```
20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt

1
00:00:08,350 --> 00:00:09,730
I don't see an agreement.

2
00:00:11,920 --> 00:00:13,276
I don't see an agreement there.

3
00:00:13,280 --> 00:00:14,644
```

There's no further communication
4
00:00:14,644 --> 00:00:16,349

5 00:00:16,349 --> 00:00:18,202 was not the caller. Us finally.

regarding the fact that that

6 00:00:18,202 --> 00:00:20,519 And the reason you probably didn't get

00:00:20,519 --> 00:00:22,662 one is because we're they're closed,

8 00:00:22,662 --> 00:00:24,948 that the federal government is closed,

9 00:00:24,950 --> 00:00:25,829 that I understand.

10 00:00:25,829 --> 00:00:27,294 I understand these people are

11 00:00:27,294 --> 00:00:28,880 using that to their advantage,

12 00:00:28,880 --> 00:00:33,176 which I I I appreciate I get it.

```
20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt
13
00:00:33,180 --> 00:00:36,220
But just looking at this,
14
00:00:36,220 --> 00:00:37,540
it might take me a minute,
15
00:00:37,540 --> 00:00:39,684
but I'm gonna find if I'm gonna find
16
00:00:39,684 --> 00:00:40,960
where formulations are necessary.
17
00:00:40,960 --> 00:00:44,614
If you're considering a friend of control.
18
00:00:44,620 --> 00:00:45,310
Excuse me.
00:01:27,750 --> 00:01:28,090
Turn on.
20
00:01:33,700 --> 00:01:34,936
Are you planing on taping today?
21
00:01:37,720 --> 00:01:40,357
Get my computer working. OK,
22
00:01:40,357 --> 00:01:42,940
let me know when you start. Turn on.
23
```

```
24
00:02:03,120 --> 00:02:03,670
Start recording.
```

00:01:58,040 --> 00:01:58,580

(b) (4) turn on.

```
20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt
25
00:02:07,580 --> 00:02:09,956
OK. Hey, Tim. When you get a chance,
26
00:02:09,960 --> 00:02:11,622
go ahead and bring your camera
27
00:02:11,622 --> 00:02:12,890
out, please. Thank you.
28
00:02:15,920 --> 00:02:17,660
It's already set up there.
29
00:02:17,660 --> 00:02:18,530
Oh, is it already on?
30
00:02:22,340 --> 00:02:22,950
Thank you.
31
00:04:22,880 --> 00:04:25,960
As anticipated impossible to get someone,
32
00:04:25,960 --> 00:04:27,160
I called three different numbers,
33
00:04:27,160 --> 00:04:29,760
so sorry for my clarification.
34
00:04:29,760 --> 00:04:31,020
Yeah, I mean, I'm just gonna let you know.
35
```

00:04:31,020 --> 00:04:32,980

00:04:32,980 --> 00:04:35,196 I'm just collecting documents.

It's I'm not the one.

36

```
20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt
37
00:04:35,196 --> 00:04:39,510
I am a cog in this machine I'm getting documents.
38
00:04:39,510 --> 00:04:41,694
I'm only going by the 414 letter
39
00:04:41,694 --> 00:04:43,631
because I see no agreement agreement
40
00:04:43,631 --> 00:04:45,623
in that in that e-mail chain.
41
00:04:45,630 --> 00:04:47,582
I understand. There is no affirmative or or
42
00:04:47,582 --> 00:04:49,794
To say it's a refusal as inaccurate because we
43
00:04:49,794 --> 00:04:51,809
thought we had an agreement with the FDA.
44
00:04:51,810 --> 00:04:52,740
Well, you didn't.
45
00:04:52,740 --> 00:04:54,290
Well, there's there's no way.
46
00:04:54,290 --> 00:04:55,826
There's no way to verify that.
47
00:04:55,830 --> 00:04:57,142
So we're not refusing.
```

48

00:04:57,142 --> 00:04:59,968

We thought we were in agreement with the FDA.

```
20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt
49
00:04:59,970 --> 00:05:00,576
You're saying.
50
00:05:00,576 --> 00:05:01,586
Well I'm just letting you know
51
00:05:01,590 --> 00:05:03,030
You're not because there's no agreement.
52
00:05:03,030 --> 00:05:03,870
I mean, she showed me.
53
00:05:03,870 --> 00:05:05,646
She showed me the the documentation.
54
00:05:05,650 --> 00:05:06,766
There is no agreement in that.
00:05:06,770 --> 00:05:07,052
Well,
56
00:05:07,052 --> 00:05:09,308
we put in writing and she didn't say
57
00:05:09,308 --> 00:05:12,570
anything about that wasn't the case.
58
00:05:12,570 --> 00:05:14,070
There is nothing in writing regarding
59
00:05:14,070 --> 00:05:16,417
the fact that that was not proper or
60
```

