

## Dose Scale Analysis to Support Bioequivalence Assessment

Statistical Approaches to Establishing Bioequivalence Draft Guidance March 14, 2023

#### Meng Hu, PhD

Division of Quantitative Methods and Modeling, Office of Research and Standards OGD | CDER | U.S. FDA



# Disclaimer

This presentation reflects the views of the speaker and should not be considered to represent advice or guidance on behalf of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration

# Outline



- Pharmacodynamic (PD) equivalence studies
- Dose-scale analysis for PD studies
  - What it is and when to use it
  - Recommendations
- Considerations and challenges
  - Model fitting methods
  - Bootstrap implementation

# Therapeutic equivalence of generic drugs

#### PHARMACEUTICAL EQUIVALENCE

• Same active ingredient(s), strength, dosage form, route of administration

#### BIOEQUIVALENCE (BE)

 No significant difference in the rate and extent of absorption



ED)



# PD studies recommended in product-specific guidance (PSG)

- Oral inhalation drug products e.g., albuterol sulfate
- Locally acting gastrointestinal (GI) drug products e.g., orlistat, acarbose
- Topical corticosteroid

## BE based on PK or PD endpoints







- No exposure for placebo (or baseline correction)
- 90% CI around exposure ratio can be used for BE



- Nonlinear dose-response: response does not increase proportionally with dose
- Placebo effect can be large
- 90% CI around PD response ratio often should not be used for BE

What is it?



## **Dose-scale analysis**



Allow the assessment of relative bioavailability on dose scale, not original scale of PD response

Suggest equivalence of the amount of drug reaching the site of action

www.fda.gov

## Dose-scale analysis: E<sub>max</sub> model

Fitted curves for T or R using Emax model



$$y = E_0 + \frac{E_{max} * Dose * F^i}{ED_{50} + Dose * F^i}$$
(Ref:  $i = 0$ ; Test:  $i = 1$ )

Where y = Response, Dose = Administered dose,  $E_0 = \text{Baseline response}$  in the absence of the drug,  $E_{\text{max}} = \text{Fitted maximum drug effect}$ ,  $ED_{50} = \text{Dose required to produce 50\%}$ the fitted maximum effect, and i = Treatment indicator (0 = Ref, 1 = Test), with the understanding that  $F^0 = 1$  and that  $F^1$  is the relative potency used to evaluate bioequivalence.

# E<sub>max</sub> model fitting: available statistical methods

Naïve average data (NAD)

Naïve pooled data (NPD)

#### Nonlinear mixed effect modeling (NLME)

FDA



- Mean data → one data point per dose for each formulation
- Data from all individuals pooled as if coming from one single individual

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mean}} = E_0 + \frac{E_{max} * Dose * F^i}{ED_{50} + Dose * F^i}$$
  
www.fda.gov

$$\mathbf{Y} = E_0 + \frac{E_{max} * Dose * F^i}{ED_{50} + Dose * F^i} \qquad \mathbf{Y}_0$$

• All individual data

$$E_{0,i} = E_0 + \eta_i$$

$$Y_{obs,i,j} = E_{0,i} + \frac{E_{max} * Dose * F^i}{ED_{50} + Dose * F^i} + \varepsilon_{i,j}$$
9





#### NAD

- Actively reduces available observation
- No direct estimate of variability
- Biased if BSV is large
- Potential bias if individuals have different amount of data, or aberrant observation

#### NPD

- Preferable to NAD approach
- Biased if BSV is large
- Potential bias as data coming from nonstandard designs can be pooled together

**BSV** = between subject variability

#### NLME

- Characterize between-subject variability (BSV) and residual unexplained variability (RUV)
- Handle rich or sparse data with missing value
- ✓ Recommended for E<sub>max</sub> model fitting



## Calculating 90% CI for F

	Directly from NLME	Bootstrap procedure
•	Directly from the point estimate of logF and its standard error calculated using NLME modeling	<ul> <li>Generate "sample dose-response dataset"</li> <li>Bootstrap sampling with replacement</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Estimate F         Fitting the E<sub>max</sub> model to each "sample dose-response dataset"     </li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Compute 90% CI for F Efron's bias corrected and accelerated (BCa) method</li> </ul>

# Calculating of 90% CI for F: bootstrap sample



Various ways to generating "sample dose-response dataset" for crossover study with multiple dose-response observations per subject



 Bootstrap sampling unit should be the *subject* (remaining all the data from T and R), in order to maintain the correlation of observations within subject

# **Practical Considerations**



### Fitting E<sub>max</sub> model

NLME approach is preferred

Incorporates BSV, less sensitive to aberrant observation

NLME has been routinely used in ANDA submission and assessment

Modeling software: NONMEM, SAS, R, etc.

Results are generally consistent with the same model structure and parameter settings

#### Computing 90% CI of F using bootstrap

- Resample original dose-response observations at subject level
- Minimum of 1000 bootstraps are typically needed
- Recommend following the bootstrap procedure in the PSG
- Prespecify modeling software and computation method for 90% CI

#### • • • • • • •

 Applicants are encouraged to discuss significant differences or alternative approaches with OGD

- The dose-scale analysis has been used to demonstrate PD equivalence for locally acting drug products with a nonlinear dose-response relationship
- When finalized, the guidance will reflect the Agency's current thinking and recommendations
- Towards reliable dose-scale analysis:

Summary

<u>Study</u>: appropriate planning, pilot study <u>Data</u>: state how missing data will be handled in protocol <u>Model</u>: provide sufficient justification for alternative approaches that are not in the guidance (e.g., using BE trial simulations)





