3	deserve (1)	56:11,13,19;57:13;	21:16
D	deeper (1)	79:5	58:11;59:18;60:1;	discharge (1)
D	130:21	deserves (1)	61:2;62:22;63:5,18;	40:19
daily (1)	deepest (1)	50:4	64:20;69:12;79:3;	disclosure (1)
38:4	24:9	design (7)	82:15;83:7;84:3;86:6,	90:15
dangerous (2)	deeply (1)	15:15;16:7;65:14;	12,18,19;95:3,7;	discovery (1)
64:3;137:8	84:5	106:4;123:16,21;	98:11,15;103:18;	63:17
data (37)	deficit (1)	128:12	104:15;105:10;106:7,	discrepancies (1)
19:10;20:11;28:16;	127:12	designation (5)	18;107:7;108:2;	79:13
34:11;37:4;44:13,16;	definable (1) 18:9	133:13;134:10,13, 15,17	113:4;114:15;116:10; 117:3,21;119:19;	discuss (5) 24:11;47:20;59:15;
45:21;46:7,13,15;	define (5)	designations (1)	120:18;132:13,21;	62:13;112:2
49:20;51:8;57:16;	36:14;55:14;93:17;	83:5	133:7,14,20,21;135:7,	discussed (4)
65:21;67:7,13,14; 96:19,20;107:4;111:5;	94:7,20	designed (5)	13;136:7;137:7;142:1,	49:22;119:6;
113:17,22;120:13;	defined (9)	13:10;52:17;59:22;	9;143:6	120:18;123:10
122:5,7;125:9;128:19;	10:10,13;11:14;	86:5;116:16	device (1)	discussion (14)
129:5,11;131:9;	93:18;94:5,17;97:17;	designing (1)	70:21	9:2,20;10:14;12:9,
133:16;134:14;	104:19;107:15	105:17	diagnosed (1)	12;16:20;22:9;30:10;
135:16;139:10,11	definitely (4)	designs (10)	92:2	54:22;111:11;116:9;
database (2)	93:14;117:2;	15:3;23:6,13;24:4;	diagnosis (1)	119:21;120:4;133:5
36:11;51:8	120:15;122:16	26:19;28:1;44:4;	39:7	discussions (2)
databases (2)	definition (4)	45:13;65:9,15	diagnostics (1)	32:10;136:7
121:3,4	10:9;56:2;94:15; 104:17	desired (1) 114:18	120:22 die (1)	disease (22) 18:1,6;27:4;41:18;
date (4)	definitive (1)	desires (1)	71:14	42:16;53:14;61:13;
8:14;16:12;20:3;	84:15	21:3	died (1)	64:4;66:21;74:18;
23:10 David (4)	degree (7)	desk (1)	27:16	83:1,7,16;87:10;88:9;
90:1,6,10,14	12:11;13:13;15:13;	5:19	differences (1)	92:4;101:22;108:16;
dawn (1)	18:19;21:18;33:14;	desperate (1)	128:16	116:18,19,20;137:22
22:18	130:15	84:13	different (25)	diseases (19)
day (2)	degrees (1)	despite (1)	25:6;26:18;41:5;	12:3;14:20;27:2;
7:2;34:2	15:1	84:22	42:18;45:8;58:7;	47:13,15;62:2,7,11,15,
days (5)	delayed (2)	destroy (1)	69:10;70:2,2;74:5;	19;75:4;83:3,12;
6:13;14:11;57:7,10;	39:7;57:19	139:2	75:10;76:8,21;78:16;	91:13;92:10,12;98:1,
94:1	delivered (1)	detail (1)	79:4;100:8;106:17;	13;113:10
dead (2)	140:22 dematiaceous (2)	79:18 detailed (1)	107:7;115:22;116:19;	disincentivized (1) 111:18
23:1;140:3	40:5;45:7	101:1	119:8;120:13;128:14; 135:16;137:2	disposal (3)
deadliest (1)	demonstrate (5)	details (1)	differentiation (1)	58:13,22;66:16
64:13 deadly (3)	13:9;33:5;49:21;	19:19	32:22	disproportionate (1)
39:20;40:3;64:1	86:5;103:4	determination (3)	difficult (25)	102:9
deal (1)	demonstrated (3)	54:12;56:9;59:17	15:17;44:14;49:4;	dissemination (2)
16:9	13:19;35:10;59:7	determine (3)	64:18;67:15;72:8;	14:11;57:8
dealt (1)	demonstrates (1)	16:22;73:17,22	73:7,8,17;80:5,6;86:6;	disservice (1)
31:9	42:11	devastating (3)	99:13;102:21;110:1,	84:6
debate (1)	demonstrating (3)	42:13;45:22;51:19	17,20;111:1,7;112:13;	distinct (2)
28:20	77:7;96:13;122:13	develop (9)	122:22;129:2,6,18;	41:8;43:22
debilitating (1)	demonstration (2)	29:12;44:12;45:8;	130:2	distinguished (1) 71:2
42:13	15:15;36:12 Department (1)	53:12;56:7;61:1,7,13; 64:9	difficulty (2) 41:21;123:3	distinguishing (1)
decades (5)	51:11	developed (11)	dire (1)	115:14
38:1;39:4;64:4;	depending (3)	28:2;44:9;48:9;	63:11	distributed (1)
71:13;138:20	43:5;116:18,22	52:19;55:21;76:8;	direct (1)	113:16
December (1) 8:7	depicted (1)	93:3,4;94:10;119:8,9	111:9	dive (1)
decide (1)	47:21	developers (2)	direction (5)	130:20
84:22	describe (3)	17:2;134:4	46:15;101:11,12,13;	Division (3)
decides (1)	15:2;75:18;80:20	developing (4)	104:10	4:20;5:9,12
57:2	described (3)	32:16;90:16;94:10;	directly (3)	doc (1)
decision (3)	11:10;19:5,15	130:8	47:9;112:12;121:6	30:20
56:13,18;57:19	describes (1)	development (63)	Director (7)	docket (6)
decision-making (2)	77:2	13:10;14:12;15:2,6;	4:16,19;5:8,12;	20:20;21:2;59:15;
31:10;67:3	describing (3)	22:16;33:13;34:5;	38:20;70:10,16	72:16;142:12,15
deep (1)	8:10;48:22;102:6	35:7;54:13;55:1,19;	disagree (1)	doctor (2)

	100.00	40.45	am 4	. (1)
28:17;74:11	132:20	40:17	efficient (1)	enact (1)
doctors (2) 75:21;137:14	driving (1) 63:18	${f E}$	59:12 efficiently (1)	63:8 encourage (4)
document (7)	Drug (110)	E	53:3	86:7;120:21;
10:14;12:21;13:7;	4:20;5:9;11:1,5,5,6,	earlier (12)	effort (2)	123:18;136:4
14:20,21;16:22;25:10	15,17;12:18;13:17,17;	7:13;54:12;55:21;	137:6;138:19	encouraged (1)
documented (4)	14:5;18:2;20:13,17;	56:10,13,19;59:18;	efforts (5)	26:12
46:3;47:4;53:15;	26:15;27:21;30:18;	71:17;77:1;82:17;	21:11;63:6;68:20;	end (3)
93:8	33:12;36:6;40:13;	111:11;131:1	86:7;121:5	7:19;34:2;58:10
documents (2)	49:22;51:16;55:19;	early (5)	either (3)	endeavor (2)
19:18;28:4	56:2,7,10;58:15;	22:22;133:7,17;	50:9;93:8;104:22	131:14;142:2
dodgy (1)	59:22;62:12;64:6;	136:7,10	elaborates (1)	end-organ (1)
28:17	66:22;67:8;69:12;	easier (3)	54:5	40:16
domain (1)	72:17;73:11,13,15;	33:4,7;94:7	elderly (2)	endorse (1)
105:16	74:7,13,14,15;76:16;	easily (1)	138:22,22	76:10
done (4)	78:5;79:2;80:21;82:3,	92:1	electronic (3)	endpoint (8)
26:15;31:8;51:11;	17;83:4,21;84:1,3,12;	easy (7)	6:17;22:10;121:8	18:11;26:7;27:8;
94:3 double-blind (1)	85:20;86:18,19,20; 88:16;89:13,14;93:3,	22:20;27:7,8;28:11; 35:2;91:4;94:19	electronically (1) 30:1	106:21;108:18,19,21; 131:2
76:14	3,5;94:18,22;97:5,9;	echinocandins (2)	elegant (1)	endpoints (2)
doubt (2)	101:17;107:17,21,21,	43:17;92:11	127:14	113:13;123:10
74:20;91:7	22;108:16;109:4,12,	economic (1)	element (1)	end-stage (1)
down (5)	19;113:16;117:3;	142:5	40:18	61:13
6:5;27:18;30:22;	120:14,16;124:4,6,12,	economics (2)	elements (1)	endured (1)
31:11;80:4	19;125:1,10,20;127:9,	72:8;136:19	93:12	82:22
DR (144)	18,20;128:2;129:11,	ecosystem (2)	eligibility (4)	enforce (1)
4:4,18,22;5:2,4,5,	13;130:9,12;131:8,11,	30:9;119:12	54:12;56:10,18;	86:6
11,16;21:22;22:7;	16,17,18;132:13;	Ed (4)	59:18	Engage (2)
31:19,20,21;32:6;	134:3,12;135:7;136:7;	22:9;24:15;26:18;	eligible (5)	29:14;35:1
33:9,10;34:10;36:1, 10;37:9,9,10,11,12,18;	141:4,6,7,12;142:1	30:17	88:17;107:12; 133:11;134:18;141:5	engaged (1) 63:17
42:1;46:21;48:15,16;	drug-drug (3) 40:11;92:18;94:12	educate (1) 35:18	eliminate (1)	enormous (1)
49:6;50:3,13;51:12,	drug-resistant (4)	educating (1)	41:14	72:11
17,22;52:2,2,4,5,7,9,	53:15;71:14;85:3;	59:3	eliminates (1)	enough (6)
11;60:7,7,21;61:18,	89:4	education (5)	27:21	23:20;29:1;42:4;
18,19,21,21;69:2,6;	Drugs (49)	28:19;55:17,18;	Elizabeth (3)	101:16,21;102:3
70:7,17;71:17,22;	4:21;5:15;9:11,22;	76:11,12	81:15,18,20	enriched (1)
74:3,4;76:2,10;77:1,	10:6,16;22:21,22;	educational (1)	Ellenberg (1)	42:10
21;78:2,22;79:12,15;	23:3;28:2;53:21;	76:3	84:11	enroll (3)
80:6,8,13,14;81:6,10,	56:20;59:7,9;62:14;	Edward (1)	else (3)	16:1,7;83:13
12;86:16;88:3,4,19,	64:8;66:6,19;68:21;	141:15	24:18;25:1;29:3	enrolled (2)
20;89:1,18,20;90:1,6,	69:15;72:12,20;73:2,	effect (4)	EMA (1) 23:18	110:19;139:8
8,11;99:9,9,12; 100:16;101:20;	6,8,19;75:10;76:1; 77:15;78:11;84:10,14,	33:8;100:7,11; 102:2	embarked (2)	enrolling (3) 35:8;45:11;51:15
102:19;103:11,11,12,	21;85:7;90:22;97:20;	effective (13)	45:16;110:12	enrollment (1)
13,15,17;104:2;	105:6;109:19;119:6,7,	11:16,18;15:20;	embrace (1)	44:13
105:11;114:2,2,3,14;	10;124:2,7;125:11,16;	17:14,17;18:20;27:12;	120:22	ensure (4)
115:7,8,10,16,17;	127:7;128:8;131:14;	59:7;73:2;74:8,14;	emergence (1)	68:6;83:21;85:11;
117:13;118:15,15,18,	141:4	131:14;142:3	40:22	109:18
19,19;119:1,3;127:2,	drug's (3)	effectively (3)	emergent-resistant (1)	ensuring (2)
2;128:1,20;130:18;	75:13,19;113:19	24:7;27:19;68:16	45:6	84:10;87:4
131:6,22,22;132:6,9,	due (6)	effectiveness (8)	emerges (1)	entailing (1)
11,12;134:6,7,11;	27:20;72:21;87:14;	13:19;18:11,14;	29:10	41:14
135:10;136:1,3,14,18;	98:16;110:15,22	20:10;58:8;96:14;	emerging (3)	enter (1)
139:4,10,19,22;140:7, 9,10;141:16	During (8) 22:20;23:12;39:4;	99:20;101:17 efficacy (25)	39:20;40:5;41:22 emphasize (2)	89:14 enterobacter (1)
9,10;141:16 draft (10)	46:5;57:21;116:15;	15:9,16;26:1;33:4;	67:17;78:18	53:19
8:10,11,14;12:21;	117:3;133:18	36:12;44:16;46:7,15;	empirically (1)	enterobacteriaceae (1)
13:7;20:19;60:13;	dwell (1)	47:19;49:18,19;72:22;	121:22	110:16
63:12;65:4;85:18	120:19	73:17;77:7;83:21;	emulate (1)	enterococcus (1)
dramatic (2)	dynamic (1)	84:10;89:5,11,15;	47:9	53:19
22:22;23:2	10:15	97:2;106:21;112:8;	enable (3)	enterprise (1)
driven (1)	dysfunction (1)	113:17;126:1;129:11	28:2;112:5;114:15	143:7

