

CBER Advisory Committees

SLIDE 1

This presentation will discuss the CBER Advisory Committees.

SLIDE 2

CBER has an advisory committee program in which outside experts advise and help the agency. The agency itself has considerable expertise, with some of the best scientists and clinicians.

However, it's difficult to keep up with the challenges of developing cross-cutting new technologies in areas such as gene therapy, stem cell products, genomics, transgenic plants and animals, xenotransplantation, and some of the new vaccines and recombinant therapeutics such as clotting factors. Companies may come in and ask the agency how to develop a product that they want to put on the market in three to five years with this new technology. Trying to design a roadmap is very difficult, and advisory committees can help.

SLIDE 3

FDA's philosophy for using advisory committees is simple. The most sound basis for wise regulatory decisions is good scientific evidence, and the thoughtful advice of public-spirited experts who have taken the time to study, and listen, and learn the issues. So CBER will bring in experts from all over the country. It used to be from all over the world, but as you will soon see, these experts have to be U.S. Government employees. If they're not, they're processed to become U.S. Government employees, known as "special government employee", or SGE.

That really limits participation to U.S. citizens or Permanent Resident Aliens. However, the speakers at the advisory committees and the people who contribute are, of course, from all over the world.

All advice from advisory committees is in the form of recommendations. There is no requirement for the agency to follow the recommendations of an advisory committee, but, in fact, it is most commonly followed.

SLIDE 4

CBER has four advisory committees, which are listed on this slide. They range across the various product categories that CBER regulates. But the four advisory committees staffed with experts are really not enough to address all the issues the Center may have to handle.

So frequently, the Center will go to the Advisory Committees of the other Centers. In total, FDA has 33 advisory committees. Some of those advisory committees have subcommittees and panels. A large number of advisory committees are available to advise CBER and give their recommendations. Sometimes in the case of a cross-

cutting issues there may be a joint meeting held between more than one committee or members invited from several committees to give advice on a specific topic.

SLIDE 5

Who are on the advisory committees? Scientific, clinical, and technical experts. In addition, there's always a statistician, a consumer representative, and an industry representative on a committee.

You may ask why there's both a consumer representative and an industry representative, if these are scientific and technical advisory committees? It is believed that the more input received from different perspectives, the better, including input received from consumers and industry.

An industry representative, or IR, is usually employed by a particular firm, nominated and selected by a group of firms to serve as their representative for a specific Advisory Committee; an IR is not appointed as a Special Government Employee, cannot attend closed sessions, and cannot vote. But, an IR can sit at the table and enter into the discussions throughout the advisory committee meeting. They are expected to provide general industry perspectives on a particular topic but not the views of his or her employer firm.

The Consumer representative, or CR is expected to have demonstrated consumer advocacy experience. The CR is selected by a consortium of consumer groups to represent their interests at a particular advisory committee. CR is appointed as an SGE, can attend closed sessions and have voting privileges.

In addition to the scientific, clinical, and technical experts, there will be patient representatives if the meeting topic involves a life-threatening illness such as cancer, HIV, and other life-threatening diseases.

When the topic of a meeting involves a Product Application under review by the Center, the Committee should have two experts in the particular disease or condition that the product is intended to treat.

SLIDE 6

On average, there are about 12 to 17 members for every advisory committee. In addition to advisory committee members, there's a pool of consultants who are employed as SGEs. CBER has about 300 SGE consultants. So, if there is a short question on a particular topic, or a need for targeted advice, an individual SGE consultant may be asked for input as part of an Agency Directed Assignment. However, if there is a major issue for consideration, CBER takes the issue to a full committee, and receives the recommendations from the full committee. Even at the Advisory Committee meetings, some of these SGE consultants may be asked to participate as temporary voting or non-voting members to augment the Committee's expertise which is otherwise not available.

SLIDE 7

So how does CBER know when to take an issue to the full advisory committee? The types of advice being sought from advisory committees are recommendations regarding the design of clinical trials, the development of guidelines, recommendations concerning post-marketing studies, and recommendations on whether the benefits outweigh the risks for the conditions posed on the label. CBER also utilizes Advisory Committee meetings for the review of its intramural research programs conducted to support its regulatory mission and of the progress made by the individual Investigators in conducting such mission-relevant research.