00:05:16,417 --> 00:05:18,265

that that was not agreeable because we

```
61
00:05:18,323 --> 00:05:19,877
had the original 414 letter stating
62
00:05:19,877 --> 00:05:22,048
this is what we want. 414 letter?
63
00:05:22,048 --> 00:05:25,480
Yeah, that letter that was sent with the 482C.
64
00:05:25,480 --> 00:05:27,560
OK. Request for records...
65
00:05:27,560 --> 00:05:27,903
Understood.
66
00:05:27,903 --> 00:05:30,304
I can verify with my supervisor who
67
00:05:30,304 --> 00:05:32,244
is actually the one communicating
68
00:05:32,244 --> 00:05:33,435
with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (indistinguishable).
69
00:05:33,440 --> 00:05:35,240
He may have had some telephone
70
00:05:35,240 --> 00:05:37,052
conversation with which I'm I'm sure,
71
00:05:37,052 --> 00:05:38,192
may have been the case.
72
00:05:38,200 --> 00:05:40,342
I can verify whether or not he
```

```
20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt
73
00:05:40,342 --> 00:05:42,590
had that oral communication and.
74
00:05:42,590 --> 00:05:42,831
That's,
75
00:05:42,831 --> 00:05:44,277
I mean that's that's not something
76
00:05:44,277 --> 00:05:46,842
I can. I understand. Rely upon.
77
00:05:46,842 --> 00:05:50,184
I understand that although we are
78
00:05:50,184 --> 00:05:52,686
under an ethical obligation not to
00:05:52,686 --> 00:05:55,170
misrepresent any communication. As am I.
80
00:05:55,170 --> 00:05:55,810
I mean,
81
00:05:55,810 --> 00:05:57,730
I mean I understand that and
82
00:05:57,811 --> 00:05:59,964
obviously I would assume everybody's
```

```
00:05:59,964 --> 00:06:01,420 telling the truth here.

84
00:06:01,420 --> 00:06:04,240 Absolutely.
```

83

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 85 00:06:04,240 --> 00:06:06,640 Have have you come across a 86 00:06:06,640 --> 00:06:07,840 provision where formulations, 87 00:06:07,840 --> 00:06:09,975 if they rely on .. No not specifically, 88 00:06:09,980 --> 00:06:12,242 because I'm trying to scan it such as I can.. 89 00:06:12,242 --> 00:06:13,797 such as formulation lethal to the pathogen. 90 00:06:13,800 --> 00:06:15,800 Here we go hazard evaluation 91 00:06:15,800 --> 00:06:17,000 required by paragraph. 92 00:06:17,000 --> 00:06:19,502 of this section must include an evaluation 93 00:06:19,502 --> 00:06:20,753 environmental pathogens where... 94 00:06:20,760 --> 00:06:21,930 This is specific. 95 00:06:24,680 --> 00:06:26,330

96 00:06:26,330 --> 00:06:27,650 environment which is applicable.

It is exposed to the

```
97
00:06:27,650 --> 00:06:29,198
Prior to packaging and then the
98
00:06:29,198 --> 00:06:30,881
package animal food does not receive
99
00:06:30,881 --> 00:06:32,381
a treatment or otherwise include
100
00:06:32,381 --> 00:06:34,122
the control measures such as a
101
00:06:34,122 --> 00:06:35,447
formulation lethal to the treatment.
102
00:06:48,290 --> 00:06:51,086
That doesn't reference the recipes the
103
00:06:51,086 --> 00:06:54,075
the formulation they have provided the.
. .
104
00:06:54,075 --> 00:06:57,795
The component parts as well as also.. But,
105
00:06:57,800 --> 00:06:59,540
but also you have to understand
106
00:06:59,540 --> 00:07:01,080
is when you're talking about.
107
00:07:01,080 --> 00:07:05,004
Preventive controls there are very specific
108
00:07:05,004 --> 00:07:07,320
parameters that you need to specify,
```

```
109
00:07:07,320 --> 00:07:10,440
so if they're using (b) (4).
110
00:07:10,440 --> 00:07:12,402
Or some sort of formulation thereof
111
00:07:12,402 --> 00:07:14,325
as an inhibition factor or hurdle
112
00:07:14,325 --> 00:07:16,264
step or whatever you wanna call it,
113
00:07:16,270 --> 00:07:19,084
you have to have parameters set forth
114
00:07:19,084 --> 00:07:22,068
that you're going to follow and monitor
115
00:07:22,068 --> 00:07:24,612
and document and verify and validate
116
00:07:24,620 --> 00:07:26,220
all of those things need to be done.
117
00:07:26,220 --> 00:07:28,064
Understood. Which would infer
118
00:07:28,064 --> 00:07:29,908
formulation would be provided.
119
00:07:29,910 --> 00:07:32,290
So that way we can.
120
00:07:32,290 --> 00:07:34,010
Make a scientific judgment whether
```

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 121 00:07:34,010 --> 00:07:35,386 it's working or not. 122 00:07:35,390 --> 00:07:35,642 Understood. 123 00:07:35,642 --> 00:07:37,406 Well, let me ask you this question. 124 00:07:37,410 --> 00:07:40,374 Would you be satisfied with being 125 00:07:40,374 --> 00:07:43,369 presented the full unredacted versions as, 126 00:07:43,370 --> 00:07:46,018 but being allowed to copy only the redacted 127 00:07:46,018 --> 00:07:48,100 versions for privacy considerations? 128 00:07:50,480 --> 00:07:51,888 I mean, sure, but I mean, I'm. 129 00:07:51,888 --> 00:07:53,736 I'm gonna document whatever I read. 130 00:07:53,740 --> 00:07:55,162 I'm gonna. I'm gonna put it in my book. 131

```
Because if you don't,

132

00:08:01,498 --> 00:08:03,186

if you don't tell me, I know.
```