	•		I	1
enthusiasm (1)	34:17	examples (15)	49:17;50:17,18;	78:8;123:14
58:4	ethics (1)	9:7,14;14:12;48:19;	51:2,5;71:4	fades] (1)
entire (4)	34:14	91:8;97:16;107:12,13;	experts (3)	14:4
22:7;24:10;29:2;	European (1)	108:9;110:1;114:7,12;	71:10;76:18;82:1	failed (1)
37:4	38:18	115:6;119:7,22	explain (4)	95:10
entirely (6)	evaluated (1)	exceed (1)	24:7;28:8;29:2;	failing (1)
23:21;34:21;75:15;	34:3	100:10	96:16	91:13
79:14;80:19;97:17	evaluating (1)	excellence (1)	explaining (1)	fails (2)
entitled (2)	13:14	129:4	74:12	13:9;86:4
84:14,14	evaluation (1)	excellent (1)	explicit (1)	fair (2)
entry (1)	58:6	49:11	57:11	7:17;68:6
68:4	even (28)	Except (1)	explore (2)	fairly (1)
environment (2)	33:5;35:3;39:5;	27:13	105:4;130:9	69:8
41:12;67:13	41:22;47:1;51:1;	exciting (1)	Exposing (1)	fall (1)
environmental (2)	63:10;67:4,13;73:4;	30:14	56:5	134:1
41:19;51:7	74:20;78:15;85:22;	exclusionary (1)	express (1)	false (1)
envision (3)	87:16;91:18;95:4;	54:14	77:18	139:17 familiar (3)
44:5;45:4;117:10	97:8;102:13,20;	exclusively (1) 36:3	expressed (2) 58:19;75:5	6:3;8:6;77:9
epidemic (2) 64:5;82:21	115:22;121:12,21; 123:17;127:16;136:4;		expressing (1)	familiarity (1)
epidemiology (1)	137:10;138:2;139:17	exemplified (1) 104:19	93:5	9:8
50:10	event (1)	exemption (1)	extent (5)	family (5)
Equally (1)	58:16	84:1	33:16;104:14;	137:15,17;139:1,1,2
68:10	events (1)	exist (3)	107:2;113:17;118:3	far (4)
equation (2)	19:9	27:11;29:5;31:13	extra (1)	7:2;14:12;104:11;
13:3,4	ever-evolving (1)	existent (1)	138:19	142:15
equitable (1)	39:8	93:10	extraordinarily (1)	fascinating (1)
83:21	everybody (9)	existing (8)	103:5	121:12
era (1)	4:5;7:17;8:21;25:1;	29:6;58:13;83:18;	extrapolate (1)	fashion (1)
22:19	28:6,11,22;33:22;	91:14;104:12,22;	113:14	25:17
eradication (1)	35:17	106:18;107:8	extrapolation (1)	fast (3)
123:12	everyone (3)	expand (4)	113:17	59:9;83:4;114:15
error (1)	4:12;52:11;62:6	29:16;63:13;79:15;	extremely (9)	faster (1)
65:18	evidence (48)	88:5	41:14;51:20;67:9,	53:3
escalating (1)	18:10,14;26:1;	expanded (1)	12;86:2;90:19;121:7;	fatal (1)
71:12	47:18;57:16;58:8;	82:19	123:1;125:7	75:4
especially (12)	73:21;75:19;76:20;	expanding (2)	extremist (1)	favor (3)
40:9,13;47:20;	77:5,6;84:15;87:16,	50:18;51:18	28:6	84:7;125:17;131:7
49:16;52:12;56:16;	18;88:21;89:1;96:14;	expansion (1)	_	favorable (2)
83:11,17;137:13,17;	97:10;99:18;100:18,	41:9	F	65:19;87:9
138:3,22	20;101:15;106:10,13;			favorably (1)
essence (3)	109:1;111:9;112:8;	20:21;28:5;75:22	F2G (1)	19:14
6:6;9:1;11:12	113:15;117:18;120:5,	expected (1)	22:1	FDA (59)
essential (2)	8,10;122:6,8,12,14,20;	74:7	face (2)	5:13,15;6:17;19:18;
64:11;67:2	123:2,8;124:17;126:5,	expedite (1)	29:7;83:15	22:8;23:18;24:15;
essentially (7)	8,15,16;128:14,19;	56:19	faced (1)	26:9;30:10;52:13;
13:7;16:7;18:7;	129:2;130:17	expedited (5)	75:4	53:2,22;55:3,6;56:14,
19:6;27:2;66:10;	evidentiary (3)	10:11;106:18;	facilitate (4)	22;58:1,21;59:11,14,
114:10	84:5;85:8,19	107:8;134:10;135:13 expense (1)	59:22;86:12;	20;60:4;63:14;65:16;
establish (1)	exact (2)	• • •	121:16;129:1	66:10,15,20;68:1,19;
126:1 established (2)	45:3,3 exactly (5)	72:11 experience (8)	facilitating (1) 83:6	69:11;71:20;72:4,6; 75:5,9,21;76:4,18,22;
8:6;105:18	9:5;23:19;43:14;	9:7,12;16:11;43:8;	facilities (1)	73.3,9,21,76.4,18,22,
estimated (4)	49:6;119:17	46:1;53:11;75:3;	68:11	84:11,21;85:10;104:4,
42:14;53:10;71:13;	example (26)	87:16	facing (2)	19;107:9;108:7;
95:19	14:3;30:1;46:17;	experienced (1)	69:8;128:7	110:14;112:1,6;113:6;
estimates (1)	50:16;55:13;58:6;	134:3	fact (8)	120:15;132:14;
71:15	84:1;93:2,20;95:16;	experimental (2)	24:19;36:2;61:2;	133:12;138:17;140:1
estimating (1)	96:15;98:15;106:18;	73:11;74:13	87:14;108:7;110:7,18;	FDA-approved (1)
127:14	107:15;108:10;109:8;	expert (4)	111:4	76:1
ethical (2)	110:3;111:8,20;112:3,	22:1;47:5;68:16;	factorial (1)	FDA's (7)
34:22;139:7	7;114:19;124:3;133:9,	71:3	128:12	4:13;6:20;57:14;
ethicists (1)	17;141:10	expertise (6)	factors (2)	72:3;83:20;84:8;
(=/	.,	F = (*)	(-)	,,

	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
104:10	115:9;140:18	forehead (1)	15:20;91:1	genotypical (2)
fear (1)	first (16)	25:5	function (1)	121:1,5
133:22	21:21;24:15,20;	forensic (1)	9:9	geographically (1)
feasible (4)	27:20;28:13;44:17;	51:10	Fundamentally (1)	111:2
29:15;45:14;66:13;	52:19;63:3;69:21;	forfeit (1)	39:1	gets (7)
129:10	119:4,15,20;132:5,8,	66:10	funding (1)	7:17;9:4;13:20;
features (1)	10;139:12	forget (1)	68:14	36:4;78:22;112:21;
115:14	fit (1)	83:15	fungal (25)	124:4
federal (2)	9:5	forgetting (1)	39:1,15,17;41:7;	gift (1)
	fits (2)	134:22		26:14
68:11,15		form (2)	43:2;44:5,11;48:2,4,	
feedback (2)	9:16;19:11		11,20;49:3;50:6;91:5,	gifts (1) 24:14
20:22;57:10	fix (2)	85:2,16	7,13,15;92:10,12;	
feel (4)	30:9;119:17	former (1)	93:8,20;98:1,3,13;	given (6)
9:15;21:19;54:10;	flaws (1)	136:19	99:15	18:18;24:14;27:8;
73:1	23:6	forms (1)	funny (1)	65:21;67:9;85:8
feels (1)	flexibilities (2)	86:21	36:13	gives (3)
34:7	82:20;83:19	formulations (2)	Furman (1)	21:18;25:8;46:18
felt (1)	flexibility (6)	40:20,21	84:19	giving (4)
23:2	12:22;26:11;61:8;	forth (2)	Furr (5)	21:10;52:6;81:13;
few (16)	66:18;123:10;131:2	23:15;49:17	132:4,12,12;134:7,	95:16
5:17;13:2;14:17;	flexible (1)	fortunate (1)	11	glabrata (1)
22:21;57:22;62:20;	82:13	96:22	further (14)	96:5
63:16;71:6;73:4;78:2;	flexibly (1)	forums (1)	21:16;64:5,17;	global (2)
87:9;92:16;93:1;	12:16	38:18	65:11;76:9;79:8;84:5;	23:20;67:19
112:9;135:11,20	flip (1)	forward (6)	85:15;86:8;87:6;88:1;	globally (2)
fewer (2)	19:21	37:16;80:10;	100:4;107:1;125:22	23:16;85:6
85:22;106:8	FNIH (1)	100:14;115:4;117:4;	Fusariam (1)	goal (4)
fewest (1)	29:12	143:7	40:2	56:20;59:8;76:11;
64:13	focus (8)	foster (1)	fusarium (5)	112:22
fibers (1)	32:1;37:5;55:18;	67:20	42:22;45:3;47:7;	goals (1)
46:9	75:5;104:7;107:16;	found (4)	49:9;91:16	87:1
fide (1)	119:14;133:14	14:8;19:4;72:20;	future (4)	goes (3)
16:8	focused (4)	75:1	29:10;30:12;67:21;	25:15;76:2;138:2
field (3)	9:10;30:6;49:2;	Foundation (3)	143:8	gold (2)
17:2;37:22;142:7	86:18	38:13,15;46:18		75:22;77:10
fight (1)	focusing (2)	founding (2)	G	Good (17)
67:19	49:16;90:17	38:20;82:11		4:18;5:7,11;27:1,
fighting (1)	FOI (1)	four (1)	gallons (1)	11;29:12;33:18;
82:7	19:18	38:1	41:15	35:11;52:11;62:6;
figure (1)	folks (19)	fragility (1)	gap (4)	70:14;73:14;102:2;
127:16	4:6,10;5:18;6:2,10;	63:16	27:20,21;36:7;	104:2;122:7,16;137:7
file (1)	7:7;19:6;87:5,6;	frames (1)	87:18	government (6)
59:14	115:5;127:13;129:9;	7:6	garnered (1)	68:2;81:16;87:17;
fill (1)	135:14;141:17,19,20;	framework (2)	51:2	137:5;139:12,15
7:10	142:2,21,22	82:20;105:12	gastrointestinal (1)	governs (1)
fills (1)	follow (2)	free (2)	41:18	67:3
27:21	14:12;132:7	21:19;140:2	gather (9)	grab (1)
film (1)	following (3)	freedom (1)	9:12;99:17;102:12;	138:8
6:20	6:1;54:2;93:4	21:18	125:17;129:5,6,11,17;	gradually (1)
final (2)	font (1)	frequency (1)	125.17,129.5,0,11,17,	10:18
54:20;114:6	20:5	49:4	general (4)	granted (1)
finalizing (2)	Food (2)	frequent (1)	52:8;73:19;74:8;	112:20
20:19;21:1	62:12;82:3	133:11	80:9	granular (1)
	-			
Finally (1)	force (1)	frequently (3)	generalizability (1)	51:8
67:17	56:6	48:21;54:21;67:11	78:8	grateful (2)
find (5)	forced (1)	front (2)	Generally (3)	55:3;142:1
9:6;19:19;22:11;	67:11	8:1;117:8	11:9;22:20;106:6	gravitated (1)
31:15;46:20	forcefully (2)	fronts (1)	generate (2)	108:13
finding (1)	138:2,7	68:17	121:17;128:4	gravity (1)
18:13	fore (1)	full (4)	generated (1)	63:15
findings (1)	48:18	7:10;22:13;108:2;	122:20	Great (21)
15:9	forefront (1)	135:7	generating (1)	5:16;23:9;31:19;
fine (2)	134:4	fully (2)	128:14	34:17;51:10;61:18;
		Í.	Í.	Í.

(0.2.06.16.00.10.20	140 10 14	126 0 120 12 121 20	12.5	• 1• (4)
69:2;86:16;89:18,20;	140:12,14	126:9;129:12;131:20;	43:5	immediate (1)
90:6;99:9;114:2;	happen (3)	135:4	hosts (1)	4:16
115:3;118:13;134:1,6;	30:8,13,14	helping (2)	39:9	immunity (1)
135:1,10;136:14; 141:16	happened (1) 27:9	20:4;25:1 helps (4)	House (1) 137:1	61:4
greater (13)	happening (1)	7:16;41:4;89:2;	housekeeping (1)	immunocompromised (2) 39:22;40:15
9:8,8;12:2,22;15:1,	121:15	119:5	5:18	immunologically (1)
8;50:15,22;51:14;	happens (1)	hemodialysis (4)	huge (1)	39:9
74:19,19;109:18;	16:20	52:21;53:6,9;55:13	29:19	immunology (1)
113:21	happy (4)	Henry (1)	humans (1)	37:13
greatest (2)	22:12;138:22;	38:13	97:2	impact (5)
68:6;109:21	139:1;140:7	hepatitis (2)	hundred (2)	33:2;44:21;51:19;
greatly (3)	hard (3)	82:9;83:9	38:11;47:17	78:8;114:17
53:4;63:14;70:5	23:18;24:2;83:13	herd (1)	Hunt (1)	impaired (1)
group (13)	harmonize (1)	61:4	6:14	39:9
11:9;18:9;22:8;	23:19	Hi (3)	hurt (1)	imperative (1)
27:12;28:13;36:5,10;	harmonized (1)	4:12,14;5:14	27:15	105:21
38:16;81:17;82:5;	122:7	high (6)	hyaline (2)	implement (5)
100:10;102:16;109:4	harnessing (1)	39:5;48:7;76:1;	40:5;45:6	54:6;71:22;80:5;
groups (7)	51:5	91:6;94:3;98:5	hypotheses (1)	110:2;119:15
29:12;71:8;100:8;	Health (22)	higher (5)	127:17	implementation (1)
113:11;116:2,13;	10:22;17:10;27:10;	12:3;68:8;71:16;	-	132:15
128:13	42:6;48:3;51:11;54:4,	91:16;109:3	I	implemented (2)
growing (1)	10;56:3;62:16;68:14,	highlight (1)		108:14;109:11
71:11	18;70:10,11,16,18,20;	20:8	ICU (1)	implementing (1)
grown (1)	71:19;84:9,18;86:8;	highlighted (1)	30:22	80:1
63:10	138:18	20:6	idea (13)	implications (5)
guess (6)	healthcare (7)	highly (2) 97:1;114:18	12:2;22:14;24:4,15;	21:20;70:19;
80:15,22;81:1,2; 127:5;136:8	11:11,11;55:9; 62:17;68:11;92:1;	Hill (1)	28:5;36:14;50:5; 69:17;76:11;87:4;	116:19;133:20;134:3 Implicit (1)
guessing (1)	94:20	80:4	102:17;112:21;136:6	15:17
5:19	healthy (1)	histopathology (1)	ideal (2)	importance (2)
Guidance (51)	137:10	92:4	15:19;51:14	63:9;88:21
4:8;8:10,11,15;	hear (11)	historical (4)	ideally (1)	important (36)
10:11,14;12:21;13:7;	5:2,5;8:22;11:19;	45:21;47:18;96:19;	15:20	13:5;17:12;24:4,9;
14:20;16:22;20:19;	21:4;32:2;60:14;	102:14	ideas (2)	26:1,20;30:4;33:12;
21:1;46:11;54:1,5,18;	117:12;130:20,21;	historically (1)	102:8;116:12	40:14;42:19;46:6;
55:4,8,16;57:5,20;	131:3	99:21	identifiable (1)	48:10;54:3;56:16;
60:14;63:12;65:4;	heard (10)	Historically-controlled (6)	105:2	65:10;66:2,4;68:1,10;
72:13;73:10;74:17;	78:10;87:4;88:20;	100:5,17;101:3;	identified (4)	76:12;78:13;80:6;
75:11;77:14;85:18;	102:6;119:13;122:12,	102:1,6;130:5	61:6;92:1;105:1;	90:19,20;100:13;
104:6,10,13,15,17;	18;125:15;128:22;	history (1)	128:15	113:6;119:13;122:19;
106:5,6;107:10,11;	131:12	101:22	identify (9)	123:1;124:1,21;
108:4,7,11,14;112:21;	hearing (4)	hit (1)	11:12;37:7;55:9;	126:18;132:17;
114:5;120:15;123:17;	50:3;78:3;84:12;	40:9	58:14;61:8,11;92:5;	135:14;138:19;141:22
126:9,21;133:13;	88:13	HIV (4)	94:21;112:13	Importantly (4)
135:4	heart (3)	82:8,21;83:9,15	identifying (2)	53:14;56:18;78:14;
guidances (2)	27:4;30:20;57:12	holding (1)	37:6;96:10	113:2
104:11;113:5	heightened (1) 78:19	62:12	IDSA (12)	impose (1) 58:16
guidelines (2) 28:15;29:21	help (16)	hollow (1) 46:8	62:14;63:3,6,9,14; 65:3;66:12;67:6,17;	imposing (1)
guys (1)	8:12;9:14;16:22;	home (3)	68:2,10,19	88:12
8:12	53:2;60:1;64:22;	31:3;121:21;143:8	IFIs (1)	impossible (3)
0.12	69:15;72:18;99:3;	hope (4)	39:15	27:18,19;96:7
H	121:16;122:19;129:1,	9:20;10:3,16;	ignore (1)	improve (2)
	6,17;130:11,11	138:17	83:8	40:10;48:12
half (2)	helpful (25)	hoping (2)	ill (4)	improved (2)
127:15,15	9:20;10:4;16:21;	16:19;33:15	40:14;64:15;73:5;	82:22;114:20
hallway (1)	17:2;20:21,22;36:16;	hospital (4)	78:19	improvements (1)
6:6	54:5,17;55:5,20;	137:11,13,21;138:9	illness (1)	82:8
hand (1)	79:17;105:15;112:16;	hospitals (1)	31:4	inaccessible (1)
137:7	115:4;121:7;123:12;	42:4	imagine (1)	111:12
handle (2)	124:12,22;125:7,10;	host (1)	134:11	inadequate (2)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Tubic Meeting - Li AD	l alliway			July 12, 2017
75:18;80:20	18:4;19:4,16;20:7,	inflicts (1)	97:19	8:7;9:6;12:16;
inadequately (2)	9;52:19;66:9,10;67:5;	42:12	instances (4)	13:12;19:7,11;23:3;
13:10;86:5	75:14;95:1;108:15;	inform (2)	15:18;65:15,20;	24:2,3;30:21;32:5;
inanimate (1)	111:15,17,22;117:9,9;	67:14;87:6	66:15	34:14;35:10;76:5;
				86:8;92:20;102:15;
41:11	124:14;125:19;	information (43)	institutions (1)	
inappropriately (1)	126:14,17	9:19;14:4;16:18;	51:2	103:1;105:5;109:2;
57:1	indications (18)	17:1,19;21:4;36:5;	instruct (1)	119:16;127:8;132:14;
incent (1)	23:4,13,14,21;	53:8;54:17;60:3;	108:13	142:14,22
66:16	55:12;65:8;66:13,20;	66:22;80:18;87:5;	instructive (1)	Intolerance (1)
incentive (2)	76:22;94:6;97:8;	100:1;101:2,11,16,16,	138:12	94:9
66:22;135:3	111:19;112:20;	21;102:12,14,15;	instrumental (1)	intolerant (2)
incentives (12)	124:16;128:2,3,14;	112:2;116:5;121:1,6,	82:15	91:13;95:11
30:9,16;32:19;68:4;	129:10	7,9,17,20;122:19;	insufficient (1)	intra-abdominal (1)
70:2;83:18;86:12,14;	indiscriminate (1)	125:18,22;126:4;	86:1	128:17
134:14,16,20;135:1	59:1	128:5,5,6,10;129:15,	integrated (1)	intrinsic (2)
incentivize (2)	individuals (1)	18;130:11;131:10,16	133:14	39:11;41:20
86:8;88:1	61:11	informed (3)	integrating (1)	introduce (2)
inches (2)	industries (1)	46:19;121:16;139:7	57:18	4:10;39:14
24:20;25:10	70:22	informs (1)	intelligence (1)	introduced (2)
incidence (1)	Industry (10)	67:3	121:14	22:10;83:5
109:3	30:4,6,21:54:4;	infrequent (1)	intended (10)	Introductions (1)
include (9)	104:6,9;109:9;111:18;	113:7	9:21;10:7;12:18;	4:3
14:1;20:1;33:14;	112:10,15	infrequently (2)	73:16;74:3;109:20;	introductory (2)
34:12;65:21;75:12,14;		99:14;127:8	114:15;115:9;120:16;	71:18;105:11
77:12;107:13	98:4	inhalation (1)	141:8	invasive (17)
included (3)	ineligible (1)	17:20	intends (1)	38:4,6;39:1,15;
74:9;80:18;123:8	85:14	inherence (1)	55:6	43:1;44:11;64:9;
including (9)	infarction (1)	13:21	intensive (1)	91:11;92:10,12;93:8;
6:21;15:4;56:1;	27:3	inherent (3)	124:10	95:16,18,20;98:6,7,13
58:15;62:19;63:8;	infeasible (1)	12:1;61:5;116:21	intent (7)	invest (1)
83:3;113:12;136:21	64:16	inhibitors (2)	72:2;73:12;79:9;	63:4
				investigational (6)
inclusion (1)	infected (1)	47:22;114:20	80:1;81:1,8;108:6	
54:8	61:15	initial (2)	intention (1)	38:9;44:7;107:22;
incorporating (1)	infection (35)	20:2;126:17	54:7	132:21;133:6,10
116:12	12:17;13:1;15:5;	initiative (4)	intentions (2)	investigator (1)
increase (1)	23:5;26:22;29:19;	119:4,5,11;125:21	21:3;72:3	38:10
132:17	31:1;44:11;50:7;56:3;	initiatives (4)	interact (2)	investigators (1)
increased (2)	61:9;64:10;67:16;	83:2,6;119:19;	71:9;136:10	46:2
68:13;76:7	68:18;73:7;93:8,20;	125:13	interaction (2)	investment (4)
increasing (3)	98:16;99:15;110:12;	inject (2)	80:3;94:12	68:7;83:3,10;86:10
63:22;64:7;67:10	111:12,16,17,22;	64:8;138:1	interactions (5)	investments (2)
increasingly (1)	117:17,19;118:5,6,7,	injecting (1)	40:11;92:18;	68:8;83:11
39:10	9;123:15;124:5;	138:9	133:11,13;136:10	investors (1)
incredibly (1)	125:3;127:9;130:13	injection (4)	interagency (1)	135:6
115:4	infections (58)	64:6;137:14;138:7,	72:8	invitation (1)
increment (1)	10:8;17:16;25:21;	10	interest (6)	90:12
32:17	27:13;29:13;31:6;	innovating (1)	49:13;50:18;60:5;	invite (1)
IND (1)	39:2,15,17;43:2;44:5,	133:3	70:22;83:12;104:5	52:7
12:8	14;46:1;48:4,4,11;	innovation (8)	interested (6)	invoked (1)
indeed (1)	51:9;52:18;53:6,11;	32:15;63:19;	5:22;7:20;8:2,3;	45:13
42:16	54:9;56:5;61:12,14;	103:20;114:19;	50:6;135:6	involuntarily (1)
independent (3)	64:1,7,13;67:8,11;	118:22;120:20,22;	interesting (5)	137:13
82:6;93:19;116:1	71:14;91:5,8,10,15,16,	122:10	12:6;22:16;30:16;	Involuntary (1)
independently (1)	21;98:3,4;110:5,13,	innovative (2)	88:13;109:8	137:21
116:7	15,18,22;111:4,10,14;	56:16;105:7	Interestingly (1)	involved (2)
indicate (2)	112:6,7;113:20;	inquiries (1)	111:19	34:20;79:2
13:18;124:12	124:10;125:2,4;128:8,	16:15	international (1)	involving (2)
indicated (11)	9,11,17;129:3,19	insert (2)	121:18	79:10;99:15
14:5;20:13,17;36:6;	infectious (8)	66:18;67:2	interpret (1)	isavuconazole (2)
60:18;73:13;78:5;	47:13;62:2,7,11,15,	insight (1)	55:6	42:20;47:8
93:6;94:22;124:5;	19;85:2;113:10	102:15	interventions (2)	issue (20)
125:2	inferiority (2)	instance (4)	121:22;122:2	10:16,18;11:22;
	• , ,	86:19;89:9;95:16;	into (25)	12:22;14:16,22;17:5;
indication (21)	103:4;106:4			

