Development Considerations of Antimicrobial Drugs for the Treatment of Gonorrhea: Investigator Perspectives

Edward W. Hook, III, M.D.
University of Alabama at Birmingham
Birmingham, AL

Stephanie N. Taylor, M.D. Louisiana State University New Orleans, LA

Edward W. Hook, III, M.D.

Disclosures

Grant/Research

Support:

NIAID

Consultant:

GARDP, Visby Diagnostics

Speakers Bureau: None

Stephanie N. Taylor, M.D.

Disclosures

Grant/Research Support:

GlaxoSmithKline, GARDP, Melinta

Consultant:

None

Speakers Bureau:

None

Outline

- 1. Background- Clinician and Investigator perspectives
- 2. Clinical Trial Sites
- 3. Trial Participants
- 4. Diagnosis, Endpoints
- 5. Continuing Issues and Challenges

Background Clinician Perspective

1. Confidence in current therapy

Limited venues for administration of injectables-preference for oral therapies
 (EPT now constrained by lack of approved oral therapies)

3. The challenge of penicillin allergy

Background: Investigator Perspectives

- 1. Clinical trial design has changed little in the past 40 years
- 2. Expectation of >95% efficacy
- Clinical needs have evolved
 - A. The threat of AMR
 - B. Reliance on a single medication class (Beta-lactams)
 - C. Rectal and oropharyngeal infections

Clinical Trial Sites

Dedicated Public Health Sexual Health Clinic (Highest prevalence setting)

2. Family Planning Clinics

3. Adolescent Clinics

3. HIV/Other

Trial Participants Sites of Infection

1. Men

A. MSW: Urogenital

B. MSM:

Rectal>Oropharyngeal>Urogenital

2. Women:

Urogenital>Rectal>Oropharyngeal

3. Adolescents

Variable

Trial Participants Sites of Infection (cont.)

Oropharyngeal > Rectal Infections

Rectal:

Acquisition thought direct inoculation or, in women, local contamination

Questions related to complications

Oropharyngeal:

Acquisition only through direct inoculation

Variable sampling

Higher treatment failure rates

Questions related to transmissibility, complications

Trial Participants (Cont.)

Enrollment Strategies

- Males typically symptomatic, confirmed by gram or rapid stains
- 2. Women:
 - A. Symptoms unreliable
 - B. Enrolled as contacts to infected partners or following positive screening test
- 3. Adolescents
 - A. STI Clinics
 - **B.** Adolescent Clinics

Trial Participants (Cont) Enrollment Challenges

MSW: Few

MSM: Predominance of rectal and oropharyngeal infections

Women:

Identification of infected persons Contraceptive/Pregnancy issues

Adolescents:

Variability in ability to consent

Diagnosis and Outcome Measurement

- 1. Gram and other rapid staining methods
- 2. Culture
 - a. Site specific sensitivity issues
 - b. Currently required for susceptibility testing
- 2. NAATs
 - a. Residual nucleic acids
 - b. Challenges to determination of susceptibility
- 3. Point of Care Tests: Pros and Cons

Diagnosis and Outcome Measurement (Cont.)

3. Point of Care

a. Pros

Increased enrollment efficiency (particularly for females and extragenital infections)

b. Cons

Identification of co-infections

Co-Infections

1. Chlamydia trachomatis

Relatively common, GC often susceptible to rx

2. Trichomonas vaginalis

Relatively common, therapy not active vs GC

3. Treponema pallidum (syphilis)

Relatively uncommon, historical interest

4. Mycoplasma genitalium

Variable prevalence, routine testing not currently recommended.

Future Clinical Trial Considerations: Investigator Perspectives

- 1. Frustration- are current criteria too stringent
- 2. Are "optimal" medication characteristics the enemy of the good? e.g. single vs. multiple doses, oral vs injectable regimens.
- 3. What to do about rectal and oropharyngeal infections.
- 4. Outcome measurements- NAATs vs culture
- Impact of studies on clinic flow augmented by awkward clinical trial design

Thank You