00:08:00,550 --> 00:08:01,498

```
133
00:08:03,186 --> 00:08:04,922
I'm just. I'm just letting you know,
134
00:08:04,930 --> 00:08:06,309
this is this is going to be.
135
00:08:06,310 --> 00:08:08,746
This is going to be continuing issue
136
00:08:08,746 --> 00:08:11,177
because if you're using that as a
137
00:08:11,177 --> 00:08:13,547
hurdle step or an inhibition factor or
138
00:08:13,547 --> 00:08:15,850
a a means to significantly prevent or
139
00:08:15,850 --> 00:08:17,990
minimize a hazard which you are doing,
140
00:08:17,990 --> 00:08:20,930
I mean which you state you're doing,
141
00:08:20,930 --> 00:08:23,307
it has to be spelled out to us that way we
142
00:08:23,307 --> 00:08:25,316
can rely on scientific evidence that yes,
143
00:08:25,320 --> 00:08:26,590
this is going to work.
144
00:08:26,590 --> 00:08:30,268
This much (b) (4) in this product.
```

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 145 00:08:30,270 --> 00:08:31,846 Is going to work. 146 00:08:31,846 --> 00:08:34,650 Otherwise we have no scientific basis that, 147 00:08:34,650 --> 00:08:37,880 I mean you could. I don't know if I agree with that. 148 00:08:37,880 --> 00:08:38,946 There's there's. There's nothing. 149 00:08:38,946 --> 00:08:40,884 There's nothing telling us that that. 150 00:08:40,890 --> 00:08:42,010 Of course you could put. 151 00:08:42,010 --> 00:08:43,418 You could put like. 152 00:08:43,418 --> 00:08:45,515 Let's just say, let's just say 153 00:08:45,515 --> 00:08:47,653 you're making a batch of 50,000 lbs, 154 00:08:47,653 --> 00:08:50,957 or 5000 lbs if you're just putting in a 155 00:08:50,957 --> 00:08:54,796 carton full. Like of (b) (4) in the same.

156

Amount.

00:08:54,800 --> 00:08:55,581

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 157 00:08:55,581 --> 00:08:59,486 So now over that varying batch size, 158 00:08:59,490 --> 00:09:01,602 that's probably not going to work 159 00:09:01,602 --> 00:09:02,906 on the 50,000 lb batch. 160 00:09:02,906 --> 00:09:05,290 It might work on the 5000 pound batch, 161 00:09:05,290 --> 00:09:08,116 but that's what we that's what we have to see. The 162 00:09:08,116 --> 00:09:10,521 scientific way to determine that is to is to 163 00:09:10,521 --> 00:09:13,045 test your product for (b) (4) after the fact. 164 00:09:13,050 --> 00:09:16,628 OK which case we would if if that's 165 00:09:16,628 --> 00:09:17,758 if that's what you're saying, 166 00:09:17,760 --> 00:09:20,820 then we would require your parameters. 167 00:09:20,820 --> 00:09:21,687

That where, OK,

00:09:21,687 --> 00:09:24,080

where where you want your (b) (4) to fall?

168

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 169 00:09:24,080 --> 00:09:25,716 Like, quantitatively, qualitatively as 170 00:09:25,716 --> 00:09:28,470 well because you can't just use any. 171 00:09:28,470 --> 00:09:29,790 I mean, theoretically I I don't. 172 00:09:29,790 --> 00:09:30,828 I don't know enough about it, 173 00:09:30,830 --> 00:09:32,365 but something tells me you 174 00:09:32,365 --> 00:09:34,190 probably just can't use any (b) (4). 175 00:09:34,190 --> 00:09:36,476 Well, we have a processing authority 176 00:09:36,476 --> 00:09:38,880 who has set the parameters. 177 00:09:38,880 --> 00:09:41,368 And said the parameters at (b) (4) 178 00:09:41,368 --> 00:09:43,459 (b) (4) whatever your pathogen would be.

```
180
00:09:47,360 --> 00:09:49,696
(0)(6)(0)(7)(C) talked to the FDA on the phone.
```

OK, provide me that process authority letter.

00:09:43,460 --> 00:09:47,359

179

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 181 00:09:49,700 --> 00:09:50,444 I don't. 182 00:09:50,444 --> 00:09:52,304 And phone conversations have no 183 00:09:52,304 --> 00:09:54,799 bearing on my on my on what I do. 184 00:09:54,800 --> 00:09:56,135 I need documentation. 185 00:09:56,135 --> 00:09:56,580 Well, in 186 00:09:56,580 --> 00:09:58,360 (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) letter to the FRDA 187 00:09:58,360 --> 00:10:00,210 did state that? 188 00:10:00,210 --> 00:10:02,937 Um Let me check with Tim. Again with that letter. 189 00:10:02,940 --> 00:10:04,172 It has to be critical limits or we 190 00:10:04,172 --> 00:10:05,479 used to call them critical limits. 191 00:10:05,480 --> 00:10:06,776 Now they are parameters. And in HAACP