Public Meeting - LPAD	Patnway			July 12, 2019
7.5.0.7 7 .40.70.70	10 7 1 1 22 2	. (10)	10 12 54 5 62 0 00 0	04.20.05.0.05.4
56:8;57:18;58:20;	10:5;14:21;23:8;	large (13)	19:13;54:7;63:8;80:9;	84:20;85:8;87:1;
59:2;72:17;78:3,10,	34:8;41:6;72:17;78:2	9:15;25:16;31:9;	108:7	91:21;93:6;94:15;
22;86:20;115:11;	kick (1)	63:16;85:9,12;95:5;	lend (1)	96:17;97:13;101:18;
127:4,19,20	118:4	97:10;100:7;102:3;	111:21	104:18,20;107:14;
issued (1)	kidnapped (1)	106:13;121:3;142:5	length (1)	108:1,15;110:9;112:5,
104:16	137:10	larger (3)	34:17	13;113:1;114:22;
issues (17)	Kids (1)	45:15;60:18;130:15	lenient (1)	124:21;125:9,20;
5:18;11:7;17:1,3;	38:14	large-scale (1)	106:12	126:10,15;131:8;
25:21;29:7;78:6;	kinase (1)	64:16	less (11)	141:8
84:19;99:17;115:20,	47:22	last (6)	15:19,20;23:4;	limiting (2)
		60:4;107:1;115:20;		
21,22;116:4,9,17;	kind (8)		25:21;47:17;65:17,18;	88:6;93:13
138:17;142:5	22:16;88:12;	122:4;125:14;127:7	74:14,14;75:7;113:7	line (2)
issuing (1)	100:20;131:9;137:11,	Lastly (3)	lethal (1)	13:7;33:17
55:3	22;139:13,16	68:15;113:2,21	40:3	link (3)
IV (1)	kinds (2)	late (2)	lethality (2)	19:16,17;121:5
99:1	26:18;35:11	29:11;56:11	42:7;43:4	linked (2)
			*	, ,
T	kiosk (1)	later (1)	level (9)	121:2,4
J	6:2	128:18	18:18,21;33:4;	liposome (1)
	knowledge (4)	latter (1)	74:19;77:5;78:17;	17:20
Jack (3)	9:8;37:21;87:18;	131:19	93:16;106:13;130:16	liquid (1)
70:10,13,16	135:7	laugh (1)	levers (1)	137:18
	known (4)	25:9	69:14	Lisa (3)
John (6)				` '
21:22;22:4,6,9;	74:15;93:10;	Laughter (1)	licensed (1)	103:17,21;104:1
31:19;33:11	133:17;134:5	135:22	38:7	list (1)
join (2)	knows (3)	launched (1)	licensure (2)	9:21
21:11;143:2	76:4,4;77:7	136:12	10:21;17:9	listed (4)
joined (3)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	law (2)	Life (7)	7:14;10:2;114:7;
	L			
6:15;141:17,19	L	8:7;71:22	22:3;23:4;55:22;	117:22
joining (15)		lax (1)	91:8;139:1,2;140:2	listening (1)
4:5,7;22:5;37:15,	label (11)	85:19	life-saving (1)	141:21
19;52:5;81:13,18;	19:22;24:18;44:14,	lay (1)	119:6	literally (1)
89:21;90:8;103:16,21;	19;87:7;106:2;110:8;	28:5	life-threatening (8)	41:15
118:16;132:1;143:9	120:16;124:4;126:12;	layered (2)	10:7,10;45:22;	little (25)
Jonathan (1)	138:5	104:12;118:12	53:11;54:9;56:3;	5:4;7:13;8:4;9:12;
84:19	labeled (3)	layers (1)	62:19;91:5	11:19,22;16:14,19;
judgment (1)	66:7;117:11;118:2	79:4	Lih (1)	17:19;19:16;35:18;
124:15	labeling (27)	lays (1)	136:18	60:12,14;79:16,18;
judgments (1)	4:20;5:8;13:18;	107:11	likelihood (1)	80:3;83:12;94:6;
21:14	14:1;19:21;20:1;	lead (4)	51:15	97:20;106:12;108:10;
jug (1)	55:12;75:12,16;80:17,	34:11;52:16;63:1;	likely (4)	109:9;126:20;130:21;
137:17	19;81:2;85:16,22;	114:20	64:9;73:9;95:14;	139:20
Julie (2)	87:13;88:7;94:19,19;	learn (1)	124:9	live (2)
4:14;136:14	97:13;109:16;110:8;	123:7	liken (1)	27:6;31:11
July (1)	117:14;118:9;120:12;	learning (1)	41:12	lives (3)
23:11	124:18,20;131:19	121:14	limit (4)	37:21;48:12;51:20
		least (10)	55:7;57:1;123:19;	
June (2)	laboratory (2)			located (1)
8:12,14	38:5;47:1	14:10;25:14;56:10;	125:10	6:5
justified (1)	lack (6)	57:7;83:7;101:17;	limitations (2)	location (1)
113:19	12:18;58:4;93:22;	120:12;122:4;127:17;	6:19;88:12	22:10
justify (3)	94:16;112:10,14	128:4	limited (87)	lomentospora (4)
74:11;97:14;106:14	lactamase (1)	leaves (1)	10:8;11:6,8,8,9,13;	40:4;43:16,18;45:4
74.11,97.14,100.14		105:6		long (3)
T 7	114:19		13:20;14:2,6;16:12;	0 1
K	lagged (1)	leaving (1)	17:22;18:5,9;19:10,	22:15;23:18;34:16
	72:10	43:11	15;20:3,5,8,10,12,14;	long-standing (2)
Katie (5)	laid (2)	led (4)	22:1;25:8;34:13;39:9;	38:15;72:5
5:14;115:8;140:11,	104:14;106:5	18:11;23:8;38:6;	43:11;51:21;53:1,7,9,	look (29)
13;141:16	Langlais (1)	63:6	16,20;55:7,14;56:17;	9:14;10:9;12:5;
Katie's (2)	132:12	left (2)	60:15,19;62:13;64:11,	13:12;16:3,4;19:12;
115:12;140:16	language (11)	6:7;20:2	21;65:6;66:7,9,12;	32:12;37:16;42:17,18,
keep (5)	20:9;25:8;55:8;	left-hand (1)	67:5,9,12;71:19;	22;43:1;46:7;49:10;
13:5;17:12;29:4;	57:9;66:18;67:2;	24:21	72:10;73:14;74:1,5,	62:10;99:10;100:7,14;
99:1;100:14	79:10;80:4;89:7;	legislation (8)	10;75:11,13,14,19;	106:22;108:1;110:3,
	110:9;114:5	4:15;8:9;10:19;	77:3;80:18,20;83:13;	12;114:13;117:4;
key (7)	110.5,114.5	4.15,0.5,10.15,	//.5,60.16,20,65:15;	12,114.15,117.4;

127:6,13;143:4,7	18:1,6;108:16	materials (5)	19;41:5,13;47:7;53:7;	microbiology (1)
looked (2)	lyophilizers (1)	14:9,10,11;55:21;	59:10;64:1;70:21;	37:13
8:18;16:6	31:11	57:6	71:3,4;72:6;82:18;	mics (3)
	31.11			` /
looking (13)	3.4	math (1)	88:11;90:2,14;91:19;	5:1;46:8;94:8
15:2;16:9;18:4;	M	33:7	92:7;95:2;104:13;	middle (1)
34:7;38:2;43:15;		matter (1)	105:8,20;112:18;	22:19
57:20;60:9;78:11;	MAC (4)	24:19	138:5	might (32)
80:5;115:13;127:16,	18:1,6,20;108:16	maximize (2)	Medicare (2)	30:14;33:18;34:11;
20	machine (1)	59:19;65:8	72:7;138:14	42:10;43:13,20;44:3,
looming (1)	121:14	may (54)	medication (3)	17;49:3;50:7,9,11,12;
71:18				
	magic (2)	6:2,22;7:13;9:5,5,9,	137:11,14;138:18	60:17;69:15;74:14;
lot (12)	29:4;35:20	12;11:14,17;14:12;	medications (1)	79:17;99:12,14,15,19;
8:18;12:9;14:14;	main (5)	15:12,19;16:5;27:15;	40:16	100:7,9;114:7;125:16;
32:10,14;71:5;114:18;	17:3;28:1;59:8;	33:13;43:6,8,9;45:14;	medicine (2)	129:5,13;130:9,10,14,
121:1,17;137:6,6;	81:3;92:9	48:9;50:17;55:17;	37:13;138:1	15;136:13
138:21	mainstays (1)	59:6;65:14,20,22;	meet (7)	mildest (1)
lots (2)	41:2	66:10,13,21;67:15;	11:1;13:11;48:3;	27:13
34:19;119:22		72:1;73:4;79:13,22;	67:21;76:1;99:5;	million (1)
	major (5)			
love (1)	23:13,21;39:3;	80:1;83:20;91:10;	139:11	42:15
117:12	43:19;59:2	92:18;94:3,18;95:1;	meeting (25)	millions (1)
Lovinger (8)	majority (3)	96:16;100:10,19;	4:8;6:1,9,13,16;	85:5
81:15,20,21;87:11;	63:19;74:21;87:14	103:7;106:22;107:2;	8:19,21;20:22;21:4;	mind (4)
88:15,22;89:3,22	makes (7)	112:15;116:19,21;	48:10;52:14;62:13;	13:5;17:12;100:14;
low (1)	35:11,21;64:15;	122:9;123:12;128:16;	66:19,20;77:16;90:13;	102:5
49:3	73:7;85:11;105:3;	134:1	104:4,5;136:11;	mindful (1)
lower (1)	138:10	Maybe (16)	139:21;140:8;142:16,	78:6
85:8	making (2)	5:17;6:15;11:16;	21;143:10,12	minimal (2)
lowering (1)	54:11;59:13	33:10;36:1;69:6;	members (4)	40:11;50:17
84:5	man (1)	74:20;102:11;113:8;	62:18,21;69:20;	minute (2)
LPAD (133)	140:3	115:10,18;120:8;	71:2	14:3;33:19
4:7;8:5,8,11;9:18,	manage (2)	129:9;134:18;138:6;	mention (7)	minutes (2)
21,22;10:2,6,19;11:2,	7:16;51:9	140:4	12:14,20;16:14;	7:4,11
2;12:10,15;13:8,16,	managing (1)	mayor (1)	41:7;87:4;102:5;	mirage (1)
22;16:11,13,16;17:4,	51:3	136:21	142:11	24:7
6,7;18:15,17;19:13;	manner (2)	McGoodwin (8)	mentioned (10)	mission (2)
20:17,19;22:14;24:1,	14:2;141:1	62:2,5,6,7;69:3,4,	16:11;47:7;50:5;	37:19;84:8
14,15,20;25:6,14;	manufacturing (1)	19;70:7		misunderstandings (1)
			86:20;99:21;108:12;	
26:14;29:15;30:5,8,	136:12	MDR (1)	127:4;130:19,22;	79:13
18;33:18;34:9;37:2;	many (28)	122:16	131:1	Mitchell (13)
41:5;43:22;48:9;	8:1;12:9,9;25:9;	mean (2)	merely (1)	70:10,13,14,16;
52:13,15;54:1,3,12,15,	37:20;40:10;47:5,21;	76:6;122:11	86:13	77:21,22;78:14;79:7,
20;55:2,3;56:10,21;	52:22;53:15;64:12;	meaningful (2)	message (1)	20;81:5,8,11,12
57:1,12,18;58:4,7,11,	71:8;74:20;81:2;91:7;	32:5;66:21	30:4	mixed (1)
18,21;59:4,8,12,18,21;	95:11;103:5;106:22;	meaningless (1)	met (4)	128:11
60:5;63:8,12;66:19;	110:21;111:4;116:1,7;	139:3	10:22;13:6;17:11;	model (3)
67:18;69:7;78:11;	122:21,21;127:6;	means (9)	54:16	42:19;46:12;47:13
86:3;87:1;88:7,17;	133:2,22;143:5	26:6,6,7,8;27:15;	methicillin-resistant (1)	models (2)
90:17;91:1;93:5;	map (1)	42:17;43:12;62:9;	53:17	42:18;46:10
94:14;96:9;97:18,19;	49:10	84:2	method (1)	modern (1)
98:10;99:2;104:15,18;	margin (3)	meant (2)	133:18	64:1
106:6,17;107:6,10,11;	15:5;26:6;89:10	104:11;108:4	methods (1)	modicum (1)
108:4,11,14;109:11,	margins (4)	mechanism (5)	133:19	47:2
13,14;110:2;112:12,	89:8;95:5,6;105:19	29:12;30:12;32:3,8;	meticulously (3)	moment (2)
15,16,19,22;114:9;	marker (2)	43:15	46:2;47:4;96:20	126:7;132:19
115:1,14;116:1,6,10;	92:3;123:12	mechanisms (5)	metropolitan (1)	money (1)
117:9;119:4,16,18,20;	market (11)	35:8;40:8,9;58:22;	50:22	70:21
124:14;125:15;	53:3;59:9;65:1,7;	122:22	mic (1)	monitor (2)
126:22;131:1;132:15;	68:4;72:18,21;73:6;	media (5)	14:4	58:14;66:4
133:7,10,16;134:2,17,	89:15;105:22;111:15	6:14,16,18,18,22	microbiological (4)	monitored (2)
19;141:5,7	Maryland (1)	Medicaid (2)	107:4;108:21;	66:8;139:9
lunch (1)	136:21	72:7;138:14	113:13;123:11	monitoring (1)
5:22	material (3)	medical (26)	microbiologist (1)	40:13
lung (3)	54:19;85:17;109:17	14:19;21:22;38:17,	56:4	months (3)
		. , ,		

