



Session 2 (BE): Bioanalytical Issues

Joint US-FDA | MHRA-UK | Health Canada Good Clinical Practice & Pharmacovigilance Symposium
February 15, 2024 – 9:00 – 9:40 AM

Moderator: **Sean Kassim, PhD**

Director, Office of Study I | OSIS | OTS | CDER | FDA

Yiyue Cynthia Zhang, PhD

Division of New Drug Study Integrity (DNDSI) | OSIS | OTS
CDER | FDA

Michael McGuinness

Head of GLP & Laboratories | Head UK GLPMA | MHRA

Bioanalytical Issues from Recent FDA BIMO Inspections and Remote Regulatory Assessments

Yiyue Cynthia Zhang, Ph.D., RAC

Senior Staff Fellow
Office of Study Integrity and Surveillance
Office of Translational Sciences
CDER | US FDA

A Joint US-FDA | MHRA-UK | Health Canada Good Clinical Practice & Pharmacovigilance Compliance Workshop
February 15, 2024



Medicines & Healthcare products
Regulatory Agency



Health
Canada

Santé
Canada



Disclaimer

This presentation reflects the views of the author. It should not be construed to represent FDA's views or policies.



Learning Objectives

- Familiarity with relevant laws and regulations
- Understand the basis for demonstrating bioequivalence (BE)
- Awareness of bioanalytical issues from recent FDA BIMO Inspections and Remote Regulatory Assessments (RRA)



Outline

- Relevant laws and regulations
- Basis for demonstrating BE
- Case studies
- Challenge questions
- References

Relevant US Laws and Regulations

The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (*FD&C Act*)

- The Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-417) (Hatch-Waxman Amendments)
 - Section 505(b)(2), New Drug Application (NDA)
 - Section 505(j), Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA)

Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 21

- Part 312, Investigational New Drug Application
- Part 314.54, Procedure for submission of a 505(b)(2) application requiring investigations for approval of a new indication for, or other change from, a listed drug.
- Part 314.92, Drug products for which abbreviated applications may be submitted.
- Part 320, Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Requirements

Title 21 Part 320

▼ Title 21 Food and Drugs	Part / Section
▼ Chapter I Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services	1 - 1299
▼ Subchapter D Drugs for Human Use	300 - 499
▼ Part 320 Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Requirements	320.1 - 320.63
▼ Subpart A General Provisions	320.1
§ 320.1 Definitions.	
▼ Subpart B Procedures for Determining the Bioavailability or Bioequivalence of Drug Products	320.21 - 320.63
§ 320.21 Requirements for submission of bioavailability and bioequivalence data.	
§ 320.22 Criteria for waiver of evidence of in vivo bioavailability or bioequivalence.	
§ 320.23 Basis for measuring in vivo bioavailability or demonstrating bioequivalence.	
§ 320.24 Types of evidence to measure bioavailability or establish bioequivalence.	
§ 320.25 Guidelines for the conduct of an in vivo bioavailability study.	

- § 320.26 Guidelines on the design of a single-dose in vivo bioavailability or bioequivalence study.
- § 320.27 Guidelines on the design of a multiple-dose in vivo bioavailability study.
- § 320.28 Correlation of bioavailability with an acute pharmacological effect or clinical evidence.
- § 320.29 Analytical methods for an in vivo bioavailability or bioequivalence study.
- § 320.30 Inquiries regarding bioavailability and bioequivalence requirements and review of protocols by the Food and Drug Administration.
- § 320.31 Applicability of requirements regarding an "Investigational New Drug Application."
- § 320.32 Procedures for establishing or amending a bioequivalence requirement.
- § 320.33 Criteria and evidence to assess actual or potential bioequivalence problems.
- § 320.34 Requirements for batch testing and certification by the Food and Drug Administration.
- § 320.35 Requirements for in vitro testing of each batch.
- § 320.36 Requirements for maintenance of records of bioequivalence testing.
- § 320.38 Retention of bioavailability samples.
- § 320.63 Retention of bioequivalence samples.