192

00:10:06,776 --> 00:10:08,679

it was critical limits.

```
193
00:10:08,680 --> 00:10:10,065
Now it's parameters that we
194
00:10:10,065 --> 00:10:12,039
that have to be set in stone.
195
00:10:12,040 --> 00:10:13,696
So that way when that parameter
196
00:10:13,696 --> 00:10:15,480
is not met during production,
197
00:10:15,480 --> 00:10:17,405
you know when to take a corrective
198
00:10:17,405 --> 00:10:17,955
action. Exactly.
199
00:10:17,960 --> 00:10:19,226
That's why we have the parameter.
200
00:10:19,230 --> 00:10:20,440
But that's why that documentation
201
00:10:20,440 --> 00:10:22,597
has to be done on every batch and we
202
00:10:22,597 --> 00:10:24,180
have to be able to visualize yes,
203
00:10:24,180 --> 00:10:26,358
just just as a generic number.
204
00:10:26,360 --> 00:10:29,884
Yes, we have, you know, 5000<sup>(b) (4)</sup>
```

```
205
00:10:29,884 --> 00:10:32,068
Organisms in this batch because you
206
00:10:32,068 --> 00:10:34,448
know such and such person said that
207
00:10:34,448 --> 00:10:36,998
we have to have this much, you know,
208
00:10:36,998 --> 00:10:39,476
this much<sup>(b) (4)</sup> per this size batch.
209
00:10:42,050 --> 00:10:45,730
The, the amount of what we add has no.
210
00:10:45,730 --> 00:10:49,090
Bearing on how much (b) (4) gets in there or not.
211
00:10:49,090 --> 00:10:51,610
I mean, I I don't know that I'm.
212
00:10:51,610 --> 00:10:53,968
I'm not. I'm not that I'm not the PhD.
213
00:10:53,970 --> 00:10:55,058
I'm a document collector.
214
00:10:55,058 --> 00:10:56,418
I I collect these documents
215
00:10:56,418 --> 00:10:57,925
and I pass them to the PHD's.
216
00:10:57,930 --> 00:10:59,786
And they're the ones that make the decisions.
```

```
217
00:10:59,790 --> 00:11:02,345
I've been tasked to collect these documents.
218
00:11:02,350 --> 00:11:03,290
And you're telling me you're
219
00:11:03,290 --> 00:11:04,230
not going to provide it?
220
00:11:04,230 --> 00:11:05,170
So we're not telling
221
00:11:05,170 --> 00:11:06,580
we're not going to provide it.
222
00:11:06,580 --> 00:11:07,861
We're we're agreeing.
223
00:11:07,861 --> 00:11:10,423
to provide what we agreed
224
00:11:10,423 --> 00:11:12,409
with with the FDA to provide.
225
00:11:12,410 --> 00:11:14,050
This isn't an ongoing discussion.
226
00:11:14,050 --> 00:11:16,606
They told you what they wanted and that's it.
227
00:11:16,610 --> 00:11:18,615
Well, here's what. The the discussion
228
00:11:18,615 --> 00:11:20,219
happens after you refuse.
```

```
229
00:11:20,220 --> 00:11:21,830
Then, if you, if you choose to,
230
00:11:21,830 --> 00:11:23,048
you know, make it a legal matter,
231
00:11:23,050 --> 00:11:23,678
that's where.
232
00:11:23,678 --> 00:11:25,248
That's where folks like this,
233
00:11:25,250 --> 00:11:26,715
you know, individual here, billable
234
00:11:26,715 --> 00:11:27,887
hours comes into play.
235
00:11:27,890 --> 00:11:29,474
You know, I feel that you're
236
00:11:29,474 --> 00:11:30,770
overstepping because in the law,
237
00:11:30,770 --> 00:11:32,522
it says we do not have
238
00:11:32,522 --> 00:11:33,690
to provide recipes. Show,
00:11:33,690 --> 00:11:35,066
Show me what law this is because I'm,
240
00:11:35,070 --> 00:11:37,818
I'm coming right out of 507.
```

```
241
00:11:37,820 --> 00:11:38,988
That's my attorney's job.
242
00:11:43,920 --> 00:11:45,040
I mean, I understand you're
243
00:11:45,040 --> 00:11:46,844
pulling up 21 CFR, but what?
244
00:11:46,844 --> 00:11:49,127
What part of. I'm just talking
245
00:11:49,127 --> 00:11:50,981
about in general with with the
246
00:11:50,981 --> 00:11:52,725
way the HAACP or I'm sorry,
247
00:11:52,725 --> 00:11:54,510
I keep saying HAACP with
248
00:11:54,573 --> 00:11:56,218
the way the preventive controls um
249
00:11:56,220 --> 00:11:58,206
regulation you know is stated
250
00:11:58,206 --> 00:12:00,927
there may not be a spot in here
251
00:12:00,927 --> 00:12:02,913
where it says formulation is needed.
252
00:12:02,920 --> 00:12:05,811
But I guarantee if formulation is a
```

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 253 00:12:05,811 --> 00:12:07,501 preventive control, we need formulation. 254 00:12:07,501 --> 00:12:09,223 Is that your opinion or the 255 00:12:09,223 --> 00:12:10,129 opinion of the FDA? or 256 00:12:10,130 --> 00:12:12,164 That's that's come around 507 if 257 00:12:12,164 --> 00:12:14,960 you're using it as a preventive control. 258 00:12:14,960 --> 00:12:16,900 You need to provide documentation 259 00:12:16,900 --> 00:12:19,532 validation which tells me which is going 260 00:12:19,532 --> 00:12:22,505 to give me those parameters telling me yes,

261

00:12:22,505 --> 00:12:27,215

this scientific method has been proven.

262

00:12:27,220 --> 00:12:29,450

Either by peer review or

263

00:12:29,450 --> 00:12:31,998

And we provided you those documents.