				July 12, 2019
02.12.09.10.00.2	22.7.8.17.27.10.20	NCHD (2)	121.15.122.9.120.4	
92:13;98:19;99:2	33:7,8,17;37:19,20;	NCHR (2)	121:15;122:8;129:4	notice (2)
moot (1)	39:19;40:1;42:11;	70:20;71:5	Nevertheless (1)	12:6;21:11
134:18	43:8;49:4;52:2,5;	NDA (1)	72:12	noticed (1)
morbidity (2)	67:19;70:7;71:15,20;	126:6	New (61)	129:20
42:13;48:6	76:9;77:19;80:14;	NDAs (1)	4:21;5:15;9:3,4;	noticing (1)
more (65)	81:7,11,12;90:20;	16:16	10:16;22:21;32:3,14,	4:22
11:19,22;12:16;	96:11,11;104:3,3;	necessarily (14)	19;33:3,4;38:20,21;	noting (2)
16:14,19;23:3;28:9,	107:9;113:18,22;	21:15;32:9;33:14,	40:7;43:21;48:1;	34:11;86:3
11;31:21;33:3;34:5;	120:8;121:9;123:14;	22;66:20;72:18;73:20,	50:14;51:4,6,10;	notion (1)
37:5;38:10;41:21;	125:12;127:10;143:9	22;75:1;79:21;87:13;	53:20;56:19;58:15;	24:4
42:10;44:10;45:5;	mucocutaneous (2)	107:15;118:8;124:7	59:6;62:22;63:5,7;	novel (19)
47:2;49:4;53:3;54:17;	41:16,20	necessary (2)	64:17;65:1,7,9,12,13,	30:6;32:1,8;40:8;
56:17;57:8,14;59:11;	mucorales (1)	67:21;82:14	16;66:3,3,8,17;67:6,7,	43:14;48:8;65:9;68:3;
63:11;64:9;65:22;	45:7	necessity (1)	14;68:5;70:9;72:9,12;	97:19,20,21;98:12;
67:20;69:19;72:17;	Mucormycosis (4)	14:15	73:1,8;74:7;76:16;	104:16;105:7;107:21;
73:7,19;79:16,18;	38:14;40:1;42:7;	need (84)	84:12;89:11,13;	109:4;119:19;122:21;
80:3;83:9;84:14;	47:8	10:9,12,17,18;12:4,	106:14,16;108:2;	132:22
86:13,21;93:9;96:11,	multicenter (2)	11;13:6,11,13;14:19;	109:6;112:12;133:3;	novelty (4)
11;97:20;102:5;	44:6;47:16	15:13;17:13,17;18:19,	140:22;141:8;142:3	32:3,4,6;119:15
105:6;106:12;108:10;	multidrug (1)	20;24:3;29:22;30:7,9;	news (1)	nowhere (4)
111:16;113:18;117:5,	36:14	31:16;32:15;33:15,21,	24:6	26:4,5;138:16,21
17,19,21;120:8;	multidrug-resistant (3)	22;34:4;36:3,6;39:6,	next (13)	number (21)
122:22;126:20;129:5,	62:20;65:22;125:5	16;40:7,12;41:7;45:2,	16:15;20:18;24:12;	8:16;12:13;26:5;
18;130:22;133:11;	multiple (6)	20,20;46:2;48:1,3;	37:11;52:7;57:22;	42:14;46:4;53:9;55:7;
134:3;135:6,12;	38:9,17;40:15;79:4;	50:15;53:7,20;56:14;	62:1;70:9;81:15;90:1;	57:12;64:7,14;71:15;
139:20	113:20;115:22	59:10;61:15;63:4;	103:17;118:19;136:15	85:4,12;105:17;
morning (7)	murine (1)	64:19;72:14;73:5;	nice (2)	106:13;113:3;115:5,
4:18;5:7,11;52:12;	46:17	74:10;75:5,7,9;78:7,	10:14;26:21	20;116:4;136:9;
62:6;70:14;104:2	must (8)	17,20;86:12;88:1,11;	nine (1)	141:20
mortalities (5)	24:8;27:10;28:21;	90:19,22;91:19;92:21;	7:3	numbers (6)
91:10,12,14,16;98:8	29:15;32:1;57:6,12;	93:15;95:2;97:2;	nonclinical (1)	42:3;49:5;63:22;
mortality (7)	137:19	98:12;99:2;103:2;	133:16	77:12;85:9;123:14
39:5;40:2;42:12;	mycological (1)	105:1,8,20;109:6,21;	none (1)	nursing (1)
48:7;91:6;94:4;98:5	41:6	112:18;115:6;126:6;	73:6	31:3
most (21)	Mycology (4)	133:15;135:15,16,17;	nonetheless (1)	31.3
			77:11	
אוירויריאיטויר.	10 1 / 19 41 13	139./8.14/.4.143.5		()
5:19;8:5;15:18; 24·9·25·15·37·18·	38:17,19;41:13; 47:7	139:7,8;142:4;143:5		О
24:9;25:15;37:18;	47:7	needed (12)	noninferiority (21)	
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5;	47:7 mycoses (1)	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17;	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4;	objective (2)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18;	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2;	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18;	objective (2) 13:9;86:5
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14,	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3)	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10;	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11,	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5;	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1)	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1)	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3)	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3)	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3)	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24)	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1)	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2;	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1)	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11)	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9;	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7,	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8)	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6;	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3)	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22;	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9;	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8;	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11,	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1)	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9;
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2)	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7)	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5;	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7;	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1)	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12)	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2)	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3;	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10)	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2)	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3; 30:7;32:1;37:11;62:1;	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10) 11:18;60:19;73:13;	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8 neglected (1)	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2) 77:16;126:13	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4) 41:1;49:3;99:14;
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3; 30:7;32:1;37:11;62:1; 70:3,9;79:2;115:4;	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10) 11:18;60:19;73:13; 105:5;107:13;108:5;	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8 neglected (1) 83:3	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2) 77:16;126:13 North (1)	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4) 41:1;49:3;99:14; 119:16
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3; 30:7;32:1;37:11;62:1; 70:3,9;79:2;115:4; 132:3	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10) 11:18;60:19;73:13; 105:5;107:13;108:5; 114:11,16,16;133:1	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8 neglected (1) 83:3 nephrotoxicity (1)	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2) 77:16;126:13 North (1) 39:19	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4) 41:1;49:3;99:14; 119:16 occurs (2)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3; 30:7;32:1;37:11;62:1; 70:3,9;79:2;115:4; 132:3 moved (1)	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10) 11:18;60:19;73:13; 105:5;107:13;108:5; 114:11,16,16;133:1 national (4)	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8 neglected (1) 83:3 nephrotoxicity (1) 92:20	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2) 77:16;126:13 North (1) 39:19 note (2)	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4) 41:1;49:3;99:14; 119:16 occurs (2) 48:20;50:8
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3; 30:7;32:1;37:11;62:1; 70:3,9;79:2;115:4; 132:3 moved (1) 30:20	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10) 11:18;60:19;73:13; 105:5;107:13;108:5; 114:11,16,16;133:1 national (4) 67:18;70:11,17;	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8 neglected (1) 83:3 nephrotoxicity (1) 92:20 Nested (2)	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2) 77:16;126:13 North (1) 39:19 note (2) 74:21;80:9	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4) 41:1;49:3;99:14; 119:16 occurs (2) 48:20;50:8 off (4)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3; 30:7;32:1;37:11;62:1; 70:3,9;79:2;115:4; 132:3 moved (1) 30:20 moves (2)	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10) 11:18;60:19;73:13; 105:5;107:13;108:5; 114:11,16,16;133:1 national (4) 67:18;70:11,17; 84:18	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8 neglected (1) 83:3 nephrotoxicity (1) 92:20 Nested (2) 15:22;106:3	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2) 77:16;126:13 North (1) 39:19 note (2) 74:21;80:9 noted (7)	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4) 41:1;49:3;99:14; 119:16 occurs (2) 48:20;50:8 off (4) 25:15;46:16;72:21;
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3; 30:7;32:1;37:11;62:1; 70:3,9;79:2;115:4; 132:3 moved (1) 30:20 moves (2) 30:17;33:3	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10) 11:18;60:19;73:13; 105:5;107:13;108:5; 114:11,16,16;133:1 national (4) 67:18;70:11,17; 84:18 natural (1)	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8 neglected (1) 83:3 nephrotoxicity (1) 92:20 Nested (2) 15:22;106:3 nesting (1)	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2) 77:16;126:13 North (1) 39:19 note (2) 74:21;80:9 noted (7) 24:15;70:17;71:17,	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4) 41:1;49:3;99:14; 119:16 occurs (2) 48:20;50:8 off (4) 25:15;46:16;72:21; 138:15
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3; 30:7;32:1;37:11;62:1; 70:3,9;79:2;115:4; 132:3 moved (1) 30:20 moves (2) 30:17;33:3 MRSA (1)	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10) 11:18;60:19;73:13; 105:5;107:13;108:5; 114:11,16,16;133:1 national (4) 67:18;70:11,17; 84:18 natural (1) 101:21	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8 neglected (1) 83:3 nephrotoxicity (1) 92:20 Nested (2) 15:22;106:3 nesting (1) 49:12	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2) 77:16;126:13 North (1) 39:19 note (2) 74:21;80:9 noted (7) 24:15;70:17;71:17, 22;74:3,4;75:16	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4) 41:1;49:3;99:14; 119:16 occurs (2) 48:20;50:8 off (4) 25:15;46:16;72:21; 138:15 offer (5)
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3; 30:7;32:1;37:11;62:1; 70:3,9;79:2;115:4; 132:3 moved (1) 30:20 moves (2) 30:17;33:3 MRSA (1) 64:9	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10) 11:18;60:19;73:13; 105:5;107:13;108:5; 114:11,16,16;133:1 national (4) 67:18;70:11,17; 84:18 natural (1) 101:21 nature (3)	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8 neglected (1) 83:3 nephrotoxicity (1) 92:20 Nested (2) 15:22;106:3 nesting (1) 49:12 networks (6)	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2) 77:16;126:13 North (1) 39:19 note (2) 74:21;80:9 noted (7) 24:15;70:17;71:17, 22;74:3,4;75:16 noteworthy (1)	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4) 41:1;49:3;99:14; 119:16 occurs (2) 48:20;50:8 off (4) 25:15;46:16;72:21; 138:15 offer (5) 32:21;43:21;63:11;
24:9;25:15;37:18; 38:19;41:3;48:5; 54:11;55:5,11;64:18; 65:1,12;73:9;78:14, 18;84:2;104:8;124:8 mostly (1) 86:18 moulds (3) 40:5;45:2,6 Mounts (11) 52:7,9,10,11;60:7, 21;61:18,19,21;71:22; 74:3 Mounts' (2) 76:10;79:12 move (12) 7:12;21:21;24:3; 30:7;32:1;37:11;62:1; 70:3,9;79:2;115:4; 132:3 moved (1) 30:20 moves (2) 30:17;33:3 MRSA (1)	47:7 mycoses (1) 41:3 mycosis (3) 38:4,6,16 myocardial (1) 27:3 N Nambiar (8) 5:11,11;31:21;33:9; 88:4,19;115:8;136:3 name (7) 4:12,18;5:7;62:7; 75:13;136:16,18 narrow (10) 11:18;60:19;73:13; 105:5;107:13;108:5; 114:11,16,16;133:1 national (4) 67:18;70:11,17; 84:18 natural (1) 101:21	needed (12) 22:9;65:1,8;66:17; 67:20;68:5,16;72:2; 73:21;112:19;119:10; 124:13 needle (3) 30:7,17;32:2 needs (24) 10:10;11:3;30:2; 39:3,13;50:20;55:9; 56:18;59:2;68:6; 74:18;76:8;77:8;79:8; 82:18;92:7;96:10,11, 12;98:14;99:5; 104:13;106:5;139:7 negative (2) 108:9;111:8 neglected (1) 83:3 nephrotoxicity (1) 92:20 Nested (2) 15:22;106:3 nesting (1) 49:12	noninferiority (21) 15:3,4,5,22;16:4; 23:13;28:1,17;29:18; 33:6,11;49:12;65:11, 14;89:8,10;95:5,5; 105:19;106:4;122:13 non-LPAD (3) 54:16;112:20;117:9 nonprofit (1) 70:18 non-randomized (3) 44:6,13;45:19 nontraditional (1) 29:7 noon (1) 6:2 normal (2) 77:16;126:13 North (1) 39:19 note (2) 74:21;80:9 noted (7) 24:15;70:17;71:17, 22;74:3,4;75:16	objective (2) 13:9;86:5 observation (2) 112:14;115:19 observed (1) 19:9 obtainable (1) 46:4 obvious (1) 33:1 obviously (6) 22:22;36:10;42:9; 97:9;138:13;141:10 occur (3) 48:5;64:14;91:21 occurring (4) 41:1;49:3;99:14; 119:16 occurs (2) 48:20;50:8 off (4) 25:15;46:16;72:21; 138:15 offer (5)

