

Office of the Chief Mediator and Ombudsman 5600 Fishers Lane (HF-7) Room 14-105 Rockville, MD 20857 Food and Drug Administration Rockville MD 20857

February 5, 1999

RE: Request for Designation Sealouette™ Sealant Applicator Our File: RFD 98.018

Dear C

We have completed our review of the above-referenced request for designation, submitted by you on behalf of BioSurgical Corporation. BioSurgical's initial request was received in this office August 14, 1998, and filed August 17, 1998. Additional submissions were made to the file September 29, 1998, October 6, 1998, October 22, 1998, November 3, 1998, and November 13, 1998. Further information was submitted November 18, 1998, when we met with you, \(\subseteq\), and Mr. David Sierra, BioSurgical's Vice President of Research and Development. A final written submission was made yesterday, February 4, 1999.

By agreement, the period for agency review of the designation request has been extended to allow us to meet with you and fully consider the issues raised at the meeting and in your various submissions.

The Sealouette™ Appriston syringe. The product on September, 8, 1997, the C	has been the subject	ct of two previou	us 510(k) premark	et notifications
product for the mixing and an antibiotics, and other wound	pplication of FDA-	approved fluids	, ,	elivery of

"is simple in design and is similar to currently marketed dual chamber syringes, including those intended to deliver fibrin sealants," the request recommends reassigning the product to CDRH, and asks that the agency affirm that the product may be reviewed under a 510(k).

We have carefully considered the information provided by BioSurgical and discussed the issues raised with CBER and CDRH senior staff. We have concluded that primary review responsibility for the product will be assigned to CDRH. In addition, we are advised that CDRH will conduct its review of the product under a 510(k) premarket notification.

Our decision takes into account the fact that certain dual barrel syringes promoted for use in delivering fibrin sealants have been cleared by CDRH, and are currently marketed. In addition, this decision reflects our view that issues about the use of dual barrel syringes for use with commercially available fibrin sealant products may adequately be addressed through the 510(k) mechanism.

BioSurgical has expres	ssed some flexibility regarding the labeling of the product for use
in mixing and delivering fibris	n glue. In BioSurgical's initial submission last August, the
company stated that the produ	ct would be 'C
<u> </u>	Later responding to our discussions about the possible
implications of \(\subseteq\)	I statement, the company stated that it would be
	r use in delivering "fibrin sealants generally, and not 😓 💢 🗍
	"See BioSurgical letter of October 6, 1998. Although specific
labeling is the province of the	centers and the review process, we do not expect that the
formulation of an appropriate	indication statement for the product will be problematic. We
suggest, however, that the con	npany seek early guidance from CDRH on the matter. This office
will remain involved in those	discussions, as appropriate.

The Division of Dental, Infection Control, and General Hospital Devices (DDIGD), in the Office of Device Evaluation, CDRH, will have principal responsibility for conducting the review. The Division will conduct its review in consultation with CBER staff, as appropriate. For further information, contact Ms. Patricia Cricenti, Branch Chief, General Hospital Devices, DDIGD (HFZ-480), CDRH, 9200 Corporate Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850.

If you have any questions about this letter, please contact Steve Unger, of this office, at (301) 827-3390.

Sincerely yours.

Amanda Bryce Norton
Chief Mediator and Ombudsman