264

00:12:32,000 --> 00:12:33,540 That's not apples to apples

```
265
00:12:33,540 --> 00:12:35,080
that that that was not,
266
00:12:35,080 --> 00:12:36,788
that was not talking about your product
267
00:12:36,788 --> 00:12:38,660
that was not talking about anything.
268
00:12:38,660 --> 00:12:39,086
I don't,
269
00:12:39,086 --> 00:12:41,090
I'm not sure I'm talking about much of any
270
00:12:41,090 --> 00:12:43,596
product except in a more general sense.
271
00:12:43,600 --> 00:12:46,864
We need something in a very specific sense.
272
00:12:46,870 --> 00:12:49,510
Specific to your process or process
273
00:12:49,510 --> 00:12:51,535
like yours where like I like I
274
00:12:51,535 --> 00:12:53,397
like I called it before an apples
275
00:12:53,397 --> 00:12:54,387
to apples comparison.
276
00:12:54,390 --> 00:12:55,797
That's what we that's what we expect,
```

```
277
00:12:55,800 --> 00:12:59,136
No, that's not the way I'm interpreting
278
00:12:59,140 --> 00:13:00,304
What they're asking for,
279
00:13:00,304 --> 00:13:01,759
they're when I read it,
280
00:13:01,760 --> 00:13:04,220
it says you have to have um
281
00:13:04,220 --> 00:13:06,008
Peer reviewed, you know,
282
00:13:06,008 --> 00:13:07,796
applicable science and that's
283
00:13:07,796 --> 00:13:09,798
there's plenty of it out there.
284
00:13:09,800 --> 00:13:10,703
We provided it,
285
00:13:10,703 --> 00:13:12,208
we provided a processing authority
286
00:13:12,208 --> 00:13:14,453
and that agreed with us. And in that
287
00:13:14,453 --> 00:13:16,129
peer reviewed article you gave me it.
288
00:13:16,130 --> 00:13:18,518
It's it lays out very specific
```

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 289 00:13:18,518 --> 00:13:20,110 (b) (4) that they use. 290 00:13:20,110 --> 00:13:22,366 Very specific speciations of these (b) (4), 291 00:13:22,370 --> 00:13:24,338 and if you're not using those 292 00:13:24,338 --> 00:13:25,940 specific speciations of that (b) (4), 293 00:13:25,940 --> 00:13:29,078 then it's not apples to apples. 294 00:13:29,080 --> 00:13:31,408 That's just that's that's just what, 295 00:13:31,410 --> 00:13:34,188 that's just what this tells you. 296 00:13:34,190 --> 00:13:36,890 I mean, I I don't know how else to say it. 297 00:13:36,890 --> 00:13:38,474 I think what I would recommend 298 00:13:38,474 --> 00:13:40,352 is before you put in your book 299

that we refuse to give you these.

300

00:13:42,130 --> 00:13:44,350

Let us talk amongst ourselves.

00:13:40,352 --> 00:13:42,123

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 301 00:13:44,350 --> 00:13:47,031 About maybe giving you the percentages 302 00:13:47,031 --> 00:13:49,236 because you already copied them, 303 00:13:49,240 --> 00:13:50,230 we won't make you copy them. 304 00:13:50,230 --> 00:13:51,650 We'll copy them ourselves and 305 00:13:51,650 --> 00:13:52,786 give them to you. 306 00:13:52,790 --> 00:13:54,230 But if you want to start your scanning, 307 00:13:54,230 --> 00:13:55,530 we'll discuss it. All right. 308 00:13:55,530 --> 00:13:56,646 And then we'll let you know. 309 00:13:56,650 --> 00:13:59,026 All right. Sounds good. 310 00:13:59,026 --> 00:13:59,620 Thanks.

Do you need this additional general FDA background?