Public Meeting - LPAD	Patnway	T.	T.	July 12, 2019
offered (1)	128:18	orphan (4)	overuse (2)	parts (1)
55:2		47:14;83:3;84:1;	125:10,16	19:22
	open (11)		123:10,16	
offers (1)	7:18,20;21:5;43:14;	134:12	P	passing (1)
66:4	44:14,19;96:11;	orphaned (1)	P	29:6
Office (4)	131:21;132:3;136:6;	84:3		past (3)
4:16,21;5:15;	142:12	ORs (1)	package (6)	38:1;39:4;48:19
136:20	Opening (1)	30:22	66:18;67:2;96:21;	path (5)
officer (6)	4:3	osteo-articular (1)	97:6;100:20;111:5	93:5;95:3,7;98:15;
22:1;81:16;90:2,15;	open-label (6)	98:16	paid (1)	133:10
103:18;139:16	44:6,13;45:12,19;	others (3)	83:16	pathogen (16)
officials (4)	101:12;122:15	10:1;37:21;132:15	painful (1)	36:15,19,21;40:3;
58:1,19;59:6;87:17	operate (1)	other's (1)	42:12	42:2;48:20;49:7;
off-label (3)	116:7	8:22	pan (1)	50:10;97:5,8;120:13;
58:20,22;86:1	operating (1)	otherwise (3)	43:9	121:11,12;122:16;
often (9)	22:2	6:20;116:10;140:21	panel (12)	125:6;126:12
41:14;46:20;47:17;	opinion (2)	ourselves (1)	4:10;6:11;7:7;	pathogens (22)
64:15;65:11;80:10;	94:13;97:14	123:20	21:12,18;31:20;51:22;	25:20,21;39:18;
123:5;133:21;137:5	opioid (1)	out (40)	60:10;71:3;77:22;	41:7;42:21;43:22;
oftentimes (1)	64:5	4:9;5:17,19;7:3,22;	99:10;114:3	44:1;48:2;49:3;50:1;
15:16	opportunities (3)	8:15;9:4;11:22;14:15;	panels (1)	53:16;56:6;62:20;
once (6)	63:13;66:4,11	19:6;23:1,7;24:22;	47:6	64:8,18;65:22;93:10;
29:21;30:22;63:22;	opportunity (8)	28:5;30:18;34:16;	paper (4)	97:7;110:13,22;121:6,
68:19;83:16;134:14	9:1;31:7;59:15;	35:14,20;37:8;50:5;	27:18;29:8;32:12;	19
OND (2) 4:13;5:9	82:4;99:1;104:3,14; 132:19	69:15,17,20;71:10; 72:20;76:5;80:6;	34:15	pathogen-targeted (1) 44:8
			paradigms (1) 122:5	
one (75)	option (4)	104:14;105:6;106:5;		Pathway (83)
8:9,17;10:1,12;	42:21;58:11;97:17; 102:20	107:11;116:5,8;127:3,	parallel (2) 49:15;82:16	4:7;8:5,11;9:3,16,
11:7;12:20;13:2;		16;128:18;129:13;		21,22;10:2,6,19;11:2,
16:12;17:3;18:13; 21:8;29:1;30:8,13,14,	options (31) 13:2;14:17;15:14;	141:20;142:3;143:2 outbreak (1)	parameters (1) 101:10	3;12:15;13:8,16,16; 16:12,13,16;17:4,6;
16;33:10;34:8;36:1;	17:22;20:13;39:10;	31:1		20:19;27:22;43:14,21;
42:17;44:5,11,17;	43:11;51:21;57:15,17,	outcome (6)	parenteral (1) 40:20	49:21;54:15;57:1,2,3;
45:2,17;46:4,7,17,17;	21;62:21;64:14;	27:16;48:13;100:6,		58:21;59:12;62:14;
	66:17;67:12;72:18;		part (18) 8:8;10:13;11:3;	
48:17;49:7,8,20,21;	73:15;87:10;92:16,22;	10;116:20;122:2		63:9;64:11,21;65:7,9,
50:3;60:11;69:6; 72:19;78:9;83:16;	93:1,7,15;95:12;98:8;	outcomes (7) 47:4;51:9;98:2,4;	12:8;13:17;18:1; 28:10;30:8;35:13,16;	13,16;66:3,6;71:20;
				74:11;75:11;77:3,4,
86:22;92:11,14,17;	107:20;109:7;110:10;	101:5;102:1;121:6	39:7;51:4;71:20;	15;80:12;84:20,22;
93:9;94:6;99:2;102:5,	123:5,19;126:21	outlined (2) 65:4;99:12	96:20;97:6;116:21; 122:19;128:1	85:1,11,15;86:3;
8;106:16;108:3;	oral (8) 40:20,21;41:2;	*	/	88:17;94:14;98:10;
109:10;111:14; 112:10;114:4,6;115:1,		outrageous (1)	partially (1)	104:18;106:17,17,19,
	92:12,14,15;98:18,21	138:13	71:18	20;107:13;108:17;
12,18;117:7,20;	order (8)	outset (1)	participants (1)	109:13;112:16,22;
119:11,15;122:20;	34:4;106:14;	108:12	77:13	115:1,15;119:16;
124:2,3;127:3,5,9,18; 129:9;135:10;140:15,	108:13;109:13,17;	outside (5)	participated (1) 101:1	125:21;126:11;
20;141:10	111:10;119:9;143:6	47:12;85:13;88:6,	participating (1)	132:15,16;134:2,2,19;
ones (2)	ordinary (1) 26:16	11,18 outstanding (1)	46:5	135:3;141:2,5,7,13 pathways (10)
61:16;117:22	organism (5)	139:1	particular (19)	18:15;29:13,15;
ongoing (4)	35:2;41:11;42:12;	outweigh (1)	7:14;9:11;11:12;	47:22;48:8;82:16;
25:13;46:5;77:18;	43:4,13	74:2	15:13;26:7;46:22;	106:18;107:8;115:15;
120:21	organisms (3)	over (12)	50:6,10;73:18;92:3,	117:21
online (1)	16:4,5;40:10	6:4,5;9:6;15:18;	15;94:13,22;95:6;	patient (40)
30:1	organization (5)	23:2;32:17;62:15;	96:18;97:3;98:1;	11:12;12:19;13:1;
only (28)	70:2;74:22;82:1;	82:11;110:18;117:9;	109:21;130:13	15:12;16:10;17:16;
11:17;13:19;19:14;	103:20;118:22	127:7;137:16	particularly (11)	19:2;20:16;33:13,20;
20:10;30:8;42:14;	organizations (1)	overall (7)	60:22;69:16;85:3;	34:1,3;36:2;40:18;
43:9,10;49:10;51:6;	131:12	9:16;13:12;15:9;	88:9;89:6,16;94:11;	48:5;50:11;67:15;
64:19;92:8,11,14;	organizers (1)	16:10;19:8;33:13;	98:6;115:20;120:6;	68:17;69:16;70:20;
96:5;98:18,21,22;	104:4	119:12	124:7	71:7,8;80:21;81:4;
107:16,18,22;109:13,	organizing (3)	overlooked (1)	partly (1)	83:13;85:8,10;87:2;
19;114:9;118:10;	22:8;52:13;90:13	133:22	52:15	93:21;94:21;110:20;
126:15;137:19;141:13	original (1)	overread (1)	partner (1)	121:4,8;123:14;
onwards (1)	79:11	21:17	22:2	131:12,13;137:4,16;
	//.11	21.11	22.2	131.12,13,137.7,10,

120 0 1 1 1 1	44.04.74.40	22 54 42 57 22	5 0.40.4 5.5 4.404.46	
138:8;141:1	41:21;51:13	22;64:12;67:20;	70:10,17;71:4;81:16;	postmarketing (7)
patients (108)	perhaps (13)	68:20;70:4	82:7	57:16;58:17;
10:8;11:9,15,17;	32:17;33:20;75:17;	pivotal (4)	polyenes (1)	119:18;125:14;
12:3;14:6,17,18;16:1,	106:12;108:1;109:18;	23:6,17;58:12;83:8	92:11	126:22;130:22;133:19
3;18:2,6,9,19;19:15;	111:13;113:8,21;	PK/PD (5)	pony (1)	potential (11)
20:15;28:16;32:5;	118:5;134:15;135:2,5	96:22;97:4;101:10;	29:4	19:3;43:14,21;51:6;
33:14;34:19;36:3,5;	period (6)	113:21;122:18	pool (1)	65:8;97:17;105:8;
37:1,3;38:4;39:22;	7:7,19,21;26:10;	place (3)	120:13	113:20;133:6,8,10
40:15;42:4;43:2;44:2;	130:8;133:19	25:1;32:20;135:18	pooled (1)	potentially (10)
45:11;46:20;48:13;	permitted (2)	places (2)	47:18	11:16;34:19;42:19;
49:5;50:15,19;51:3,	6:19;26:12	24:5;50:8	poor (2)	43:15;45:5,7;47:10;
15;52:21;53:6,9;	persistence (2)	plaguing (1)	72:21;98:2	48:6;94:17;111:4
55:10,13;62:18;64:19;	40:22;41:16	43:2	population (110)	power (1)
65:21;67:8;72:11,19;	persistent (1)	plan (2)	10:8;11:6,8,8,13,15,	123:22
73:4,9,12,14,20;74:1,	93:22	102:22;133:15	17;12:19;13:1,20;	powered (3)
3,9,12,17,21,22;75:3,	person (4)	planning (1)	14:2,6;15:12;16:3,9,	103:3,9;128:3
6,10,15,21;76:6,21;	4:6;11:4;121:10;	4:15	10;18:5;19:2,15;20:3,	powers (1)
77:8;78:15,18;79:4;	137:10	plans (4)	5,8,14,16;25:3,9;	30:11
84:7;85:4,13,18;	personally (2)	113:4;120:18;	33:13,20;34:1,4,13;	practical (2)
86:21;87:7,9,22;92:1,	38:9;41:12	133:20,21	36:2;37:2;42:10;	15:16;126:20
14,19;93:14;94:3,9,	perspective (13)	platform (1)	49:16;50:11;53:7,16,	practicalities (1)
18;95:11;98:18;99:5,	34:22;38:3,12,15;	120:1	20;55:7,15;60:16,18,	119:17
14;100:22;101:5;	41:6;42:1;71:8;87:12,	play (2)	20;61:3,10;62:13;	practice (1)
105:22;106:13;109:6;	20;88:16;104:6;	93:1;100:21	64:11,21;65:6;66:7,9,	86:2
110:9,18;118:10;	111:21;117:14	plays (1)	13;67:5;71:19;72:10;	practices (2)
123:3,4;124:5;131:11;	perspectives (1)	67:18	73:12,14,16,18,20;	118:4,12
137:9,15;138:21;	112:10	plazomicin (1)	74:1,6,8;75:13,15;	practitioners (1)
139:8;142:4	Pfizer (1)	110:4	78:4,5;80:18;84:20;	62:16
patient's (3)	118:20	plea (1)	87:2,7,15;91:22;92:5;	pragmatic (3)
41:15;76:9;137:15	pharmaceutical (3)	56:9	93:13;94:7,15,16,18,	31:15;93:2;113:18
Patrick (1)	70:21;83:17;85:21	Please (2)	20;96:3,6,17;97:10,	preapproval (1)
140:19	Pharmaceuticals (1)	26:16;117:6	13;104:18,20,20,21,	82:19
paved (2)	103:19	pleased (2)	22;105:1;107:15,19;	precedence (1)
82:17;91:3	pharmacokinetics (1)	63:11;65:4	108:1,15;109:20;	112:11
pay (1)	40:12	plug (1)	111:20;112:5;113:1;	precedent (3)
25:5	phase (7)	136:9	115:1;117:10,16;	47:6,14;112:14
payers (1)	44:6;56:14;57:18,	plus (2)	122:14;124:21;	precedents (3)
28:15	21;108:20;109:1;	76:16;97:11	125:21;126:11;137:4;	104:7;105:14;113:6
peace (1)	117:3	pneumonia (4)	141:1,9	precious (1)
31:12	PhD (1)	27:5,5;124:10;	populations (18)	68:21
pediatric (2)	136:19	128:17	17:16;41:5;45:15;	preclinical (1)
38:3;84:2	Phoebe (1)	podium (6)	47:10;48:6;49:13;	49:20
pediatrics (1)	52:10	7:13;22:4;37:14;	69:16;83:13;85:10;	preclude (1)
37:13	phrase (1)	52:8;70:12;103:22	95:8,9;101:9,19;	141:12
people (22)	26:1	point (13)	106:11;110:20;111:1,	predates (1)
5:19;8:1,2,5;31:4;	physician (5)	24:9;39:20;49:21;	7;112:14	12:9
32:15;34:12,20;35:8,	55:16,18;76:11;	61:1;76:2;100:18,18;	position (1)	predict (3)
15;37:5;61:15;64:8,	137:18,22	101:14;110:21; 126:10,19;132:2;	71:5 positive (3)	121:2,11;139:14
15;71:14;84:13;85:5;	physicians (10) 28:14;59:3;62:15;		93:22;108:9;109:5	predictability (2)
135:19,20;137:12; 138:8,15		134:16	* *	56:15;59:19
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	73:21;76:7;77:9;79:3;	pointed (4)	possibility (1) 27:21	predictable (2) 40:12;97:1
percent (18) 26:7;40:2;42:8,12;	90:22;92:22;99:6 PI (1)	30:18;71:10;80:6; 101:13	possible (15)	predictive (2)
	38:10			•
43:5,8;72:20;89:6,10,		pointing (3)	7:12;23:21;26:19;	46:13;106:21
12,15;91:11,12,15,17; 96:5,6;98:9	pick (1) 4:22	46:14;101:11,12 points (1)	27:20;44:3;47:5; 59:10;102:10;111:18;	predictiveness (1) 107:4
perceptible (2)	piece (3)	79:5	121:13;123:22;	predominantly (1)
32:7,16	25:16;123:1;143:4	police (1)	121:15;125:22; 124:19;125:18;130:9;	108:4
perfectly (1)	pieces (4)	139:15	142:21	pre-identify (1)
125:9	19:7;35:15;101:15;	policies (2)	post-approval (2)	50:9
perform (2)	143:5	63:6;65:3	58:14;131:9	pre-IND (3)
64:4;67:15	pipeline (9)	policy (9)	posted (1)	133:9;136:4,11
performing (2)	32:10,13;63:10,16,	4:13,15;5:14;6:17;	6:12	preparing (1)
periorining (2)	52.10,15,05.10,10,	7.13,13,3.14,0.17,	0.12	preparing (1)