Basis for Demonstrating BE

Two drug products (Test and Reference Listed Drug/RLD) are considered bioequivalent if they are **pharmaceutical equivalents** or **pharmaceutical alternatives**

AND

- their **rate and extent of absorption** do not show a significant difference when administered at the same molar dose of the active moiety under similar experimental conditions, either single dose or multiple dose.
- their **extent of absorption does** not show a significant difference with different **rates of absorption** because such differences in the rate of absorption are intentional and are reflected in the labeling.

For drug products NOT intended to be absorbed into the bloodstream:

- BE may be demonstrated by scientifically valid methods that are expected to detect a significant difference between the Test drug and the RLD in safety and therapeutic effect.

FDA's Current Thinking

M10 BIOANALYTICAL METHOD VALIDATION AND STUDY SAMPLE ANALYSIS Guidance for Industry

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

November 2022
ICH

III. CHROMATOGRAPHY (3)	4
A. Reference Standards (3.1)	4
B. Validation (3.2)	5
1 <i>Selectivity (3.2.1)</i>	5
2 <i>Specificity (3.2.2)</i>	6
3 <i>Matrix Effect (3.2.3)</i>	7
4 <i>Calibration Curve and Range (3.2.4)</i>	7
5 <i>Accuracy and Precision (3.2.5)</i>	8
6 <i>Carryover (3.2.6)</i>	9
7 <i>Dilution Integrity (3.2.7)</i>	9
8 <i>Stability (3.2.8)</i>	10
9 <i>Reinjection Reproducibility (3.2.9)</i>	12
C. Study Sample Analysis (3.3)	13
1 <i>Analytical Run (3.3.1)</i>	13
2 <i>Acceptance Criteria for an Analytical Run (3.3.2)</i>	14
3 <i>Calibration Range (3.3.3)</i>	15
4 <i>Reanalysis of Study Samples (3.3.4)</i>	15
5 <i>Reinjection of Study Samples (3.3.5)</i>	16
6 <i>Integration of Chromatograms (3.3.6)</i>	17
IV. LIGAND BINDING ASSAYS (4)	17
A. Key Reagents (4.1)	17
1 <i>Reference Standard (4.1.1)</i>	17
2 <i>Critical Reagents (4.1.2)</i>	17
B. Validation (4.2)	18
1 <i>Specificity (4.2.1)</i>	18
2 <i>Selectivity (4.2.2)</i>	19
3 <i>Calibration Curve and Range (4.2.3)</i>	19
4 <i>Accuracy and Precision (4.2.4)</i>	20
5 <i>Carryover (4.2.5)</i>	21
6 <i>Dilution Linearity and Hook Effect (4.2.6)</i>	21
7 <i>Stability (4.2.7)</i>	22
C. Study Sample Analysis (4.3)	23
1 <i>Analytical Run (4.3.1)</i>	23
2 <i>Acceptance Criteria for an Analytical Run (4.3.2)</i>	23
3 <i>Calibration Range (4.3.3)</i>	24
4 <i>Reanalysis of Study Samples (4.3.4)</i>	25
V. INCURRED SAMPLE REANALYSIS (5)	26

Inspectional Coverage – Bioanalytical Conduct

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

PROGRAM 7348.004

CHAPTER 48 – BIORESEARCH MONITORING

SUBJECT: Procedures for FDA Staff In Vivo Bioavailability/Bioequivalence Studies (Analytical)		IMPLEMENTATION DATE: 05/01/2018
DATA REPORTING		
PRODUCT CODES	PRODUCT/ASSIGNMENT CODES	
Product coding not required for biopharmaceutical establishments	48004A BIOANALYTICAL IN-VIVO BA/BE (ANDAS) 48004N BIOANALYTICAL IN-VIVO BA/BE (NDAS AND BLAS) 48004P BIOANALYTICAL PEPFAR ANDA BA/BE 48004Q BIOANALYTICAL PEPFAR NDA BA/BE 48004B BIOANALYTICAL BA/BE - BIOSIMILARS	