312 00:14:02,950 --> 00:14:03,239 Yeah,

00:13:59,620 --> 00:14:02,945

311

```
313
00:14:03,239 --> 00:14:05,840
I would like to see it because I I
314
00:14:05,916 --> 00:14:09,367
I just as you can see this is FDA
315
00:14:09,367 --> 00:14:10,660
general Enforcement Regulations
316
00:14:10,743 --> 00:14:12,500
overarching for the entire.
317
00:14:17,980 --> 00:14:20,676
And you can see it references here 21
318
00:14:20,676 --> 00:14:23,369
USC and all the applicable subparts
319
00:14:23,369 --> 00:14:27,550
of the chapter including 350C 350D 350E.
320
00:14:27,550 --> 00:14:30,400
What's 350C? I can pull it up for you.
321
00:14:30,400 --> 00:14:34,288
I mean, does it mention 507 on there?
322
00:14:34,290 --> 00:14:37,207
This is. I'm referencing the
323
00:14:37,207 --> 00:14:39,302
statutory authority here and the
324
00:14:39,302 --> 00:14:41,120
statute statutes are references.
```

```
325
00:14:41,120 --> 00:14:42,830
Ohh, this is USC. Not OK.
326
00:14:42,830 --> 00:14:44,380
These are the enabling statutes,
327
00:14:44,380 --> 00:14:47,903
and I believe we are in the 350C 350D
328
00:14:47,903 --> 00:14:49,918
because that's regarding adulterated food.
329
00:14:49,920 --> 00:14:50,770
OK, let's check it out.
330
00:14:50,770 --> 00:14:52,730
I mean, I don't know what it says.
331
00:14:52,730 --> 00:14:54,319
I'm not sure if this PDF will
332
00:14:54,319 --> 00:14:55,719
let me link right to it.
333
00:14:58,270 --> 00:14:58,960
Maybe it will.
334
00:15:03,460 --> 00:15:05,008
Like I said, I mean, it's not like
335
00:15:05,008 --> 00:15:06,288
my interpretation is gonna make
336
00:15:06,288 --> 00:15:08,417
or break this because it's not my
```

```
337
00:15:08,417 --> 00:15:09,554
interpretation that matters. Certainly.
338
00:15:09,554 --> 00:15:10,718
I mean, we all understand that,
339
00:15:10,720 --> 00:15:11,670
right? Certainly.
340
00:15:14,490 --> 00:15:15,460
Adulterated food.
341
00:15:19,310 --> 00:15:21,326
And as you know, the definition for
342
00:15:21,326 --> 00:15:23,307
food under 21 USC food and feed.
343
00:15:31,810 --> 00:15:33,546
OK. So I mean, what's it telling me?
344
00:15:33,550 --> 00:15:37,270
I mean, where's where's the smoking gun here?
345
00:15:37,270 --> 00:15:39,436
Regulations promulgated under
346
00:15:39,436 --> 00:15:41,760
350 state, where is it saying
347
00:15:41,760 --> 00:15:42,860
formulation isn't part of it?
348
00:15:47,860 --> 00:15:50,198
The records that are excluded from the
```

```
349
00:15:50,198 --> 00:15:52,313
subpart which is promulgated directly
350
00:15:52,313 --> 00:15:54,468
under 350C regarding adulterated food.
351
00:16:01,100 --> 00:16:03,698
I understand that the interpretation and um
352
00:16:05,960 --> 00:16:09,150
Where's formulation there? Recipe.
353
00:16:12,080 --> 00:16:14,145
I can pull up the definition of
354
00:16:14,145 --> 00:16:15,748
recipe if you'd like that as well.
355
00:16:19,250 --> 00:16:23,074
Something tells me that that is an outdated.
356
00:16:23,080 --> 00:16:24,874
Current regulation current
357
00:16:24,874 --> 00:16:27,282
through January 17 2019,
358
00:16:27,282 --> 00:16:30,530
I understand that is 5 days ago. However,
359
00:16:30,530 --> 00:16:33,890
(b)(4) and you can believe me or not,
360
00:16:33,890 --> 00:16:36,445
but (b) (4) is updated on a daily
```

```
361
00:16:36,445 --> 00:16:38,900
basis with a new regulations.
362
00:16:38,900 --> 00:16:41,612
Like I said, this was written at a
363
00:16:41,612 --> 00:16:44,228
time when before 507 even existed.
364
00:16:44,230 --> 00:16:46,378
I don't know what supersedes what.
365
00:16:46,380 --> 00:16:49,334
I don't know. I'm not the lawyer.
366
00:16:49,334 --> 00:16:51,690
I don't know if 507 supersedes that.
367
00:16:51,690 --> 00:16:53,930
I have no idea, so I can't.
368
00:16:53,930 --> 00:16:55,955
I mean that is not something I was ever
369
00:16:55,955 --> 00:16:57,705
presented with in any of my training ever.
370
00:16:57,710 --> 00:16:58,462
So anywhere.
371
00:16:58,462 --> 00:17:00,342
Wouldn't your lawyers have expressed
372
00:17:00,342 --> 00:17:02,849
that to us if that was the case?
```

```
373
00:17:02,850 --> 00:17:04,026
I don't know what they expressed.
374
00:17:04,030 --> 00:17:05,302
I have no idea why you saw what
375
00:17:05,302 --> 00:17:07,360
they wrote to us, so they didn't.
376
00:17:07,360 --> 00:17:09,810
They didn't say anything exactly.
377
00:17:09,810 --> 00:17:11,854
After we told them that we weren't
378
00:17:11,854 --> 00:17:13,540
going to provide the formulas.
379
00:17:13,540 --> 00:17:15,178
I I don't know. I can't speak for them.
380
00:17:15,180 --> 00:17:15,980
I can't think for them.
381
00:17:15,980 --> 00:17:16,740
I have no idea.
382
00:17:16,740 --> 00:17:17,806
I wish I could. I wish.
00:17:17,806 --> 00:17:18,877
I wish we could call them right
384
00:17:18,877 --> 00:17:19,916
now and figure this all out.
```

```
385
00:17:19,920 --> 00:17:23,334
But it's not just the conditions under
386
00:17:23,334 --> 00:17:25,818
which we are operating are extreme.
387
00:17:25,820 --> 00:17:28,856
Obviously, we don't have, you know,
388
00:17:28,860 --> 00:17:31,260
90% of the people that we need to talk to.
389
00:17:31,260 --> 00:17:33,020
So I mean, either we're at an impasse
390
00:17:33,020 --> 00:17:34,956
or you guys are going to discuss it,
391
00:17:34,960 --> 00:17:36,340
I don't know, but I can't.
392
00:17:36,340 --> 00:17:40,050
I can't go by that regulation because.
393
00:17:40,050 --> 00:17:41,026
That regulation.
394
00:17:41,026 --> 00:17:42,490
In my mind,
395
00:17:42,490 --> 00:17:43,742
supersedes that regulation because
396
00:17:43,742 --> 00:17:46,050
you're using it as a preventive control.
```

