POLICY AND PROCEDURES

OFFICE OF PHARMACEUTICAL QUALITY

Applying ICH Q8(R2), Q9(R1), and Q10 Principles to Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Assessment

Table of Contents

PURPOSE	1
BACKGROUND	
POLICY	2
RESPONSIBILITIES AND PROCEDURES	
REFERENCES	4
DEFINITIONS	
EFFECTIVE DATE	5
CHANGE CONTROL TABLE	

PURPOSE

• This MAPP outlines and clarifies how product quality assessors in the Office of Pharmaceutical Quality (OPQ) should apply the recommendations in the ICH Q8(R2), Q9(R1), and Q10 guidances to industry.¹

BACKGROUND

• The International Council on Harmonisation (ICH) guidance for industry *Q8(R2) Pharmaceutical Development*, published on November 20, 2009, provides information on how to present knowledge gained when applying scientific approaches and quality risk management for developing and manufacturing a product. The annex in ICH Q8(R2) further clarifies key concepts outlined in the original guidance published in June 2006 and describes the principles of quality by design (QbD). Some of the information described in ICH Q8(R2) represents the minimum amount of information the applicant should provide in an

-

¹ The ICH guidance for industry *Q8*, *Q9*, and *Q10 Questions and Answers (R4)* (November 2011) clarifies key issues regarding the implementation of ICH Q8(R2), Q9(R1), and Q10 guidances.

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

application. "Enhanced" or QbD approaches as described in ICH Q8(R2) are encouraged.

- ICH guidance for industry Q9(R1) Quality Risk Management, published on May 3, 2023, provides information regarding systematic approaches to quality risk management.
- ICH guidance for industry *Q10 Pharmaceutical Quality System*, published on April 8, 2009, establishes a ICH tripartite model for an effective quality management system for the pharmaceutical industry. The model is referred to as the pharmaceutical quality system.
- The Center recognizes the need for product quality assessors to consistently implement these ICH guidances in their assessment, as new drug applications (NDAs), investigational new drug applications (INDs), abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs), biologics license applications (BLAs), and their supplements commonly contain QbD approaches.

POLICY

• OPQ product quality assessors will consider ICH Q8(R2), Q9(R1), and Q10 recommendations when assessing applications that may or may not include QbD approaches.

RESPONSIBILITIES AND PROCEDURES

- Assessors should ensure that applications contain at least the minimum information on pharmaceutical development described by ICH Q8(R2) as "At a minimum, those aspects of drug substances, excipients, container closure systems, and manufacturing processes that are critical to product quality should be determined and control strategies justified."
 - Namely, applications should include the following minimal elements delineated in the ICH Q8(R2) Annex:
 - Quality target product profile (QTPP).
 - Critical quality attributes (CQAs) of the drug product.
 - CQAs of the drug substance and excipients.
 - Selection of an appropriate manufacturing process.
 - Control strategy.
 - Additionally, based on the ICH Q8(R2) parent document (pages 3 and 11) all applications should contain the following:

Originating Office: Office of Pharmaceutical Quality
Effective Date: 2/7/2011; 05/18/2016; 01/21/2022 Page 2 of 5

- Information that conveys an understanding of the development of the drug product and its manufacturing process.
- Identification of those aspects of drug substances, excipients, container closure systems, and manufacturing processes that are critical to product quality that support the safety and efficacy of the drug product.
- Justifications for the control strategy.
- As needed, the assessor should confer with CMC subject matter experts and members of the extended assessment team (e.g., medical officer, pharmacology/toxicology assessor) to establish the relevance of CMC information that supports the drug's safety, efficacy, and performance.
- Applications can include information on enhanced knowledge of the product and process, which can be used to support more flexible regulatory approaches.
 - Assessors should determine whether an application includes sufficient enhanced knowledge that demonstrates the applicant's understanding of material attributes, manufacturing processes, and controls for product quality to support the proposed flexible regulatory approaches. Examples of flexible regulatory approaches are as follows:
 - Manufacturing process improvements without regulatory notification (e.g., movement within a design space).
 - Approaches to reduce post-approval submissions through submission of change protocols (e.g., as described in 21 CFR 314.70(e), 21 CFR 601.12(e) or guidance for industry Comparability Protocols for Postapproval Changes to the Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Information in an NDA, ANDA, or BLA (October 2022)).
 - In-process tests in lieu of end product testing, including real time release testing approaches (e.g., guidance to industry PAT A Framework for Innovative Pharmaceutical Development, Manufacturing, and Quality Assurance (September 2004)).
 - Mathematical models (e.g., multivariate models) as surrogates for traditional end product testing.
 - Assessors should ensure, in situations when real time release testing is proposed, that the associated methodology is included in the specifications for an attribute that is indirectly controlled (e.g., through in-process testing or surrogate model).
- ICH Q9(R1) provides a systematic approach to quality risk management. The risk assessments are usually the basis for the control strategy and those submitted in the application can justify the proposed flexible regulatory approaches.