Public Meeting - LPAD	Pathway			July 12, 2019
29:9	principle (2)	37:12;84:11	37:6	push (1)
prescribe (1)	34:8;131:6	profile (3)	provide (18)	23:3
26:17	principles (1)	74:5;109:22;114:20	9:19;10:3;20:22;	put (12)
prescribed (1)	28:21	profiles (1)	45:16;66:16,21;73:20;	8:10;9:19;25:11;
14:4	prior (7)	40:11	76:15;80:11;93:2;	32:19;35:14;54:17;
prescription (1)	14:11;42:19;43:12,	profit (1)	99:3,22;101:16;	69:22;71:15;103:9;
138:6	19;45:21;109:17;	138:15	115:17;120:15;	132:14;136:8;137:14
presence (1)	142:18	proflificans (1)	126:20;130:11;143:3	putting (3)
121:11	prioritize (1)	43:18	provided (2)	24:18,22;25:5
present (6)	59:16	prognosis (1)	18:11;91:9	puzzle (1)
7:4;53:8;90:12;	priority (1)	42:11	provider (3)	143:5
104:6;139:22;140:5	83:4	program (26)	11:11;55:9;94:21	p-value (1)
presentation (15)	privileged (1)	9:4,6,9,16;13:10;	providers (4)	65:17
7:6;22:6;37:17;	38:12	14:12;15:6;33:5;34:5;	11:11;62:17;85:19;	Pypstra (9)
52:10;62:5;70:13;	probably (11)	37:20;54:3;55:17;	92:2	118:20;119:1,2,3;
80:15;81:20;90:10;	8:5;15:19;31:5;	56:13,21;57:18;71:20;	provides (3)	127:2;128:1;130:18;
104:1,8;105:4;119:2,	50:4;71:5;100:17;	76:11;80:7;86:6;	19:17;58:11;97:13	131:6,22
14;121:10	101:2,4;121:16;124:6;	106:7;107:7;116:10;	providing (6)	
presentations (5)	128:18	133:16;135:13;	7:21;19:1;20:15;	Q
6:21;7:9;11:20;	problem (21)	136:11,11	40:19;93:20;118:16	
117:15;128:22	23:8;24:10;28:10,	programs (13)	provision (1)	Q&A (1)
presenting (4)	10,19;29:1,5;30:21;	10:11;15:2;25:12;	17:7	7:11
81:22;90:3;103:19;	36:13;60:16,22;69:8;	53:2;63:2;68:12;	provisions (1)	qualified (1)
118:21	72:6;76:3,13;83:14;	116:8;120:18;123:6,7;	19:12	134:21
president (1)	118:1;121:20;125:6;	134:10;136:6,9	pseudallescheria (1)	qualifies (1)
118:20	131:15;138:3 problems (6)	progress (4) 64:4;82:21;83:1,8	43:16 Pandamanag (1)	57:3
prespecified (1) 16:8	24:2;25:18;31:2,16;	prominent (1)	Pseudomonas (1) 125:5	qualify (3) 30:15;134:12,19
press (2)	72:22;128:15	14:2	public (27)	quality (4)
29:8;135:4	procedure (2)	Promising (1)	4:8;6:20;7:18,20;	32:14;89:1;120:10;
pressure (1)	138:2,3	22:14	8:21;10:22;17:10;	122:7
31:10	procedures (1)	promote (5)	27:10;28:21;35:14;	quick (2)
pre-submission (1)	6:17	63:7;65:2;66:4;	42:6;48:3;50:15;54:4,	60:11;134:8
14:10	proceed (1)	68:21;86:13	10;56:3;62:16;68:14,	quickly (3)
presumes (1)	85:15	promotional (6)	18;70:20;84:9;90:21;	7:10;108:8;131:8
57:10	proceedings (1)	14:9,10;54:19;57:6;	105:16;131:21;132:4;	quite (9)
pretty (3)	6:21	85:17;109:17	135:20;136:20	22:20;23:2;39:17;
5:1;17:15;19:11	process (6)	proof (2)	publications (1)	100:2;110:1;111:1;
prevalence (2)	12:12;54:18;59:11;	44:12,21	45:21	112:13;120:20;127:16
12:17;95:19	135:8;136:4,7	proof-of-concept (2)	publicity (1)	, , ,
prevalent (2)	processes (1)	44:10;45:9	135:4	R
66:1;88:10	65:3	proper (1)	publicize (1)	
prevent (5)	product (26)	49:17	29:15	R&D (12)
52:18;54:9;56:5;	15:12;17:13,14;	properly (5)	publicized (1)	22:13,18;23:10,22;
61:7,9	52:16;54:13,19;55:11,	64:22;75:9,22;	71:20	25:18;31:8;63:7,13;
preventing (2)	22;57:6,19;59:18;	103:3,8	published (2)	66:16;68:7;70:4;
53:5;60:17	72:9;83:6;88:7;89:2;	proposed (1)	8:12,15	119:12
Prevention (4)	94:11,22;96:13;	72:12	pull (3)	radio (1)
53:15;56:1;60:12;	105:21;106:15;	prospective (1)	30:9,12;68:4	31:3
68:18	111:15;118:5,6;126:2;	126:7	pulled (1)	raise (2)
preview (2)	133:6,10	protect (2)	69:14	112:3;127:19
11:21;19:22	Products (21)	61:3;64:19	pulling (1)	raised (1)
previous (4)	4:21;5:9,12;53:3;	protecting (2)	50:5	113:3
76:10;81:21;91:2; 126:12	54:8;61:6,8;67:4; 91:2;107:12;114:11,	35:8;84:9 protection (1)	purchase (1) 95:13	raises (1) 72:13
previously (2)	16,17,22;132:18,22;	86:1	95:13 purpose (1)	
72:15;125:16	133:4;134:1,12,18;	protocol-defined (1)	135:5	ran (1) 22:19
primarily (1)	142:3	42:9	pursuant (1)	random (1)
128:8	product's (1)	protocols (1)	58:18	49:13
primary (2)	112:3	38:11	pursue (1)	randomization (1)
44:7;45:5	professional (3)	proud (1)	57:3	102:10
principally (1)	28:7;29:17,20	82:21	pursuing (1)	randomize (2)
41:1	professor (2)	prove (1)	111:19	95:13;122:1
	F 3 (-)	r (-)		

	y			. ,
randomized (16)	101:13,16;102:22;	96:13	47:6;48:8;82:13,20;	28:3
26:8;41:22;42:5,17;	103:3,4,9;104:9,11,	reduce (1)	83:18;84:6;134:13;	repetitions (1)
43:12;44:4,10;45:9,	17;107:7;108:4;	106:9	135:1	46:17
			reimbursement (1)	
10;48:21;49:1;76:14;	111:12;112:22;113:6;	reduced (1)		report (1)
96:7;102:11,12;122:5	114:15;120:21;	25:22	68:3	71:1
Randomized-controlled (14)	123:18;126:1,6,19;	reducing (1)	reiterate (1)	reported (3)
95:4,9,14,19;96:1;	129:5,8;130:11;	58:11	136:1	64:8;91:11;94:8
101:6,8;102:8,11,20;	135:13,14;137:3;	refer (1)	reiterated (1)	reporting (1)
120:6;126:17;129:21;	140:3;141:22;142:6,8	46:11	106:6	58:16
130:6	real-world (8)	reference (1)	related (9)	represent (1)
randomly (1)	31:16;47:17;57:15;	120:17	39:7;52:18;53:6;	95:1
74:13	120:5;122:5;126:5,16;	referred (1)	57:6;61:14;82:9;97:7,	Representatives (2)
range (2)	128:18	31:22	7;136:9	6:18;137:2
50:1;86:17	reason (3)	refine (1)	relating (1)	representing (1)
rapid (1)	73:10;75:11;113:15	90:20	112:2	38:16
23:12	reasonable (4)	refinement (1)	relations (1)	represents (1)
rapidly (1)	15:11;17:15;55:19;	23:12	81:16	62:15
51:18	68:6	reflect (5)	relationship (1)	request (7)
rare (20)	reasons (3)	41:4;71:4,7;79:20;	78:4	11:4;53:22;54:10,
25:19,20;29:13;	24:11;34:20;94:12	100:3	relative (1)	17,20;56:22;57:14
42:16;47:14,16;49:3;	reassuring (1)	reflected (1)	27:1	requested (1)
50:6;60:16;91:15,22;	47:3	72:14	relatively (8)	110:14
95:8;110:22;111:10,	recall (1)	reform (1)	19:10;22:21;45:14;	requests (4)
17;112:6;117:19;	79:10	68:3	64:14;73:3;85:4;91:4;	8:19;54:2;59:13,17
118:6,7;123:4	receive (3)	refractory (11)	131:8	require (2)
rarity (1)	16:15;74:13;98:19	18:2,6,20;39:16;	release (2)	45:14;101:19
12:17	received (6)	44:5;92:15;93:9,17,	135:5;138:11	required (8)
rate (5)	15:19;20:20;	18,21;94:5	relentlessly (1)	8:9;26:12;55:4,17;
49:4;89:5,12,15;	140:20;141:14;	regard (8)	42:7	71:21;76:5;93:16;
116:21	140.20,141.14,	44:18;46:18;49:14;	relevance (1)	106:14
rates (1)	receiving (2)	70:5;72:3;77:10;	36:5	requirement (5)
67:10				
	40:15;99:1	79:10;118:4	relevant (3)	14:9;37:6;68:11;
rather (3)	recent (1)	regarding (5)	11:10;112:17;	104:17;107:21
76:19;84:6;128:10	84:11	67:4;84:12;88:12;	136:13	requirements (8)
ratio (4)	recently (3)	92:17;93:17	reliably (2)	8:8;10:6;66:6;
65:19;75:8;101:18;	38:19;83:9;123:17	regards (11)	102:2;116:20	85:17,22;109:16;
106:11	recognizable (1)	7:11;8:4;15:9;19:2;	reliance (1)	111:16;140:21
reached (1)	113:4	20:16;69:7,14,15;	113:21	requires (3)
69:20	recognize (9)	78:7;87:7;139:6	rely (2)	58:7;77:4;106:20
reactions (1)	16:17;35:13;79:1;	regimen (1)	67:11;100:2	requiring (2)
58:1	106:10;107:1;109:14;	18:2	remain (1)	73:2;106:8
read (1)	112:1;117:13;118:14	regimens (3)	63:17	research (19)
114:11	recognized (1)	18:3,7,8	remarked (1)	38:5,22;62:21;63:5;
readily (4)	71:12	regional (1)	84:11	68:8,17;70:11,18,19;
105:9,16;113:4,10	recognizes (2)	121:19	Remarks (5)	72:9;82:6,12;83:10;
real (5)	10:15;75:9	register (1)	4:3;48:17;71:18;	84:2,18;86:8,10,11;
29:10;57:17;	recognizing (5)	5:18	84:17;141:15	88:1
100:12;122:2;127:19	15:10;19:1;47:9;	registered (1)	remember (2)	Reserve (1)
realistic (1)	63:14;130:13	7:3	66:2;98:17	20:11
57:21	recommendations (4)	registration (1)	removal (3)	residence (1)
reality (2)	38:2;63:12;65:5;	7:22	119:18;125:15;	22:1
92:8;95:7	68:13	registries (2)	130:22	resistance (17)
realize (2)	recommends (1)	46:4;57:16	REMS (1)	14:16;27:10;36:14;
22:17;25:1	67:6	regulating (1)	58:15	39:10,14;40:22,22;
really (63)	record (4)	79:3	renal (1)	41:20;56:7;60:2;
7:15;12:4,12;14:7,	6:20,22;138:5,5	regulations (2)	61:13	62:22;63:4,15;64:20;
17,21;16:12;23:7;	records (2)	12:8;106:19	renewable (1)	67:19,22;71:11
25:2,19;30:7,17;	121:8;140:5	regulationsgov (1)	63:21	resistant (29)
32:21;35:2;37:4;	recruited (1)	21:6	reopened (1)	16:5,5;25:20;36:16;
51:20;54:16;56:5,7,	50:14	regulators (1)	21:2	39:16;40:10;41:6;
20;80:15;90:13,17,22;	recruiting (1)	28:7	repeated (3)	43:6,9,17,18;44:4;
91:3;92:9,21;93:3;	123:3	regulatory (11)	53:12;54:21;75:16	48:2,4,10;64:7;67:8,
90:7,13;99:3,13,16;	redeveloping (1)	24:10;28:10;30:11;	repeatedly (1)	10,00:21,92:15;
91:3;92:9,21;93:3; 96:7,13;99:5,13,16;	redeveloping (1)	24:10;28:10;30:11;	73:12;54:21;75:16 repeatedly (1)	48:2,4,10;64:7;67:8, 10;86:21;92:15;

	1	1	I	T
93:10;94:6,7;98:21;	68:4	run (6)	115:3;140:18	17:21
110:13,22;111:13;	Rex (11)	27:7,9;96:7;97:12;	science (3)	septicemia (1)
				•
112:5;121:11	21:22;22:6,7,9;	127:8;136:20	80:21;81:3;86:13	124:11
resolution (1)	31:20;32:6;34:10;	running (1)	Sciences (1)	series (2)
116:21	36:10;37:9,10;80:6	7:10	22:3	26:3;45:2
resolve (1)	Rex's (2)		scientific (10)	serious (14)
72:5	42:1;76:2	\mathbf{S}	28:20;36:4;47:2;	10:7,9;12:3;13:1;
resolved (1)	RFI (1)		75:19;78:6;99:17;	14:19;17:16;56:2;
79:14	44:8	safe (9)	100:1;115:21;116:8,	62:18;71:12;74:18;
resource (1)	RFIs (2)	17:14,17;59:7;73:2;	17	89:17;91:5,8,13
10:3	39:14;45:15	74:7,14;131:13;142:3;	scientifically (3)	seriously (2)
resources (4)	Rienk (2)	143:8	36:7;102:4;113:19	73:4;78:19
53:1;56:15,17;	118:19;119:2	safety (25)	scientists (1)	seriousness (2)
59:19	right (6)	13:18;15:10;19:10;	62:16	12:11;18:18
respect (2)	6:6;78:22;80:8;	20:10;35:9;36:11;	screen (1)	serve (3)
13:19;53:22	92:22;96:10;101:13	40:11;44:15;47:18;	20:4	6:16;38:13;50:20
respectfully (1)	rigor (2)	49:18;58:8;70:20;	screened (1)	Service (3)
56:22	83:20;103:9	72:22;73:17;75:5,8;	110:18	10:22;17:10;135:21
respiratory (5)	rigorous (3)	83:21;84:10,19;97:10;	Scynexis (2)	serving (4)
19:8;23:5;31:4;	82:13;83:10;86:13	99:19;111:21;126:1,4;	90:2,15	38:10,20;48:11;
61:12;109:3		137:4		50:15
	rings (1)		seasoned (1)	
responded (1)	135:11	sales (1)	46:2	set (2)
18:8	rise (1)	72:21	second (2)	19:10;37:4
response (9)	43:1	salvage (3)	43:20;107:15	setting (9)
52:1;61:20;69:5;	risk (14)	13:9;30:18;86:4	second-line (2)	13:22;26:22;51:13;
78:1;82:18;89:19;	12:3,5;58:6;74:5,	same (10)	43:12,19	55:10;94:21;105:2;
94:1;99:11;103:14	19;78:17,19,20;85:7;	23:19;29:7;46:14;	secondly (3)	124:4;125:19;126:2
responsibility (1)	88:18;89:14;92:19;	50:21;77:4;78:16;	39:8;119:11,16	settings (3)
84:9	94:3,10	101:11,12;106:12;	Section (7)	25:7;30:19;85:13
restricted-use (2)	risk-benefit (8)	126:12	12:7;17:8,10;20:8;	several (11)
106:2;110:8	19:14;24:16;25:2;	same-as (1)	34:16;60:13;77:2	24:2;39:21;41:10,
restricting (1)	26:13;34:3;65:19;	32:16	securing (1)	10;46:12;92:13;
59:3	101:18;124:15	sample (2)	83:11	119:7;120:1;136:22;
restriction (1)	risks (7)	96:18;130:14	security (1)	137:1;138:8
125:15	13:14,22;58:14;	Sarah (5)	84:10	severe (2)
restrictions (4)	74:2;76:7;78:11;	4:11,12;52:12;	seeing (2)	48:6;87:10
119:18;126:22;	85:20	117:6;130:18	32:3;131:3	severely (1)
131:1,18	risk-to-benefit (1)	satisfying (1)	seek (1)	39:21
Restrooms (2)	75:8	140:21	50:12	severity (2)
6:4,7	roadmap (1)	save (3)	seeking (4)	12:17;116:22
result (1)	110:1	37:21;38:14;48:12	17:4;66:14;72:7;	share (2)
27:14	roadmaps (1)	saw (2)	141:12	22:12;67:7
resulting (1)	23:14	42:20;89:7	seemed (2)	shareholders (1)
48:6	robust (5)	saying (4)	18:21;108:20	83:18
results (1)	25:12;44:13;46:15;	28:7;30:5;79:17;	seems (10)	sharp (1)
47:19	58:12;63:21	119:4	48:17;55:10,14,16;	32:21
rethink (1)	Rockville (1)	scary (1)	69:8;75:17;78:9;86:6;	sheer (1)
23:9	136:21	31:6	115:12;138:21	122:6
returns (1)	role (5)	Scedosporium (6)	select (1)	short (1)
68:7	48:10;67:18;68:1;	40:3;43:16,20;45:4;	107:19	134:1
review (9)	107:18,22	47:8;91:15	selecting (1)	shorter (4)
	1			` /
32:13;47:5,13;	roll (1)	scenario (1)	42:10	106:7;119:21;
54:18;57:9;83:4;	142:7	94:13	selective (1)	133:20,21
109:17;112:3;119:19	room (2)	scheduled (1)	56:6	shouldering (2)
reviewed (1)	5:20;41:15	132:3	Senate (3)	49:1;111:13
133:2	roots (1)	schedules (1)	136:22;137:1,2	show (3)
reviews (1)	56:3	143:2	senior (1)	14:2;44:19;97:9
32:11	route (1)	Scholar (1)	4:14	shows (1)
revise (1)	66:14	38:13	sense (3)	18:12
16:22	routinely (3)	Schueler (1)	35:12;105:3;138:15	shut (1)
revising (1)	71:9;74:22;83:15	38:13	separate (2)	30:22
114:5		Schumann (5)	88:2;126:14	shutting (1)
	rules (1)			
reward (1)	23:19	5:14,14;114:4;	September (1)	31:11
	F	F	1	1