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 397 00:17:46,050 --> 00:17:46,259 Now, 398 00:17:46,259 --> 00:17:47,304 you you've mentioned a couple 399 00:17:47,304 --> 00:17:48,400 of times in my mind, 400 00:17:48,400 --> 00:17:50,008 the way I'm interpreting it right, 401 00:17:50,010 --> 00:17:50,511 understandably, 402 00:17:50,511 --> 00:17:53,517 we have some differences on that 403 00:17:53,517 --> 00:17:55,899 interpretation between listen and your 404 00:17:55,899 --> 00:17:57,114 interpretations are representative

```
406
00:18:00,337 --> 00:18:02,605
you are just collecting the records.

407
00:18:02,610 --> 00:18:04,773
Would you be satisfied in this case

408
00:18:04,773 --> 00:18:07,213
if we present to you the records that
```

of the FDA and you are stating that

00:17:57,114 --> 00:18:00,337

405

```
409
00:18:07,213 --> 00:18:09,420
we believe and if your scientific,
410
00:18:09,420 --> 00:18:10,962
the people you present all your
411
00:18:10,962 --> 00:18:12,560
records to are not satisfied,
412
00:18:12,560 --> 00:18:14,070
then we'll have that conversation
413
00:18:14,070 --> 00:18:14,976
about the percentages.
414
00:18:14,980 --> 00:18:16,060
Like I said, present me,
415
00:18:16,060 --> 00:18:18,172
present me present to me or
416
00:18:18,172 --> 00:18:20,260
present me with whatever you will.
417
00:18:20,260 --> 00:18:21,748
I just have to go back to them
418
00:18:21,748 --> 00:18:23,361
and tell them what you were or
419
00:18:23,361 --> 00:18:24,551
were not willing to provide.
420
00:18:24,560 --> 00:18:24,938
I mean that's.
```

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 421 00:18:24,938 --> 00:18:26,436 I mean, that's just how it's going to roll. 422 00:18:26,440 --> 00:18:28,876 I mean, I'm good with that. 423 00:18:28,880 --> 00:18:30,878 No one can address it with the people that 424 00:18:30,878 --> 00:18:32,498 actually have a decision making ability, 425 00:18:32,500 --> 00:18:32,790 right? 426 00:18:32,790 --> 00:18:33,080 Absolutely. 427 00:18:33,080 --> 00:18:33,370 Right. 428 00:18:33,370 --> 00:18:35,400 Yeah, if if they're not happy with 429 00:18:35,449 --> 00:18:36,897 not providing the percentages. 430 00:18:36,900 --> 00:18:39,679 And I believe you provide the validation 431 00:18:39,679 --> 00:18:42,699

432 00:18:42,700 --> 00:18:44,458 So if they want the percentages

method rather than the front end percentages.

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 433 00:18:44,458 --> 00:18:45,955 as well as boots and suspenders, 434 00:18:45,955 --> 00:18:48,555 then we'll we'll go right at that point. 435 00:18:48,560 --> 00:18:48,990 Now, 436 00:18:48,990 --> 00:18:52,000 what validation method are we talking about? 437 00:18:52,000 --> 00:18:53,992 Copy that part. Yeah. 438 00:18:53,992 --> 00:18:55,262 OK, OK, because that's important. 439 00:18:55,262 --> 00:18:56,364 I mean, that's production record. 440 00:18:56,364 --> 00:18:56,840 I mean, 441 00:18:56,840 --> 00:18:58,884 that's what we see as production record. 442 00:18:58,890 --> 00:19:00,090 #3. We haven't gotten there. 443

444 00:19:01,042 --> 00:19:03,150 I just that was something else that I was

00:19:00,090 --> 00:19:01,042 OK, alright, that's fine.

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 445 00:19:03,150 --> 00:19:04,810 thinking about last night that I wanted to. 446 00:19:04,810 --> 00:19:06,245 I wanted to bring up as well 447 00:19:06,245 --> 00:19:07,860 that that in production records 448 00:19:07,860 --> 00:19:09,328 include monitoring, verification, 449 00:19:09,328 --> 00:19:11,400 validation, all that stuff. 450 00:19:11,400 --> 00:19:14,020 Those are all production records. 451 00:19:14,020 --> 00:19:15,504 Because you're monitoring production, 452 00:19:15,504 --> 00:19:16,617 you're verifying production. 453 00:19:16,620 --> 00:19:18,128 You're validating production methods. 454 00:19:20,920 --> 00:19:23,320 I'm I'm Just that's that's that's how FDA sees it.

455
00:19:23,320 --> 00:19:25,340
So I just wanted to bring that up as well.
456
00:19:25,340 --> 00:19:26,988
Now one thing I did want to address

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 457 00:19:26,988 --> 00:19:28,654 before we get into it today is a 458 00:19:28,654 --> 00:19:30,163 lot of the production records are 459 00:19:30,163 --> 00:19:32,090 specifically pertaining to (b)(4), the 460 00:19:32,090 --> 00:19:34,120 (b) (4) . instructions that they give to 461 00:19:34,120 --> 00:19:35,863 I believe they have presented you with 462 00:19:35,863 --> 00:19:37,577 or are prepared to present you with, 463 00:19:37,580 --> 00:19:39,536 but certain records that pertain to 464 00:19:39,536 --> 00:19:41,469 the actual production of (b) (4) are 465 00:19:41,469 --> 00:19:43,179 not under the control of listeners. 466 00:19:43,180 --> 00:19:45,574 The third party contact plan where 467 00:19:45,574 --> 00:19:47,492 I believe we're happy to work with 468

00:19:47,492 --> 00:19:49,330 you to obtain those records.