PART III – INSPECTIONAL	10
1. Organization	10
A. Responsible Persons	10
B. Personnel	10
C. Analytical Site and Equipment	10
2. Study Administration and Responsibility	11
A. Master List of BA/BE or Other Studies	11
B. Organizing Information from Selected Studies for Audit	11
3. Stability	12
A. Sample Receipt	12
B. Sample Handling	13
C. Storage Chambers – Freezers and Cold Rooms	13
D. General Considerations for Stability Experiments (Where Applicable)	14
E. Long-term Stability	14
F. Freeze and Thaw Stability	15
G. Benchtop Matrix Stability	15
H. Processed Sample Stability and Autosampler Stability	15
I. Stock Solution Stability	15

J. Reference Standard Storage and Procurement	15
4. Methodology	16
A. Precision and Accuracy	16
B. Recovery	16
C. Calibration Curve	17
D. Lower Limit of Quantification	17
E. Upper Limit of Quantification	17
F. Selectivity/Specificity	17
G. Matrix Effect	18
H. Dilutions	18
I. Carryover	19
J. Partial Validation	19
5. Documentation	19
A. Laboratory Instruments	19
B. Source Data	20
C. Re-integration/Re-analysis	20
D. Audit Trails	20
E. Data Security	21
F. Data Reporting	21
G. Communications with Internal and External Parties	22
6. Analysis of Study Samples	22
A. System Suitability	22
B. Run Acceptance	22
C. Improper Processing	23
D. Shift in Retention Time of Analyte/IS	23
E. Re-injection of Study Samples	23
F. IS Variability/Drift	24
G. PK Anomalies	24
H. Incurred sample reanalysis (ISR)	24
PART IV – ANALYTICAL	25
PART V – REGULATORY/ADMINISTRATIVE STRATEGY	26
PART VI – REFERENCES, ATTACHMENTS, AND PROGRAM CONTACTS	27

Case Study #1

- Application type: ANDA
- Product: small molecule; endogenous compound
- Study design: *in vivo* (PK) BE
 - Randomized, two-treatment, two-sequence, four-period, cross-over, single-dose, full-replicate trial
- Bioanalysis methodology: LC-MS/MS

Case Study #1

Objectionable Conditions:

- Higher pre-dose analyte concentrations were observed prior to administration of Test product than Reference Listed Drug from multiple study subjects.
- The pre-dose analyte concentrations did not exhibit expected physiological variability in the subjects mentioned above.
- No adequate explanation or justification for the above observation was provided.

Case Study #1

OSIS Evaluation and Conclusion:

- No discrepancies in bioanalysis were identified.
- The finding impacts the reliability of data from the study. The firm did not offer any substantive explanation that would support the observed pre-dose analyte concentration differences between Test and Reference products.
- Therefore, OSIS concluded that the analytical data from the clinical study are not reliable for Agency review.



Case Study #2

- Application type: NDA
- Product: large molecule
- Study design: *in vivo* (PK) BA
- Bioanalysis methodology: LBA

Case Study #2

Objectionable Conditions:

- The assay did not demonstrate sufficient accuracy to measure analyte concentrations. Specifically, calibration standards and quality control samples were not created using a reference standard of known purity. Nonetheless, the calibration standards were used to back-calculate, assign, and report specific analyte concentrations for the subject samples. These specific concentrations were used to evaluate and support endpoints in clinical studies.

Case Study #2

OSIS Evaluation and Conclusion:

- The observation impacts the study data reliability of reported analyte concentrations. The site did not use analyte reference material of known purity to prepare calibrators and QCs of known concentrations. Thus, it is impossible to calculate and report accurate analyte concentrations of study subject samples.
- However, the percent-change from baseline analyte data should be reliable because the method demonstrated adequate precision when measuring instrument signals from QC samples. That is, the method consistently measured high signals in high QC samples and low signals in low QC samples with adequate reproducibility.
- It was verified that the instrument was properly maintained and calibrated according to site's SOP and CLIA requirements. Thus, the method showed adequate precision and percent-change-from-baseline analyte data are reliable.

Challenge Question #1

True or False?

You must follow all the recommendations listed in the FDA's Guidance for Industry for bioanalytical method validation and sample analysis.

- A. True
- B. False

Challenge Question #2

Which type of evidence may be used to establish BE?