Originating Office: Office of Pharmaceutical Quality Effective Date: 2/7/2011; 05/18/2016; 01/21/2022

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

- The assessor should evaluate each risk assessment presented in an application.
- The assessor should take a scientific and risk-based approach when assessing the application:
 - o The assessor should evaluate the risks to product quality and the ability of the control strategy to suitably control the risks. The assessor may choose to conduct an independent formal risk assessment using the tools provided in ICH Q9(R1) to aid with this evaluation.
 - o The extent of the assessment should be determined by the importance of the process or material being assessed and the severity of its potential effect on product quality.
 - o As outlined in ICH Q10, the manufacturer's quality system is an important part of ensuring continued product quality. The assessor should collaborate with the investigator and compliance officer, as needed, regarding potential risks in the manufacturing process if potential risks are discovered during the course of the assessment. This information is helpful during an inspection.

REFERENCES²

- Guidance for industry Comparability Protocols for Postapproval Changes to the Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Information in an NDA, ANDA, or BLA (October 2022).
- Guidance for industry PAT A Framework for Innovative Pharmaceutical Development, Manufacturing, and Quality Assurance (September 2004).
- ICH guidance for industry *Q8(R2) Pharmaceutical Development* (November 2009).
- ICH guidance for industry Q8, Q9, and Q10 Questions and Answers (R4) (November 2011).
- ICH guidance for industry Q9(R1) Quality Risk Management (May 2023).
- ICH guidance for industry *Q10 Pharmaceutical Quality Systems* (April 2009).

DEFINITIONS

² We update guidances periodically. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fdaguidance-documents.

- Quality Target Product Profile (QTPP): A prospective summary of the quality characteristics of a drug product that ideally will be achieved to ensure the desired quality, taking into account safety and efficacy of the drug product.
- Critical Quality Attributes (CQA): A physical, chemical, biological, or microbiological property or characteristic that should be within an appropriate limit, range, or distribution to ensure the desired product quality.
- Quality by Design (QbD): A systematic approach to development that begins with predefined objectives and emphasizes product and process understanding and process control based on sound science and quality risk management.
- **Design Space**: The multidimensional combination and interaction of input variables (e.g., material attributes) and process parameters that have been demonstrated to provide assurance of quality. Working within the design space is not considered as a change. Movement out of the design space is considered to be a change and would normally initiate a regulatory postapproval change process. Design space is proposed by the applicant and is subject to regulatory assessment and approval.
- Control Strategy: A planned set of controls, derived from current product and process understanding that ensures process performance and product quality. The controls can include parameters and attributes related to drug substance and drug product materials and components; facility and equipment operating conditions; in-process controls; finished product specifications; and the associated methods and frequency of monitoring and control.

EFFECTIVE DATE

This MAPP is effective upon date of publication.

CHANGE CONTROL TABLE

Effective	Revision	Revisions
Date	Number	
2/7/2011	Initial	N/A
5/18/2016	N/A	Administrative updates to reflect OPQ reorganization
1/21/2022	N/A	Recertification. No changes.
N/A	N/A	1/10/2025 Administrative updates to reflect current titles, guidance
		names, versions, and locations.

Originating Office: Office of Pharmaceutical Quality Effective Date: 2/7/2011; 05/18/2016; 01/21/2022