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 469 00:19:49,330 --> 00:19:51,112 But they're not under our control 470 00:19:51,112 --> 00:19:52,003 at this point. 471 00:19:52,010 --> 00:19:53,960 I mean, they could have been. 472 00:19:53,960 --> 00:19:55,720 They they're not Lystyn records. 473 00:19:55,720 --> 00:19:56,908 They are (b)(4). 474 00:19:59,740 --> 00:20:02,008 Wait, what we all understand is. Don't put thoughts in my mind. 475 00:20:02,010 --> 00:20:04,074 These folks control their 476 00:20:04,074 --> 00:20:06,138 production at (b)(4). 477 00:20:06,140 --> 00:20:09,123 They ensure great degree so, so, so today. 478 00:20:09,123 --> 00:20:10,810 So so to say they they don't 479 00:20:10,872 --> 00:20:12,537 have access to those records. 480

00:20:12,540 --> 00:20:14,004

They just means they didn't ask for them.

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 481 00:20:14,010 --> 00:20:17,069 They instruct how to produce the records. 482 00:20:17,070 --> 00:20:20,899 As far as validation, what actually happens. 483 00:20:20,900 --> 00:20:22,330 There are (b) (4) records. 484 00:20:22,330 --> 00:20:24,172 They have a unique food facility 485 00:20:24,172 --> 00:20:25,119 registration. They have unique. 486 00:20:25,119 --> 00:20:26,078 I get it. I understand. 487 00:20:26,078 --> 00:20:27,480 You don't have to explain all that to me. 488 00:20:27,480 --> 00:20:27,964 I understand. 489 00:20:27,964 --> 00:20:29,174 I understand what you're saying. 490 00:20:29,180 --> 00:20:32,379 And by I guess legally or I

```
491
00:20:32,379 --> 00:20:35,821
can't call you not providing. A.
```

492 00:20:35,821 --> 00:20:38,407 You know another entities records a refusal.

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 00:20:38,410 --> 00:20:39,196

494

I understand it.

493

00:20:39,196 --> 00:20:41,380

But if you wanted them you could get them.

495

00:20:41,380 --> 00:20:42,675 I mean, if you really wanted them,

496

00:20:42,680 --> 00:20:44,440 you could get them.

497

00:20:44,440 --> 00:20:45,188 Same thing. Everything else.

498

00:20:45,188 --> 00:20:47,248

If you wanted them, you could get them.

499

00:20:47,248 --> 00:20:48,883 So that's just your opinion,

500

00:20:48,890 --> 00:20:49,410 not ours.

501

00:20:49,410 --> 00:20:52,095

OK, so I think mine is based in fact. I

502

00:20:52,095 --> 00:20:54,685

kind of in that vein if you wanted to

503

00:20:54,685 --> 00:20:57,025

inspect the records of those facilities,

504

00:20:57,030 --> 00:20:58,386

you could certainly ask to inspect

20210104MVI0028720 (1).srt 505 00:20:58,386 --> 00:20:59,790 the records of those facilities. 506 00:20:59,790 --> 00:21:01,450 I think of those facilities, 507 00:21:01,450 --> 00:21:03,434 yeah, I mean and and I I wasn't 508 00:21:03,434 --> 00:21:05,150 part of those inspections, 509 00:21:05,150 --> 00:21:06,535 I don't even those inspections 510 00:21:06,535 --> 00:21:07,643 Those inspections may still be ongoing. 511 00:21:07,650 --> 00:21:11,043 I have no idea, I think (b) (4) is. Understood 512 00:21:11,050 --> 00:21:15,388 So I mean those those things may come up. 513 00:21:15,390 --> 00:21:17,541 But I mean, I just find it strange you 514 00:21:17,541 --> 00:21:19,730 can get some records from (b) (4) 515

```
Because like supposedly,
```

but not other records from (b) (4)

00:21:19,730 --> 00:21:22,355

00:21:22,360 --> 00:21:24,100

516

```
517
00:21:24,100 --> 00:21:27,000
 provided me with records.
518
00:21:27,000 --> 00:21:29,130
Umm.
519
00:21:29,130 --> 00:21:31,740
Of their instructions to (b)(4).
520
00:21:31,740 --> 00:21:33,556
It's not just instructions,
521
00:21:33,556 --> 00:21:34,918
it's documenting what's
522
00:21:34,918 --> 00:21:37,100
going on during production.
00:21:37,100 --> 00:21:37,417
OK?
524
00:21:37,417 --> 00:21:38,368
    (b) (4)
At
525
00:21:38,368 --> 00:21:39,636
it wasn't just instructions.
526
00:21:39,640 --> 00:21:40,084
It was.
527
00:21:40,084 --> 00:21:41,860
This is what we we this is what
528
00:21:41,926 --> 00:21:43,638
we observed during production.
```

```
529
00:21:43,640 --> 00:21:45,940
Before or after production of
530
00:21:45,940 --> 00:21:47,757
this product at (b)(4).
531
00:21:47,757 --> 00:21:48,056
Understood.
532
00:21:48,056 --> 00:21:49,551
Then maybe they've gone above
533
00:21:49,551 --> 00:21:51,062
and beyond what they're required
534
00:21:51,062 --> 00:21:52,796
to provide you with from this
535
00:21:52,852 --> 00:21:54,250
facilities inspection. Maybe.
536
00:21:57,040 --> 00:21:58,894
So yeah, you guys can hash
537
00:21:58,894 --> 00:22:00,130
out that formulation thing.
538
00:22:00,130 --> 00:22:02,478
I'm gonna get started.
539
00:22:02,480 --> 00:22:05,160
Can I go ahead and use that bench again.
540
00:22:05,160 --> 00:22:06,136
All of my things.
```

541

00:22:06,136 --> 00:22:07,718

Yeah. Yeah. Thank you.

542

00:22:23,630 --> 00:22:23,790

(b) (4) Turn off.