1 ubile Wieeting - Li AD i	amway		I	July 12, 2017
sick (3)	skin (1)	137:20;143:4	127:17	stated (1)
31:4;33:21;38:14	23:15	sounded (1)	speed (1)	26:9
	sleeves (1)	63:3	83:20	statement (4)
13:2,4;51:18	142:7	sounds (6)	spend (1)	14:5;75:14;80:16;
` ,	slide (21)	28:17;33:10,17;	137:5	125:8
31:7,12	6:8;12:7;16:15;	48:22;51:12;114:6	spirit (1)	states (14)
sidetracked (1)	22:11;31:22;53:8;	sources (1)	20:15	10:20;17:8;30:3;
137:8	54:1;57:12,22;58:19;	41:20	spoke (1)	57:5;66:1;75:12;77:3,
sign (4)	59:13;60:2,4;114:8;	space (5)	60:12	14;85:9;88:6,10,11,
7:22;132:6,7;	115:20;118:1;124:1,1;	92:8,21;93:4;	sponsor (6)	18;95:20
136:16	125:14;126:19;129:20	105:14;106:22	11:4;57:2;66:14;	statistical (7)
signal (1)	slides (9)	span (1)	67:3,5,6	51:8;77:13;103:4,9;
47:21	6:9;11:21;22:12;	115:21	sponsors (12)	113:12;116:2,13
signed (4)	54:3;57:22;60:3;62:8;	SPARC (1)	17:4;55:20;56:12,	statistics (1)
8:7;132:5,8,9	130:20;142:11	46:12	14;57:1,17;59:4,19,	113:12
significant (6)	slots (1)	sparingly (1)	20;66:22;136:5,10	statute (1)
19:3;92:17;98:14;	7:21	105:19	spread (1)	58:9
	slow (1)	speak (4)	29:17	statutory (1)
signing (1)	112:15	41:4;60:20;98:5;	springboard (2)	66:5
	small (23)	132:19	24:2,14	stay (1)
similar (9)	31:9;33:5;47:16;	speaker (15)	sputum (1)	63:20
40:7;83:1;87:21;	52:22;53:1;56:16;	6:22;7:12;21:21;	108:18	stem (1)
106:17;109:10;116:6;	59:8;63:18;65:13;	37:11;62:1;70:9;	stability (1)	67:22
122:13;133:12;134:10	69:16;76:15,20;85:4;	81:15,22;90:1;103:17;	135:16	step (1)
similarities (1)	95:8;96:19;101:9;	118:19;126:13;132:5,	staff (2)	139:12
134:17	102:21;104:22;111:1,	9;136:15	38:1;137:19	steps (3)
similarly (1)	13;123:22;133:2,22	speakers (13)	staff's (1)	20:18;22:17;24:12
	smaller (6)	6:11,21;7:3,5,15;	80:3	stewardship (10)
simply (1)	15:7;64:14;106:8;	21:10,19;91:3;104:4;	stage (1)	25:12;63:1;66:11;
87:21	119:21;130:6,15	110:21;113:3;132:3;	133:9	68:12,17;118:3,11;
	social (1)	141:18	stages (1)	121:22;122:1;125:12
41:9	139:17	speaker's (1)	79:11	stick (2)
	societal (2)	107:1	stakeholder (1)	7:5,15
35:1	29:19;35:5	speaking (2)	35:19	sticker (1)
	societies (3)	40:21;87:16	stakeholders (1)	25:5
15:4;23:16,20;	28:8;29:17,20	special (4)	35:17	still (22)
	society (8)	47:10;50:18;	standard (13)	10:22;13:6,11;
101:14;105:12;	35:6;38:17;62:2,8,	105:18;138:7	13:11;75:22;76:1,	17:13;24:5;27:4;
108:20;110:6	11;137:3,8;139:2	species (1)	16;77:5,10,16;78:16;	33:12;40:1;42:11;
	solely (1)	41:8	89:11,12,13;118:7,8	67:6;77:4;78:12;
47:15;96:15,18;	76:20	specific (17)	standards (14)	95:17,18;98:8;99:16;
	solution (4)	14:6;18:9;20:1,14;	10:20,21;11:1;12:1;	102:3;105:7;109:5;
site (8)	29:3;35:19,21;	30:19;37:6;49:7,8;	13:6;17:5,5,8,9;75:10;	128:16;130:16;138:9
15:4;122:20,21;	100:19	53:22;69:19;73:16;	76:8;85:8,19;87:21	stimulate (2)
125:3,13;127:10,12,	solutions (5)	97:8;109:20;125:3,19,	standpoint (4)	63:7;83:2
18	31:13,15;44:3;	19;141:1	15:16;32:3;89:4,16	Strains (3)
sites (8)	45:17;68:2	specifically (10)	Staph (1)	43:6,9;85:3
113:14,15,16,20;	solve (5)	10:19;30:5;50:4;	53:17	strategic (1)
120:13;122:1;127:5,	24:3;25:19;29:1;	77:3;86:18;87:8;	staple (1)	4:15
20	100:15;118:1	108:14;122:15;	33:12	strategies (5)
situation (5)	somebody (1)	124:20;135:2	Staring (1)	69:10;76:19;97:19,
15:20;73:5;80:10;	25:15	specificity (3)	117:8	21:98:13
	someone (1)	55:6;57:8,14	start (8)	strategy (1)
situations (5)	27:15	specifies (1)	4:9,11;5:17;7:3;	61:6
	sometimes (8)	112:21	19:12;119:3;120:9;	stratified (1)
100:15;124:9	92:4;102:9;127:13,	specify (1)	127:3	128:12
Situro (1)	15;130:2,4,5;138:10	118:9	started (1)	streamline (1)
	somewhat (2)	spectrum (13)	4:5	14:14
size (4)	87:12;122:13	11:18;52:17;105:5,	starts (1)	streamlined (14)
, ,				
23,22,90.10.	*	7:107:14:108:2.5:	/:19	14:10:04:0:001
	sorry (1)	7;107:14;108:2,5; 114:11.16.16.22:	7:19 State (4)	14:13;34:5;55:1; 56:12:57:13:58:10:
100:10;102:2	sorry (1) 5:6	114:11,16,16,22;	State (4)	56:12;57:13;58:10;
100:10;102:2	sorry (1)			

done wreeting Errib	T diff way	T	I	July 12, 2019
117:20;120:17	submitting (3)	31:10	30:2;38:8	tension (2)
strength (1)	21:2,7;142:12	support (9)	systems (1)	35:13;61:5
107:3	suboptimal (1)	44:15;68:8,13;	46:13	term (1)
strengthen (5)	98:4	69:12;75:19;84:17;		39:14
63:12;65:6;68:20;	Subpart (5)	111:21,22;133:16	T	terms (3)
70:4;123:22	12:7;106:19;	supporting (3)		44:1;45:16;81:3
strengthening (1)	108:17;109:12,19	97:4,6,6	table (1)	terrible (1)
64:12	subpopulation (1)	supportive (4)	7:22	27:9
strictly (1)	112:17	46:6;47:5;52:15;	TAG (4)	test (2)
123:20	Subsection (1)	108:22	82:5,5,14;83:7	36:22;121:21
strike (1)	17:7	supports (2)	tailored (1)	tested (4)
17:18	subset (4)	65:3;119:12	97:20	73:11,15;124:2,3
stringent (1)	11:14;94:17;96:2;	suppose (1)	talk (10)	testing (2)
65:18	104:21	32:9	8:19;16:19;22:12;	73:19;121:12
strong (1)	substantial (15)	sure (10)	26:10;33:19;34:17;	Thanks (21)
33:8	18:10,14;26:1,3,10;	6:15;7:16;35:9;	90:17;91:3;136:5;	5:15,16;22:7;31:19;
stronger (1) 33:8	58:8;77:6;91:19;92:7, 19,21;93:14;95:2;	69:20,21;78:12;97:17; 114:8;126:1;136:18	139:20	48:15;51:12;62:12;
strongest (1)	19,21,93:14,95:2;	surface (1)	talked (6)	68:19;69:2;70:7; 86:16;89:21;114:2;
56:9	substantially (2)	58:3	13:5;69:9;102:13; 105:10;115:11;116:6	115:6;121:13,17;
strongly (1)	76:21;93:13	surgeries (1)	talking (9)	134:6;135:10;136:14;
54:11	substantiate (1)	64:3	19:12;95:8;101:9,	141:14,16
struck (1)	101:17	surprise (2)	10,14,15;116:11;	theme (3)
104:9	successful (2)	127:10;135:19	117:3;122:10	78:9;117:15;128:21
structure (1)	43:3;143:6	surprised (1)	talks (1)	therapeutic (7)
107:2	suffering (1)	135:20	14:21	9:14;39:9;40:13;
struggling (1)	40:16	surprising (1)	tangent (1)	97:19,21;98:12;99:18
63:20	sufficient (9)	9:3	135:7	therapeutics (3)
studied (11)	42:3;77:12;85:18;	surrogate (5)	tank (2)	63:1;105:5;132:22
38:8;46:22;48:20;	87:14;111:21;112:7;	18:11;106:20;	70:18;82:7	therapies (10)
78:4;86:21;108:16;	123:13;126:4,5	108:18;113:13;123:12	target (5)	14:18;17:17;91:14,
114:22;124:16,18;	sufficiently (2)	surveillance (1)	49:7,11;61:15;97:5;	19,20;93:10;94:16;
128:8;141:7	48:21;97:10	25:13	111:9	98:18,22;99:4
studies (21)	suggest (3)	survives (1)	targeted (6)	therapy (22)
35:11;42:8;46:8,10,	55:16;58:4;59:6	41:11	33:21;61:9;68:5;	10:13,15;15:19;
16;47:1,16;49:15;	suggesting (1)	survivor (1)	105:6;108:5;114:10	27:13;39:4;41:2;42:9;
58:17;65:12,13;66:19;	134:9	84:19	targeting (5)	83:5;92:14,16;94:2;
77:7;97:1,4,7,12;	suggestions (2)	survivors (1)	33:15;43:21;48:2;	98:2,8,9,20;99:1;
100:8;103:3;107:5;	24:12;29:9	42:13 Susan (1)	69:15;112:22	107:18,18;113:9;
123:22 study (33)	suggests (3) 55:8;73:10;74:17	84:11	taurolidine (1)	133:13;134:15,17
25:22;35:2;38:16;	suitable (3)	susceptibility (3)	52:17 TB (2)	therefore (2) 74:1;124:4
44:3,6,20;45:12;46:5;	96:17;98:14;101:6	16:2;94:8;121:2	83:14;86:19	thinking (14)
49:1,2;51:16;61:16;	Sumathi (3)	susceptible (8)	teaches (1)	14:14;21:20;33:11;
67:7;73:8;96:15,16,	5:11;88:3;115:7	16:3;36:17,19,22;	127:11	48:16;54:6;55:1;
18;97:11;99:13;	summarize (1)	43:10,10;50:11;61:12	team (1)	57:14;58:3;69:22;
105:22;109:1;110:12,	112:9	suspect (1)	136:13	99:13;108:10;117:2,5;
17,20;111:7,13;	summarized (1)	54:20	teased (1)	128:20
117:19;128:2,11;	54:1	suspension (1)	128:18	third (1)
129:3,9,18;130:3	summarizes (1)	17:20	Tech (1)	32:12
studying (7)	59:13	sustain (1)	23:8	thirst (1)
33:20;50:6;110:22;	summary (2)	68:15	technical (4)	16:18
111:12;112:5;116:19;	19:17;48:1	Sweeney (1)	71:3,6;80:11;81:22	Thomas (2)
117:16	superior (2)	140:19	technologies (1)	37:12,17
stuff (2)	15:18;76:14	swept (1)	136:12	thorny (1)
30:8;121:12	superiority (15)	24:8	tells (2)	72:6
subject (2)	15:15,22;16:6;24:4;	syndrome (1)	25:7;56:4	though (3)
6:17,19	26:20,22;27:7,14,17,	66:21	tenacious (1)	73:4;74:21;78:15
submit (2)	20;28:5,16;29:19;	synonym (1)	41:14	thought (5)
21:3;142:17	65:15;103:5	29:18 exetom (3)	tenet (1)	8:20;22:16;33:18;
submitted (6) 57:7;72:15;84:17;	supplementary (1) 126:6	system (3) 46:17;68:14;137:12	34:8 tenets (1)	79:6;87:8 thoughts (9)
110:14;111:6;142:15	supply (1)	systemic (2)	33:18	24:13;32:2;69:13;
	Suppij (1)	Systemic (2)	55.10	21.13,32.2,07.13,

dulic Meeting - Li AD	i amway			July 12, 2017
87:5;88:8,13;102:17;	37:15;48:15,17	143:1	trigger (1)	12:2;15:1,8;18:18,
115:10;127:22	tomorrow's (1)	travels (1)	11:2	22;74:19;130:15
	34:19	143:8	trizaole-resistant (1)	
threat (4)		treat (11)		unclear (1) 111:17
39:19,20;42:6;	ton (1)		39:18	
71:13	70:1	10:7;12:18;27:2,3,	trouble (1)	unconscious (1)
threatening (2)	took (1)	4;39:2;44:14;56:2;	32:14	138:10
23:4;91:8	109:2	64:18;67:15;92:10	true (7)	under (39)
threats (1)	tool (4)	treatable (1)	25:7;34:13;55:11;	8:6,8;9:22;10:2,20,
67:21	26:20;28:2;30:18;	63:22	74:20;113:19;129:9;	21;11:1;17:4,6,8,9;
three (5)	90:19	treated (6)	135:11	18:12;20:2,17;43:22;
22:17;34:16;39:4;	tools (2)	34:1;37:1,3;39:5;	truly (4)	46:11;54:19;55:2,4;
43:19;92:9	29:6;66:15	101:22;109:4	64:19;88:10;96:6;	59:12;65:13,16;66:6;
threshold (1)	top (3)	treating (2)	112:5	82:19;84:20;85:7,19;
130:16	20:2;25:10;118:12	92:5;130:12	Trust (1)	88:16;106:19;124:14;
throughout (1)	topic (2)	treatment (38)	22:2	125:20;131:18;
130:8	54:21;77:18	13:2;14:17,19;	try (15)	132:16;133:7;134:1,
throw (1)	topically (1)	17:22;18:1,3,7,8;	7:5,15;9:19;11:21;	16,17,19;135:15
69:17	105:4	20:13;43:3;44:7;45:5;	21:13;69:10;99:3,13,	underlying (1)
thus (1)	totally (2)	62:21;64:13;66:17;	17,18;120:15;129:22;	34:8
104:10	100:16;103:1	67:12;71:12;72:6;	130:7,9;142:8	undermine (1)
tide (1)	touch (1)	73:22;76:15,17;81:17;	trying (13)	83:21
67:22	120:11	82:5;92:12;93:7,7,11,	12:21;17:18;21:16;	underscores (1)
Tierney (3)	touched (2)	15;100:7,11;102:3;	60:15;93:2;100:15,21;	63:9
4:14,14;136:8	119:22;120:5	109:7;110:10;118:10;	102:13;117:18;	understands (1)
time-killed (1)	tough (2)	123:5;125:2,4,18	125:17;130:1,16;	66:12
46:8	117:11;127:21	treatments (4)	138:20	understood (1)
timeline (1)	toward (3)	18:21;82:8;89:5;	tuberculosis (7)	82:12
57:9	42:18;43:15;46:7	93:9	82:9;83:9;85:2,6;	undertaken (1)
timelines (2)	towards (3)	tremendous (2)	86:22;89:4,17	50:13
7:15;135:15	7:19;21:1;76:11	21:20;114:17	two (16)	undertaking (1)
times (7)	toxicity (2)	trends (1)	18:13;24:14;33:19;	135:12
25:9;64:9;122:12;	94:10,11	120:20	39:21;41:20;45:5,17;	undoing (1)
127:15;135:12;	track (3)	trial (51)	97:16;100:8;108:8;	64:4
136:22;137:1	61:12;82:16;83:4	13:9;15:4;26:18;	114:12;119:14;120:9;	unequivocal (1)
timing (1)	tract (2)	27:8,9;36:2;42:5,17;	122:8;129:8;130:20	44:1
54:18	110:5,11	43:12;44:10,22;45:3,	type (11)	unfortunately (3)
tissue (2)	trade (1)	3,9,10;48:22;49:2,12;	65:18;70:3;74:9;	48:5;53:11;62:9
120:11;127:4	83:19	51:14;58:12;65:9;	95:6;108:17,19;125:3;	unique (1)
title (1)	tradeoff (2)	69:17;74:10,12;78:3;	127:9;130:13;135:3;	58:21
22:12	15:11;35:5	86:4;96:1,8;101:1,7;	138:17	unit (1)
today (33)	Tradeoff-free (2)	102:11;105:13;106:1;	types (7)	124:10
6:22;16:13,19,20,	31:13;35:21	108:21;110:7,19;	45:5;81:2;95:13;	United (8)
21;21:5,11;22:5;	tradeoffs (1)	120:7;121:15;122:8,	110:17;122:8;125:11;	30:3;66:1;85:9;
23:11;30:10;37:15,19;	29:14	15;123:16,21;126:7,	133:19	88:6,10,11,18;95:20
52:6;54:21;59:16;	traditional (9)	17;127:11;129:3,21;	Typically (5)	universe (1)
63:9;81:13,18;89:21;	15:6;41:17;49:20;	130:4,5,6,10	6:11;92:2,13;93:18;	22:11
90:9;103:16,21;	64:16;66:9;95:3;	trial[s] (1)	97:1	University (1)
111:11;117:15;	98:15;119:9;123:20	58:9	tyrosine (1)	37:14
118:17;119:7;120:21;	training (2)	trials (48)	47:22	Unlike (1)
132:1;141:18;142:16,	56:4;136:19	15:3,7,8,14;16:1;	47.22	71:2
21;143:1,9	transcending (1)	19:9;23:17,20;26:2;	U	unlikely (2)
today's (2)	41:17	27:17;34:14;41:22;	0	86:9;103:8
20:21;62:13	transcripts (1)	44:4;59:8;64:16;	IIIZ (1)	unmet (36)
			UK (1) 30:13	
together (12)	6:12	65:11;68:9;73:3;		10:9,10,12,17,18;
8:10,21;9:19;35:17, 18;70:1;104:12;	transduction (1) 47:21	76:14,18,20;93:19; 95:4,9,14,19;99:21;	ultimately (3) 32:7;48:11;76:22	12:4,11;13:13;14:19; 15:13;18:19;33:15,21;
138:8;139:14,16;	translate (1)	100:5,17;101:3,8,13;	umbrella (1)	34:4;36:3,6;39:2,13;
142:22;143:6	32:4	102:1,7,8,20;105:17;	124:14	53:7;59:10;68:6;73:5;
told (1)	transmission (1)	106:8;116:15;119:21;	unambiguous (1)	74:18;75:7;82:18;
76:18	41:18	120:2,6;123:11;127:7;	26:15	88:11;91:19;92:7;
tolerated (1)	transplants (1)	130:2;131:2;139:6,6	unapproved (1)	95:2;98:14;104:13;
93:11 Tom (3)	64:2 traveled (1)	triazole (2) 41:1;43:6	141:1 uncertainty (7)	105:8,20;106:5; 109:20;112:18
10III (3)	u aveicu (1)	41.1,43.0	uncertainty (1)	107.20,112.18
-	1	1	1	