- A. Comparative PK
- B. Comparative PD
- C. Comparative clinical endpoint
- D. in vitro-in vivo correlation (IVIVC)
- E. All of the above

References

- M10 Bioanalytical Method Validation and Study Sample Analysis (<https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/m10-bioanalytical-method-validation-and-study-sample-analysis>)
- Bioequivalence Studies With Pharmacokinetic Endpoints for Drugs Submitted Under an Abbreviated New Drug Application, 2021 (www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/bioequivalence-studies-pharmacokinetic-endpoints-drugs-submitted-under-abbreviated-new-drug)
- Bioavailability Studies Submitted in NDAs or INDs – General Considerations, 2022 (www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/bioavailability-studies-submitted-ndas-or-inds-general-considerations)
- Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Nasal Aerosols and Nasal Sprays for Local Action, 2003 (www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/bioavailability-and-bioequivalence-studies-nasal-aerosols-and-nasal-sprays-local-action)
- Product Specific Guidance (www.fda.gov/drugs/guidances-drugs/product-specific-guidances-generic-drug-development)
- Applications Covered by Section 505(b)(2), 1999 (www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/applications-covered-section-505b2)
- Determining Whether to Submit an ANDA or a 505(b)(2) Application, 2019 (www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/determining-whether-submit-anda-or-505b2-application)

Acknowledgements

- OSIS DNDSI
 - Gajendiran Mahadevan, Ph.D.
 - Li-Hong Yeh, Ph.D.
 - Yi-Ying Chen, M.S.
 - Gopa Biswas, Ph.D.
 - Ruben Ayala, Pharm.D.
 - Arindam Dasgupta, Ph.D.
 - Chuck Bonapace, Pharm.D.
- OSIS IO
 - Sean Kassim, Ph.D.
 - Brian Folian, M.S., J.D.
 - PMT and CREST



Questions?

Yiyue Cynthia Zhang, Ph.D., RAC

Senior Staff Fellow
Office of Study Integrity and Surveillance
Office of Translational Sciences
CDER | US FDA

UK MHRA Bioanalytical Observations and Findings from recent Inspections

Michael McGuinness

Head of GLP & Laboratories

Compliance Team 1

HQA | UK MHRA

A Joint US-FDA | MHRA-UK | Health Canada Good Clinical Practice & Pharmacovigilance Compliance Workshop
February 2024



Medicines & Healthcare products
Regulatory Agency



Health
Canada

Santé
Canada



MHRA Bioequivalence (BE) Inspections


24 inspections performed since 2019

Remote (office based) inspections between October 2020 and June 2022.

Combination of inspections approaches since June 2022

- Remote
- On-Site
- Hybrid

Distribution of Findings 2019 - 2023



■ Critical n=4 ■ Major n=29 ■ Other n=169

Critical Findings (n=4)

Subject Eligibility**

Method Validation**

Data Integrity**

Clinical Sample Analysis**

**Ineligible subjects recruited into studies

**Related to clinical sample analysis

Major Findings (n=29)

CRF/Source Data (n=3)

Subject Eligibility (n=2)

IMP Management (n=2)

Quality Assurance

Subject Safety

Facilities and Equipment

Archiving

Medical Oversight

Method Validation (n=4)

Data Integrity Controls (n=4)

Clinical Sample Analysis (n=5)

Quality Systems

Competent Authority

Reporting

Data Management

MHRA Key Messages

- Method Validation

- Stability

- Clinical Sample Analysis

- Run Acceptance Criteria

- Data Integrity Controls

- Dynamic data

- Make effective use of regulatory guidance

- Transparency


- Data security and control

Method Validation - Stability (1)

- A number of laboratories have failed to analyse the prepared stability samples intended to generate long term stability immediately after preparation.
- Instead opting to store all samples generated.



Method Validation - Stability (2)



Stability of the analyte in the matrix is evaluated using low and high concentration QCs.

Aliquots of the low and high QCs **are analysed at time zero** and after the applied storage conditions that are to be evaluated.