There is a strong research program in CBER, though the researchers also do review work and are commonly referred to as research-reviewers. It is the advisory committees which review their research, because the committees are composed of experts in the various fields, and can provide substantive reviews of the research programs.

SLIDE 8

And of course, CBER also does product approvals. Many, though not all, pending product approvals are taken to advisory committees.

What are the types of product approvals that would be taken to an advisory committee meeting? They have to be significant new products, those with significant potential for risk compared to a normal therapeutic benefit; those with controversial efficacy; those under consideration for post-marketing studies; those that may be withdrawn from the market because of safety or questionable efficacy; and other products in which the public expresses a significant interest.

So routine product approvals may not be taken to an advisory committee, but product approvals meeting the criteria listed above, would likely be taken to an advisory committee meeting.

SLIDE 9

In addition to getting advice in advisory committee meetings, CBER uses the venue as an opportunity to serve as a public forum. The process is very transparent, so the public can see not just what advice FDA receives, but the process behind the advice, and the deliberation involved in it. There could be a closed meeting, or part of a meeting, when it's necessary to discuss trade secret information or individual personnel actions.

SLIDE 10

In general FDA holds open advisory committee meetings which, again, are public forums. Any member of the public can come and listen to the debate, and even participate in the meetings. Each of the advisory committees has approximately one hour set aside for an open public hearing. Anyone can come and comment during that time. Also, in order to enable public participation, all the briefing materials sent to the advisory committee members must be added to the CBER website at least 48 hours before a meeting, if the meeting topic involves a product application under review for approval, or earlier if it is not a product approval.

This is done so the public can see the issues and review information that the committee members are reviewing in their deliberations.

SLIDE 11

This slide shows a typical advisory committee agenda, for a single topic going before an advisory committee. Typically, there are two topics going on the same day, but it's possible to squeeze in even more.

Basically, FDA staff would introduce the topic, and the sponsor would give the presentation. Equal time is generally allotted for both sponsor and FDA presentations. This example shows each presentation has been given 90 minutes. FDA's presentation would never be longer than the time allowed for the sponsor. There is also at least one hour of open public hearing for the public to present their comments prior to the committee discussion.

Afterwards, there is a committee discussion, voting, and adjournment. With this standard meeting format, the sponsor is given an equal opportunity with FDA to make presentations before the committee. The committee acts as an independent, outside body of experts, hearing both "sides" in order to provide its advice to the agency.

SLIDE 12

Each committee has a chair who runs the meetings. FDA has a full time Staff member called the "Designated Federal Officer (DFO)", who may have responsibility for one or more advisory committees, and provides the administrative support for them.

The DFO is also responsible for collecting and processing nominations for their assigned advisory committees, all the logistics, the scheduling of the meetings, the documentation, and adherence to the statutes and policies that govern advisory committees.

SLIDE 13

The DFO is really the focal point for communications between the committee members, the press, the general public, FDA reviewers and, of course, the sponsor.

FDA reviewers do not communicate directly with the committee members. They go through the DFO. When a sponsor brings an issue before an advisory committee, they are not allowed to contact the advisory committee members directly. All communications must go through the DFO in order to exchange information and or any documents. So, the designated federal official is really the central link in the advisory committee program.

SLIDE 14

Who is invited to serve on an advisory committee? They must be either full time government employees from other Agencies outside of FDA, or go through Government

personnel actions to become "Special Government Employees". In fact, most members are academics, not full-time government employees.

A common question asked by prospective members is "how much time is it going to take to serve?" The answer is that they would be meeting in person possibly three to four times a year plus the time needed to fill out paperwork. Paperwork should be filled out in about one to two weeks. There are about 21 different forms and, more importantly, the forms include all the rules and regulations involved in being an advisory committee member.

SLIDE 15

If someone is just going to give advice, why does FDA have so many rules for a committee member? Because the person will be made an SGE, the paperwork they are asked to sign makes them acknowledge they are subject to criminal prosecution. The committee members are required, by law, to protect privileged information and ensure they have no conflicts of interest, or COI.