unprecedented (1)	61:9;64:18;75:19;	23:15;42:8;126:21	WALINKSY (1)	well-defined (1)
41:9	80:21;86:4;92:10;	varying (1)	130:19	18:5
untreatable (1)	105:19;109:12,19;	43:4	WALINSKY (8)	well-designed (1)
31:1	112:17;113:9;114:21;	vascular (1)	4:12,13;52:12;	73:3
untreated (1)	118:10;120:14,17;	53:12	60:11;117:7;131:20;	well-developed (1)
101:22	124:6,8,13;128:9,11;	vast (1)	134:8:135:9	46:10
unusual (1)	141:7	63:19	walk (4)	well-documented (1)
27:1	useful (7)	VenatoRx (1)	27:4,5;31:7;108:8	41:19
up (27)	66:22;67:16;108:5;	103:18	walked (1)	what's (3)
4:11,22;6:8;7:22;	109:15;113:8;119:4;	venous (2)	23:1	36:4;87:6;102:15
8:2;23:1;32:10;43:14;	125:22	52:20;55:13	Walsh (10)	whatsoever (1)
45:9;51:13;78:13;	uses (1)	ventilator-associated (1)	37:12,17,18;46:21;	128:10
79:5;91:11,12;99:2;	24:20	124:9	48:16;49:6;50:13;	Where's (1)
100:13;102:9;115:12,	using (13)	versus (6)	51:17;52:3,4	28:15
19;116:14,14;132:5,6,	15:3,14;23:6;27:22;	32:4;49:11;95:9;	warn (1)	Whereupon (1)
8,9;136:16;142:11	31:14;54:15;65:17;	108:19;109:4;120:5	75:9	143:12
update (1)	105:12;108:21;	via (8)	way (31)	whilst (2)
29:21	113:11,13;117:17;	4:7;66:5;92:2;	6:4,5;8:1,20,22;	125:20;131:11
updated (2)	128:12	140:20;141:13,14,19,	11:10;12:5;16:8;	whole (3)
29:22;123:17	usual (2)	21	22:15;24:18,22;26:14;	34:14;61:1,3
uploaded (1)	49:5;73:8	viable (2)	29:6;34:6;35:11,20;	who's (5)
6:9	usually (1)	117:21,22	37:2,3;40:19;50:21;	4:5,11;21:22;70:10;
upon (22)	42:22	vice (1)	73:1;82:17;86:11;	90:2
13:16;16:2;42:1;	UTI (4)	118:20	91:3;94:20;104:22;	wide (1)
43:5;44:21;45:16;	111:20;124:3,5;	videotape (1)	109:18;114:11;119:8,	86:17
47:11,14;50:10;67:12;	128:8	6:20	9;137:12	widening (1)
80:2;82:22;100:2;	utility (3)	views (1)	ways (9)	89:7
108:17;109:9;110:6,	64:20;83:6;118:6	77:18	16:15;26:11;34:21;	wider (4)
12;116:18,22;119:22;	utilize (4)	virtually (1)	43:15;70:3;96:12;	15:5;49:22;89:9;
120:5,11	16:16;66:15;	40:4	129:1,13,17	105:18
upper (1)	102:14;115:1	virus (2)	web (7)	willing (2)
23:5	utilized (3)	31:5;82:10	21:6;140:11,14,15,	74:18;96:12
upstream (1)	64:22;113:7;129:14	vis-a-vis (1)	19;141:19,21	wind (1)
30:22	utilizing (1)	43:20	webcast (4)	26:13
urge (1)	134:16	visual (1)	4:7;6:10;140:20;	wisely (1)
68:15	UTIs (1)	70:15	141:14	25:14
urgency (1)	23:15	vital (2)	webpage (1)	wishing (1)
64:5		67:18;82:20	6:12	29:4
urgent (3)	\mathbf{V}	vitro (5)	website (5)	within (8)
40:6;48:1;82:18		46:8;67:13;96:22;	6:8;9:18,18;19:18;	26:11;37:20;39:13;
urgently (3)	vaccine (2)	101:11;107:4	140:5	51:1;68:2;95:12;
65:1,8;68:5	61:2,3	vitro/in (1)	weeks (1)	113:15;128:13
urinary (2)	vaccines (1)	97:4	92:13	without (10)
110:5,11	61:1	vivo (4)	weigh (1)	19:1;21:19;25:3;
usage (1)	valid (3)	46:9;96:22;97:4;	14:22	27:5;40:12;63:21;
20:7	73:3;99:22;102:4	107:5	weighing (1)	74:19;77:16;109:22;
use (43)	validation (1)	volume (1)	13:21	121:11
14:5;15:5;19:3;	133:18	122:6	weight (1)	witness (1)
20:12,14;26:11;29:15;	valuable (2)	vori (2)	100:20	139:18
43:13;52:19,20;55:12,	54:10;66:11	43:20;47:7	Welcome (10)	witnessed (1)
19;57:15;58:20,22;	value (3)	voriconazole (2)	4:5,7;37:12,18;	39:3
59:1,4;61:2;63:8;	22:21;33:5;65:18	43:10,13	52:9;62:4;70:11;	Wittmer (10)
64:6;65:2,9;66:5,8;	vancomycin-resistant (1)	vulnerable (1)	81:19;86:7;119:1	103:17;104:1,2;
67:7;68:21;86:1;	53:18	48:5	welcomed (1)	114:2,3,14;115:16;
97:14;98:10;99:2;	variable (1)		119:5	117:13;118:15,18
102:1;104:18;106:14,	100:6	\mathbf{W}	Wellcome (1)	wonder (1)
20;109:17;110:1;	variables (1)	* *	22:2	109:11
118:4;123:6,7,19;	47:5	waiting (1)	well-conducted (2)	wondering (2)
124:19;141:2,8	variation (1)	35:1	44:20;45:11	88:5;127:4
used (31)	100:9	wake (1)	well-controlled (10)	Woodcock (1)
13:8;15:6;16:13;	variety (1)	43:3	26:2;77:6;95:22;	74:4
25:14,17;26:16;30:2;	69:9	Walgreens (1)	96:7;105:13;110:7;	word (4)
32:18;36:7;44:15;	various (3)	26:17	126:7;130:2,4,10	24:19,20;29:17;
52.10,50.7,44.15,	various (3)	20.17	120.7,130.2,4,10	24.17,20,27.17,

	lauiway	T	
107:16	38:21,21;50:14;	2000s (1)	6:13
wording (1)	51:4,11	22:19	
135:2	YOUNG (7)	2003 (1)	5
words (3)	132:6,11;136:18,18;	30:21	
20:3;74:8;75:12	139:10,22;140:9	2004 (1)	5 (2)
work (31)	137.10,22,140.7	63:5	
	7		7:11;24:20
9:5;18:15;21:1;	\mathbf{Z}	2007 (1)	50 (5)
28:2;29:5;30:3;32:18;		23:11	43:8;89:6;91:12,17;
36:21;38:15;49:22;	Zemdri (2)	2009 (1)	98:9
51:10;67:20;72:5;	110:3;124:3	72:20	505c (2)
73:6;74:15;83:14;	zones (1)	2016 (1)	10:20;17:9
84:16;94:18;95:3,4,6,	26:11	8:8	505d (1)
14;99:17,19;116:16;		2018 (4)	17:9
127:14;130:7;132:14;	0	8:13,14;17:21;	506h1b (1)
140:6;142:6,22		32:12	17:7
worked (4)	0.1 (1)	2019 (1)	5-7 (1)
23:18;34:16;70:1;	65:17	23:11	94:1
127:18	0.4 (1)	2100 (1)	5-minute (1)
	, ,	110:18	7:7
workers (1)	24:8		7:7
139:17	0.5 (1)	21st (7)	
workforce (1)	26:6	8:6;25:12;55:4;	6
68:16	-	71:21;76:5;77:2;	
working (11)	1	79:11	6 (2)
20:18;26:10;29:11;		23,000 (1)	98:19;99:1
38:14,18;46:16;60:13;	1 (4)	71:13	60 (5)
135:15;137:12;142:8;	42:14;65:18;98:19;	25 (1)	27:6;42:12;89:6;
143:7	102:12	82:11	91:11;110:19
works (9)	1.0 (1)	25,000 (1)	61 (1)
17:13;29:3;36:15,	22:18	95:21	72:21
17;74:22;83:8;89:2;	10 (6)	26 (2)	72.21
127:9;130:12	, ,	72:20;89:15	7
	7:4;26:7;29:22;	72.20,89.13	/
workshop (1)	57:12;96:5;127:7	3	7 (2)
6:8	10-minute (1)	3	7 (2)
world (1)	7:6	2 (0)	96:6;124:1
41:13	11 (1)	3 (8)	70 (1)
worse (1)	57:22	42:14;53:8;56:14;	110:19
35:3	11,000 (1)	57:18,21;95:22;	
worth (2)			8
	11,000 (1)	57:18,21;95:22;	8
worth (2)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1)	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4)	
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18	80 (2)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1)	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5)	
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10;	80 (2) 40:2;42:8
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1)	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15	80 (2)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1)	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3)	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2)	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4)	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13,	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1)	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1)	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1)	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1)	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1)	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5 94 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4 Y year (5) 21:5;29:22;95:21;	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9 1980 (1)	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4 Y year (5) 21:5;29:22;95:21; 98:19;99:2	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21 4	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5 94 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4 Y year (5) 21:5;29:22;95:21; 98:19;99:2 years (7)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9 1980 (1) 72:20	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21 4 4 (2) 54:1;114:8	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5 94 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4 Y year (5) 21:5;29:22;95:21; 98:19;99:2 years (7) 12:9,13;27:6;29:22;	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9 1980 (1)	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21 4 4 (2) 54:1;114:8 40 (3)	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5 94 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4 Y year (5) 21:5;29:22;95:21; 98:19;99:2 years (7) 12:9,13;27:6;29:22; 82:11;95:22;127:7	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9 1980 (1) 72:20	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21 4 4 (2) 54:1;114:8 40 (3) 42:8;43:5;98:9	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5 94 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4 Y year (5) 21:5;29:22;95:21; 98:19;99:2 years (7) 12:9,13;27:6;29:22; 82:11;95:22;127:7 yellow (1)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9 1980 (1) 72:20 2	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21 4 4 (2) 54:1;114:8 40 (3) 42:8;43:5;98:9 42 (1)	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5 94 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4 Y year (5) 21:5;29:22;95:21; 98:19;99:2 years (7) 12:9,13;27:6;29:22; 82:11;95:22;127:7 yellow (1) 20:6	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9 1980 (1) 72:20 2 2 (5) 24:20;25:10;44:6;	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21 4 4 (2) 54:1;114:8 40 (3) 42:8;43:5;98:9 42 (1) 72:20	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5 94 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4 Y year (5) 21:5;29:22;95:21; 98:19;99:2 years (7) 12:9,13;27:6;29:22; 82:11;95:22;127:7 yellow (1) 20:6 yesterday (1)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9 1980 (1) 72:20 2 (5) 24:20;25:10;44:6; 95:21;109:1	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21 4 4 (2) 54:1;114:8 40 (3) 42:8;43:5;98:9 42 (1) 72:20 420,000 (1)	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5 94 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4 Y year (5) 21:5;29:22;95:21; 98:19;99:2 years (7) 12:9,13;27:6;29:22; 82:11;95:22;127:7 yellow (1) 20:6 yesterday (1) 31:2	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9 1980 (1) 72:20 2 2 (5) 24:20;25:10;44:6; 95:21;109:1 2.0 (2)	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21 4 4 (2) 54:1;114:8 40 (3) 42:8;43:5;98:9 42 (1) 72:20 420,000 (1) 53:10	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5 94 (1)
worth (2) 116:11;117:2 worthless (1) 29:18 wrapper (2) 138:6,12 write (1) 27:18 written (3) 11:3;72:15;133:12 wrote (1) 80:4 Y year (5) 21:5;29:22;95:21; 98:19;99:2 years (7) 12:9,13;27:6;29:22; 82:11;95:22;127:7 yellow (1) 20:6 yesterday (1)	11,000 (1) 62:15 11:39 (1) 143:12 11:50 (1) 7:19 11th (1) 23:11 12 (3) 38:7;58:19;89:10 12th (4) 21:5;23:11;142:13, 18 14 (1) 59:13 16 (1) 64:9 1980 (1) 72:20 2 (5) 24:20;25:10;44:6; 95:21;109:1	57:18,21;95:22; 102:11;108:20 3.0 (4) 22:13;24:1,3;25:18 30 (5) 6:13;14:10;57:7,10; 91:15 312 (1) 12:7 351 (2) 10:21;17:10 38 (1) 89:12 3-minute (1) 7:21 4 4 (2) 54:1;114:8 40 (3) 42:8;43:5;98:9 42 (1) 72:20 420,000 (1)	80 (2) 40:2;42:8 9 9 (3) 31:22;99:2;118:1 9:00 (1) 4:4 9:01 (1) 4:2 90 (1) 43:5 94 (1)