Section 3.2.8 (Stability)

Method Validation - Stability (3)

Laboratory procedures did not contain a requirement to analyse stability samples immediately following initial preparation. Therefore, there was no assurance that stability samples met acceptance criteria prior to storage.



What can be done at this stage?



Clinical Sample Analysis - Run Acceptance Criteria (1)




- Inclusion of company specific acceptance criteria
- These additional criteria are not always applied consistently
- Limited documentation supporting use of additional criteria

Clinical Sample Analysis - Run Acceptance Criteria (2)

If the rejected calibration standard is the LLOQ, the new lower limit for this analytical run is the next lowest acceptable calibration standard of the calibration curve.
... If the highest calibration standard is rejected, the ULOQ for this analytical run is the next acceptable highest calibration standard of the calibration curve

Section 3.3.2 Acceptance Criteria for an Analytical Run



Clinical Sample Analysis - Run Acceptance Criteria (3)

- Considerations:

- Are the additions necessary?
- Have you documented your rationale?



Laboratory procedures were found to contain issues with respect to analytical run acceptance criteria, where requirements were not in accordance with regulatory guidance


As a consequence of the policy, analytical runs which would otherwise have been considered acceptable were being repeated and the original results obtained not considered for pharmacokinetic analysis.

Data Integrity Controls – Dynamic Data (1)



- We continue to see static data being used for review of bioanalytical data which has been acquired in a dynamic format


Data Integrity Controls – Dynamic Data (2)



Raw data must permit full reconstruction of the activities. Where this has been captured in a dynamic state and generated electronically, paper copies cannot be considered as 'raw data'.
Definitions section 6

Data Integrity Controls – Dynamic Data (3)

- Chromatogram for stability assessment.
- Generated in a system assessed for DI controls.



Data Integrity Controls – Dynamic Data (4)

- Chromatogram print out modified for prior to review stage
- Removal of acquisition date and time

Sample [REDACTED]			
Sample Name:	Sample VC1	Injection Volume:	4.0
Vial Number:	GA1	Channel:	[REDACTED]
Sample Type:	unknown	Wavelength:	n.a.
Control Program:	[REDACTED]	Bandwidth:	n.a.
Quantif. Method:	[REDACTED]	Dilution Factor:	1.0000
Run Time (min):	30.00	Sample Weight:	1.0000
		Sample Amount:	1.0000

Data Integrity Controls – Dynamic Data (5)



The review of data from the bioanalytical department was not performed on the dynamic data but flat files (PDF or paper printouts) which was inappropriate. Data from the software was acquired electronically in a dynamic state and static paper/PDF copies of the data, in isolation, cannot be considered raw data as the dynamic nature was lost upon printing.

- Considerations:
 - Why would you move data out from your secure systems?
 - Removal of opportunities is only part of the puzzle
 - Look to achieve the 'right environment' where mistakes can be discussed.



Critical Findings (n=4)

Subject Eligibility**

Method Validation**

Data Integrity**

Clinical Sample Analysis**

**Ineligible subjects recruited into studies

**Related to clinical sample analysis

Major Findings (n=29)

CRF/Source Data (n=3)

Subject Eligibility (n=2)

IMP Management (n=2)

Quality Assurance

Subject Safety

Facilities and Equipment

Archiving

Medical Oversight

Method Validation (n=4)

Data Integrity Controls (n=4)

Clinical Sample Analysis (n=5)

Quality Systems

Competent Authority

Reporting

Data Management

Summary



Please make effective use of guidance.



Documentation to support decision making is crucial.



Exercising control over your data important, don't let your hard work go to waste.



Act before issues escalate.

Copyright information

© **Crown copyright 2024**

Produced by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

You may re-use this information (excluding logos) with the permission from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, under a Delegation of Authority. To view the guideline, visit <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/reproduce-or-re-use-mhra-information/reproduce-or-re-use-mhra-information> or email: copyright@mhra.gov.uk.

Where we have identified any third-party copyright material you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

The names, images and logos identifying the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency are proprietary marks. All the Agency's logos are registered Trademarks and cannot be used without the Agency's explicit permission.



Medicines & Healthcare products
Regulatory Agency



Health
Canada

Santé
Canada