SLIDE 16

Conflicts of interest are a very major concern with the advisory committee program. Some of the FDA regulated products, of course, involve a lot of money. Therefore, the FDA wants to make sure that advisory committee member recommendations are impartial and fair.

SLIDE 17

It is important to always have the public trust. No matter how good the advice is, if the public does not have confidence and trust in the advice that the advisory committee is giving, then the advice will not be as useful to the agency as it should be. So it's important that there be no conflict of interest issues, and that the public perceives the advisory committee process to be fair, since it is their tax dollars paying for the advisory committee meeting.

SLIDE 18

What are the laws on COI prohibitions? The FDA advisory committee members may not participate in a particular matter's discussion if they have a direct, or an imputed financial interest that may be affected by the outcome of the meeting. The effect must be direct and predictable, and not speculative.

SLIDE 19

That is what the rule says. But what really happens? Let's say FDA contacts a university and asks a professor to help the FDA in an advisory committee program.

The professor may have a few stocks and bonds set aside for retirement. FDA would ask the professor to disclose everything in the stock portfolio and that of their spouse or general partner. FDA also asks if the spouse or general partner is employed by industry. If this information poses a conflict of interest, FDA may not be able to use that person in an advisory committee program.

Though FDA likes to include people on the forefront of technology and doing research, these persons may have research grants and other funding contracts with regulated firms. FDA needs to be very cautious when, and if, using these people. And though the person's advice is really appreciated, FDA will need to weigh the 'excess baggage' this person may bring before allowing that person to sit in an advisory committee meeting.

SLIDE 20

Each meeting participant potentially has something to disclose, so every participant is screened. Each person is asked to list all financial holdings that may potentially be impacted by the discussions and outcome of an advisory committee meeting.

FDA has three options in this regard: FDA can disclose it; write a waiver for it; or inform the committee member that their expertise is needed, but they are not permitted to participate at a given advisory committee meeting because of a disqualifying financial interest or the appearance of a conflict. If a member is allowed to participate in an advisory committee meeting with a waiver approved by the Agency, the Conflict of Interest and the approved waivers are also made publicly available on the Agency's website under the particular advisory committee meeting information.

Remember, in addition to actual conflicts of interest, FDA screens for even the appearance of a conflict of interest, in order to maintain the public's trust in its Advisory Committee Program.

SLIDE 21

Screening is not a one-time process. For every single meeting, the FDA re- screens advisory committee members to prevent any violation of statutory restrictions on conflicts of interest when participating in an advisory committee meeting. Members are screened for their own protection, because they would be in violation of a criminal law if they provided advice at an advisory committee meeting that would influence their financial well-being.

After an advisory committee meeting, the FDA may receive numerous e-mails indicating some background about a committee member that is of concern. FDA reviews any serious charges because it takes COI clearance at an advisory committee meeting very seriously. FDA does its best to make sure that advisory members do not have conflicts of interest.

SLIDE 22

This presentation will not go into detail on the laws governing advisory committees. But advisory committees were in existence long before 1972, when the Federal Advisory Committee Act, or FACA was passed.

As a general matter, Congress has a very strong interest in those bodies giving advice to the government. FACA was intended to limit the power of an advisory committee, and

make sure it was open to the public. The law was amended in 1976, followed by the FDA Amendments Act of 2007. These are commonly known as the "sunshine laws."

SLIDE 23

FACA was also enacted not only to control the operation of advisory committees and analogous groups, but also to control the growth in the number of committees.

SLIDE 24

FDA puts most of the information relevant to an advisory committee on a dedicated web page, as shown here.

There is also a toll-free number for information on an advisory committee, or you can call the designated federal official.

SLIDE 25

Advice from an advisory committee lasts forever. Once the gavel goes down and the meeting is over, the committee is gone. You cannot go back and change its recommendations. FDA must live with their decisions.

SLIDE 26

These advisory committees are good, and very instrumental in getting good advice and giving the agency recommendations.

This concludes the presentation, "CBER Advisory Committees". We would like to acknowledge those who contributed to its development.
Thank you.